

GROUP GRADINGS ON RESTRICTED CARTAN TYPE LIE ALGEBRAS

YURI BAHTURIN AND MIKHAIL KOCHETOV

ABSTRACT. For a given abelian group G , we classify the isomorphism classes of G -gradings on the simple restricted Lie algebras of types $W(m; \underline{1})$ and $S(m; \underline{1})$ ($m \geq 2$), in terms of numerical and group-theoretical invariants. Our main tool is automorphism group schemes, which we determine for the simple restricted Lie algebras of types $S(m; \underline{1})$ and $H(m; \underline{1})$. The ground field is assumed to be algebraically closed of characteristic $p > 3$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let U be an algebra (not necessarily associative) over a field \mathbb{F} and let G be a group, written multiplicatively.

Definition 1.1. A G -grading on U is a vector space decomposition

$$U = \bigoplus_{g \in G} U_g$$

such that

$$U_g U_h \subset U_{gh} \text{ for all } g, h \in G.$$

U_g is called the *homogeneous component* of degree g . The *support* of the G -grading is the set

$$\{g \in G \mid U_g \neq 0\}.$$

If U is finite-dimensional, then, replacing G with the subgroup generated by the support of the grading, we may assume without loss of generality that G is finitely generated.

Definition 1.2. We say that two G -gradings, $U = \bigoplus_{g \in G} U_g$ and $U = \bigoplus_{g \in G} U'_g$, are *isomorphic* if there exists an algebra automorphism $\psi : U \rightarrow U$ such that

$$\psi(U_g) = U'_g \text{ for all } g \in G,$$

i.e., $U = \bigoplus_{g \in G} U_g$ and $U = \bigoplus_{g \in G} U'_g$ are isomorphic as G -graded algebras.

Since the same vector space decomposition can be regarded as a G -grading for different groups G , one can also define *equivalence* of gradings, which is a weaker relation than isomorphism — see e.g. [6] for a discussion. *Fine gradings* (i.e., those that cannot be refined) as well as their universal groups are of particular interest.

We are interested in the problem of finding all possible group gradings on finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras. If L is a simple Lie algebra, then it is known that

Keywords: graded algebra, simple Lie algebra, grading, Cartan type Lie algebra.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 17B70; Secondary 17B60.

The first author acknowledges support by by NSERC grant # 227060-04. The second author acknowledges support by NSERC Discovery Grant # 341792-07.

the support of any G -grading on L generates an abelian group. Hence, in this paper we will always assume that G is *abelian*. We will also assume that the ground field \mathbb{F} is *algebraically closed*.

For an arbitrary abelian group G , the classification of G -gradings (up to isomorphism) is known for almost all classical simple Lie algebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 or $p > 2$. The classification of fine gradings (up to equivalence) is also known. The reader may refer to [2, 5] and references therein.

Our goal is to carry out the same classification for simple restricted Lie algebras of Cartan type. In the present paper, we achieve this goal for Witt algebras and for special algebras (see definitions in Section 2) in characteristic $p > 3$: Theorem 4.13 with Corollary 4.14 and Theorem 4.17 with Corollary 4.18, respectively.

In a number of cases, a fruitful approach to the classification of gradings by abelian groups on an algebra U is to use another algebra, R , that shares with U the automorphism group scheme (see Section 3) and whose gradings are easier to study. This approach often requires equipping R with some additional structure. For classical simple Lie algebras of series A , B , C and D , R is the matrix algebra $M_n(\mathbb{F})$, possibly equipped with an antiautomorphism. For Lie algebras of Cartan type, R is the “coordinate algebra” $\mathcal{O}(m; \underline{n})$ (see Definition 2.1), which, in the restricted case, is just the truncated polynomial algebra $\mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1}) = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_m]/(x_1^p, \dots, x_m^p)$. It is not difficult to classify G -gradings on the algebra $\mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$ — see Theorem 4.8 and Corollary 4.11. Since it is known that $\mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$ has the same automorphism group scheme as the Witt algebra $W(m; \underline{1})$, this immediately gives the classification of gradings for the latter. In Section 3, we show that the automorphism group scheme of the special algebra $S(m; \underline{1})^{(1)}$, $m \geq 3$ — respectively, Hamiltonian algebra $H(m; \underline{1})^{(2)}$, $m = 2r$ — is isomorphic to the stabilizer of the differential form ω_S — respectively, ω_H — in the automorphism group scheme of $\mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$; see Theorems 3.2 and 3.5, respectively. As a consequence, all gradings on $S(m; \underline{1})^{(1)}$ and $H(m; \underline{1})^{(2)}$ come from gradings on $\mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$. However, this does not yet give a classification of gradings on $S(m; \underline{1})^{(1)}$ and $H(m; \underline{1})^{(2)}$ up to isomorphism, because one must make sure that the isomorphism preserves the appropriate differential form — see Corollary 4.2. With some more work, we obtain a classification of gradings on $S(m; \underline{1})^{(1)}$, $m \geq 3$, and $S(2; \underline{1})^{(2)} = H(2; \underline{1})^{(2)}$. The classification for $H(2r; \underline{1})^{(2)}$ with $r > 1$ remains open.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definitions and basic facts regarding Lie algebras of Cartan type. In Section 3, we briefly recall background information on automorphism group schemes and determine them for $S(m; \underline{1})^{(1)}$ and $H(m; \underline{1})^{(2)}$. In Section 4, we obtain the classification of gradings for Witt and special algebras (using the results on automorphism group schemes).

