

Section 3.2: The Properties of Determinants

It is particularly easy to compute the determinant of a triangular matrix.

eg

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -5 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 5 & -1 & 0 \\ 9 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

We expand along the 1st row:

$$\det(A) = 2 \begin{vmatrix} 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 5 & -1 & 0 \\ 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 \end{vmatrix} - 0 + 0 - 0 + 0$$

$$= 2 \cdot 7 \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & -1 & 0 \\ 7 & 6 & 5 \end{vmatrix} - 0 + 0 - 0$$

$$= 2 \cdot 7 \cdot (-3) \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 6 & 5 \end{vmatrix} - 0 + 0$$

$$= 2 \cdot 7 \cdot (-3) \cdot (-1) \cdot 5$$

$$\boxed{= 210}$$

Theorem: The determinant of a square triangular matrix is the product of the entries on its main diagonal.

Def'n: A linear function from a set $\mathcal{D}$ to a set $\mathcal{R}$ is a function f satisfying both

$$\textcircled{1} f(\underline{x} + \underline{y}) = f(\underline{x}) + f(\underline{y}) \text{ for all elements}$$

$\underline{x}$ and $\underline{y}$ in $\mathcal{D}$, and

$$\textcircled{2} f(k\underline{x}) = kf(\underline{x}) \text{ for all scalars } k \text{ and all elements } \underline{x} \text{ in } \mathcal{D}.$$

eg The function $f(x) = 5x$ from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ is linear because

$$f(x+y) = 5(x+y) = 5x + 5y = f(x) + f(y)$$

$$\text{and } f(kx) = 5(kx) = k(5x) = kf(x)$$

eg The function $f(x) = 5x + 2$ from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ is not linear in this sense because

$$f(x+y) = 5(x+y) + 2 = 5x + 5y + 2$$

$$\text{but } f(x) + f(y) = (5x + 2) + (5y + 2) = 5x + 5y + 4$$

The determinant is a function which maps $n \times n$ matrices to real numbers $\mathbb{R}$, but it is not linear.

$$\text{eg If } A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ then}$$

$$\det(A) = 4 - 1 = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad \det(B) = 4 - 1 = 3$$

However, $A+B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ so $\det(A+B) = 0$
 $\neq \det(A) + \det(B)$

But consider an $n \times n$ matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{a}_1 \rightarrow \\ \underline{a}_2 \rightarrow \\ \vdots \\ \underline{a}_n \rightarrow \end{bmatrix}$. We will denote

$$\det(A) = \det(\underline{a}_1, \underline{a}_2, \dots, \underline{a}_n).$$

Theorem: If A is a square matrix with rows $\underline{a}_1, \underline{a}_2, \dots, \underline{a}_n$ and $\underline{b}$ is a vector then

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} \det(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_i + \underline{b}, \dots, \underline{a}_n) \\ = \det(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_i, \dots, \underline{a}_n) + \det(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{b}, \dots, \underline{a}_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{2} \det(\underline{a}_1, \dots, k\underline{a}_i, \dots, \underline{a}_n) \\ = k \det(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_i, \dots, \underline{a}_n) \text{ for any scalar } k. \end{aligned}$$

We say that the determinant is linear in each row.

eg Given $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ then $\det(A) = \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix}$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ x & y \end{vmatrix}$$

Theorem: If A is an $n \times n$ matrix and k is any scalar then $\det(kA) = k^n \det(A)$.

Theorem: If a matrix has a row of zeros then its determinant is zero.

Theorem: The determinant of a matrix changes sign if two rows are interchanged.

eg Consider $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ so $\det(A) = ad - bc$.

Then $B = \begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ a & b \end{bmatrix}$ so $\det(B) = bc - ad$
 $= -(ad - bc)$
 $= -\det(A)$.

Theorem: If a square matrix A has two equal rows then $\det(A) = 0$.

Proof: Assume that A has rows $\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_n$ where, for some i and j , $\underline{a}_i = \underline{a}_j = \underline{b}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Then } \det(A) &= \det(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_i, \dots, \underline{a}_j, \dots, \underline{a}_n) \\ &= -\det(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_j, \dots, \underline{a}_i, \dots, \underline{a}_n) \\ &= -\det(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{b}, \dots, \underline{b}, \dots, \underline{a}_n)\end{aligned}$$

But this means that

$$\det(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{b}, \dots, \underline{b}, \dots, \underline{a}_n) = -\det(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{b}, \dots, \underline{b}, \dots, \underline{a}_n)$$

$$2 \det(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{b}, \dots, \underline{b}, \dots, \underline{a}_n) = 0$$

$$\boxed{\det(A) = 0}$$

Theorem: If a square matrix A has one row which is a scalar multiple of another row then $\det(A) = 0$.

Proof: Assume that A has rows $\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_n$ where $\underline{a}_i = k \underline{a}_j$ for some constant k . Then

$$\det(A) = \det(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_i, \dots, \underline{a}_j, \dots, \underline{a}_n)$$

$$= \det(\underline{a}_1, \dots, k \underline{a}_j, \dots, \underline{a}_j, \dots, \underline{a}_n)$$

$$= k \det(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_j, \dots, \underline{a}_j, \dots, \underline{a}_n)$$

$$= k \cdot 0 \quad \text{because the determinant now includes}$$

$$\boxed{= 0} \quad \text{two equal rows}$$

Theorem : The determinant of a square matrix is unchanged when a multiple of one of its rows is subtracted from another of its rows.