2. CARTAN TYPE LIE ALGEBRAS

We start by briefly recalling the definitions and relevant properties of Cartan type Lie algebras. We will use [11] as a standard reference. Fix $m \geq 1$ and $\underline{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_m)$ where $n_i \geq 1$. Set

$$\mathbb{Z}^{(m; \underline{n})} := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m \mid 0 \leq \alpha_i < p^{n_i} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

The elements of $\mathbb{Z}^{(m; \underline{n})}$ will be called multi-indices and denoted by Greek letters α , β , γ . For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$, we set

$$|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_m.$$

We will denote by $\underline{1}$ the multi-index that has 1 in all positions and by ε_i the multi-index that has 1 in position i and zeros elsewhere.

Let \mathbb{F} be a field of characteristic $p > 0$.

Definition 2.1. The algebra $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(m; \underline{n})$ over \mathbb{F} is a commutative associative algebra with a basis $\{x^{(\alpha)} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{(m, \underline{n})}\}$ where multiplication given by

$$x^{(\alpha)}x^{(\beta)} = \binom{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha} x^{(\alpha + \beta)} \text{ where } \binom{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha} = \prod_{i=1}^m \binom{\alpha_i + \beta_i}{\alpha_i}.$$

If $\underline{n} = \underline{1}$, then $\mathcal{O} \cong \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_m]/(x_1^p, \dots, x_m^p)$ by identifying x_i with $x^{(\varepsilon_i)}$:

$$x^{(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_m!} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_m^{\alpha_m}.$$

The algebra \mathcal{O} has a canonical \mathbb{Z} -grading $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{\ell \geq 0} \mathcal{O}_\ell$ defined by declaring the degree of $x^{(\alpha)}$ to be $|\alpha|$. The associated filtration will be denoted by

$$\mathcal{O}_{(\ell)} := \bigoplus_{j \geq \ell} \mathcal{O}_j.$$

Note that $\mathfrak{M} := \mathcal{O}_{(1)}$ is the unique maximal ideal of \mathcal{O} .

We will now define the following graded Cartan type Lie algebras: Witt, special and Hamiltonian. The contact algebras and generalized (i.e., non-graded) Cartan type Lie algebras will not be considered in this paper.

Definition 2.2. Define a linear map $\partial_i : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ by $\partial_i x^{(\alpha)} = x^{(\alpha - \varepsilon_i)}$ where the right-hand side is understood to be zero if $\alpha_i = 0$. Then ∂_i is a derivation of \mathcal{O} . The *Witt algebra* $W = W(m; \underline{n})$ is the subalgebra of $\text{Der}(\mathcal{O})$ that consists of all operators of the form

$$f_1 \partial_1 + \cdots + f_m \partial_m \text{ where } f_i \in \mathcal{O}.$$

The canonical \mathbb{Z} -grading of \mathcal{O} induces a \mathbb{Z} -grading on $\text{End}(\mathcal{O})$. Since W is a graded subspace of $\text{End}(\mathcal{O})$, it inherits the \mathbb{Z} -grading: $W = \bigoplus_{\ell \geq -1} W_\ell$. We will denote the associated filtration by $W_{(\ell)}$.

The de Rahm complex $\Omega^0 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^2 \xrightarrow{d} \dots$ is defined as follows: $\Omega^0 = \mathcal{O}$, $\Omega^1 = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(W, \mathcal{O})$, and $\Omega^k = (\Omega^1)^{\wedge k}$ for $k \geq 2$. The map $d : \Omega^0 \rightarrow \Omega^1$ is defined by $(df)(D) = D(f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{O}$ and $D \in W$. The remaining maps $d : \Omega^k \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}$ are defined in the usual way: $d(f dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_k}) = df \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_k}$.

Any element $D \in W$ acts on $\Omega^1 = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(W, \mathcal{O})$ by setting

$$D(\omega)(E) = D(\omega(E)) - \omega([D, E]) \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega^1 \text{ and } E \in W.$$

This action turns all $\Omega^k = (\Omega^1)^{\wedge k}$ into W -modules. Of course, all Ω^k also have canonical \mathbb{Z} -gradings and associated filtrations.