Proof : If A has rows $\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_n$ then

$$\det(A) = \det(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_n).$$

Now consider a matrix B which is obtained by replacing $\underline{a}_i$ with $\underline{a}_i - k\underline{a}_j$ for some constant k .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \det(B) &= \det(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_i - k\underline{a}_j, \dots, \underline{a}_j, \dots, \underline{a}_n) \\ &= \det(\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_i, \dots, \underline{a}_j, \dots, \underline{a}_n) \\ &\quad - \det(\underline{a}_1, \dots, k\underline{a}_j, \dots, \underline{a}_j, \dots, \underline{a}_n) \\ &= \det(A) - 0 \quad \text{because row } i \text{ is a} \\ &\quad \text{constant multiple of row } j \\ &= \det(A) \end{aligned}$$

eg Find the determinant of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -2 & -1 \\ -1 & 4 & 1 & -5 \\ 1 & -6 & -4 & 9 \\ -3 & 8 & 7 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Instead of using the Laplace expansion directly we will first bring A to row-echelon form.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -2 & -1 \\ -1 & 4 & 1 & -5 \\ 1 & -6 & -4 & 9 \\ -3 & 8 & 7 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -6 & -4 & 9 \\ -1 & 4 & 1 & -5 \\ 2 & 2 & -2 & -1 \\ -3 & 8 & 7 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - (-1)R_1 \\ R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - 2R_1 \\ R_4 \rightarrow R_4 - (-3)R_1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -6 & -4 & 9 \\ 0 & -2 & -3 & 4 \\ 0 & 14 & 6 & -19 \\ 0 & -10 & -5 & 28 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_2 \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}R_2 \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -6 & -4 & 9 \\ 0 & 1 & 3/2 & -2 \\ 0 & 14 & 6 & -19 \\ 0 & -10 & -5 & 28 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - 14R_2 \\ R_4 \rightarrow R_4 - (-10)R_2 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -6 & -4 & 9 \\ 0 & 1 & 3/2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -15 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_3 \rightarrow -\frac{1}{15}R_3 \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -6 & -4 & 9 \\ 0 & 1 & 3/2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -3/5 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_4 \rightarrow R_4 - 10R_3 \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -6 & -4 & 9 \\ 0 & 1 & 3/2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -3/5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 \end{bmatrix} = U$$

Immediately, $\det(u) = 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 14 = 14$

But we also have that $\det(u) = (-1)(-\frac{1}{2})(-\frac{1}{15})\det(A)$

$$14 = -\frac{1}{30}\det(A)$$

$$\boxed{\det(A) = -420}$$

Theorem: The determinant is multiplicative: $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$.

Theorem: If A is invertible then $\det(A) \neq 0$ and

$$\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(A)}.$$

Proof: There exists a matrix A^{-1} for which $AA^{-1} = I$ so

$$\det(AA^{-1}) = \det(I)$$

$$\det(A)\det(A^{-1}) = \det(I)$$

$$\det(A)\det(A^{-1}) = 1 \quad \text{because } I \text{ is a diagonal matrix with only } 1 \text{ along the diagonal}$$

Thus it must be that $\det(A) \neq 0$ and $\det(A^{-1}) \neq 0$.

Furthermore, we can write

$$\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(A)}.$$

eg If $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ then A must be invertible
since $\det(A) = 3 \cdot 1 \cdot 5 \cdot (-2) = -30 \neq 0$.

Defn: A ^{square} matrix is singular if it is not invertible.
A singular matrix has a zero determinant.

eg Find all values of k which would make A singular
given

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} k & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & k \\ -1 & k & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We want to know when $\det(A) = 0$ so we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) &= k \begin{vmatrix} 2 & k \\ k & 1 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} 0 & k \\ -1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} + 0 \\ &= k(2 - k^2) - (0 + k) \\ &= 2k - k^3 - k \\ &= k - k^3 \end{aligned}$$

We set $k - k^3 = 0$

$$-k(k^2 - 1) = 0$$

$$-k(k-1)(k+1) = 0 \quad \text{so } \boxed{k=0, k=1, k=-1}$$

Theorem: For any square matrix A , $\det(A) = \det(A^T)$.

Proof: If C is the matrix of cofactors of A then

$$AC^T = C^T A = (\det A) I$$

But then

$$(AC^T)^T = (C^T A)^T = [(\det A) I]^T$$

$$(C^T)^T A^T = A^T (C^T)^T = (\det A) I$$

$$C A^T = A^T C = (\det A) I$$

Likewise, C^T is the matrix of cofactors of A^T , so

$$A^T (C^T)^T = (C^T)^T A^T = (\det A^T) I$$

$$A^T C = C A^T = (\det A^T) I$$

Thus $(\det A) I = (\det A^T) I$ so $\det(A) = \det(A^T)$.