We will need the following differential forms to define the special and Hamiltonian algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_S &:= dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_m \in \Omega^m, & (m \geq 2); \\ \omega_H &:= dx_1 \wedge dx_{r+1} + dx_2 \wedge dx_{r+2} + \cdots + dx_r \wedge dx_{2r} \in \Omega^2 & (m = 2r). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.3. The *special algebra* $S = S(m; \underline{n})$ is the stabilizer of ω_S in $W(m; \underline{n})$:

$$S = \{D \in W \mid D(\omega_S) = 0\}.$$

The *Hamiltonian algebra* $H = H(m; \underline{n})$ is the stabilizer of ω_H in $W(m; \underline{n})$:

$$H = \{D \in W \mid D(\omega_H) = 0\}.$$

Note that in the case $m = 2$, we have $\omega_S = \omega_H$ and hence $S = H$. It is well-known that $W(m; \underline{n})$ is simple unless $p = 2$ and $m = 1$. The algebras $S(m; \underline{n})$ and $H(m; \underline{n})$ are not simple, but the first derived algebra $S(m; \underline{n})^{(1)}$ ($m \geq 3$) and the second derived algebra $H(m; \underline{n})^{(2)}$ are simple.

The Lie algebras $W(m; \underline{n})$, $S(m; \underline{n})$ and $H(m; \underline{n})$ are *restrictable* — i.e., admit p -maps making them restricted Lie algebras — if and only if $\underline{n} = \underline{1}$. From now on, we will assume that this is the case. Since W , S and H have trivial center, their p -maps are unique. Also, in this case $W = \text{Der}(\mathcal{O})$ and hence the p -map is just the p -th power in the associative algebra $\text{End}(\mathcal{O})$. The algebras S and H are restricted subalgebras of W .

3. AUTOMORPHISM GROUP SCHEMES

Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$. Any automorphism μ of the algebra \mathcal{O} gives rise to an automorphism $\text{Ad}(\mu)$ of W given by $\text{Ad}(\mu)(D) = \mu \circ D \circ \mu^{-1}$. Then we can define the action of μ on $\Omega^1 = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(W, \mathcal{O})$ by setting $\mu(\omega)(D) = \mu(\omega(\text{Ad}(\mu^{-1})(D)))$ for all $\omega \in \Omega^1$ and $D \in W$. This action turns all $\Omega^k = (\Omega^1)^{\wedge k}$ into $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$ -modules. Clearly, these actions can still be defined in the same way if we extend the scalars from the base field \mathbb{F} to any commutative associative \mathbb{F} -algebra K , i.e., replace \mathcal{O} with $\mathcal{O}(K) := \mathcal{O} \otimes K$, W with $W(K) := W \otimes K$ and Ω^k with $\Omega^k(K) := \Omega^k \otimes K$.

Recall the automorphism group scheme of a finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -algebra U (see e.g. [14] for background on affine group schemes). As a functor, the (affine) group scheme $\mathbf{Aut}(U)$ is defined by setting $\mathbf{Aut}(U)(K) = \text{Aut}_K(U \otimes K)$ for any commutative associative \mathbb{F} -algebra K . From the above discussion it follows that we have morphisms of group schemes $\text{Ad} : \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(W)$ and also $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\Omega^k)$. (We identify a smooth algebraic group scheme such as $\text{GL}(V)$ with the corresponding algebraic group.) Note that, since the p -map of $W \otimes K$ is uniquely determined, the automorphism group scheme of W as a Lie algebra is the same as its automorphism group scheme as a restricted Lie algebra. Note also that the maps $d : \Omega^k \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}$ are $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant.

The algebraic group scheme $\mathbf{Aut}(U)$ contains the algebraic group $\text{Aut}(U)$ as the largest smooth subgroup scheme. The tangent Lie algebra of $\mathbf{Aut}(U)$ is $\text{Der}(U)$, so $\mathbf{Aut}(U)$ is smooth if and only if $\text{Der}(U)$ equals the tangent Lie algebra of the group $\text{Aut}(U)$. The automorphism group schemes of simple Cartan type Lie algebras, unlike those of the classical simple Lie algebras, are not smooth. Indeed, the tangent Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$ is $W_{(1)}$, which is a proper subalgebra of $W = \text{Der}(\mathcal{O})$, so $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$ is not smooth. In view of the following theorem, we see that $\mathbf{Aut}(W)$ is not smooth.

Theorem 3.1 ([13]). *Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$ and $W = W(m; \underline{1})$. Assume $p > 3$. Then the morphism $\text{Ad} : \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(W)$ is an isomorphism of group schemes. \square*

The automorphism group scheme of the general $W(m; \underline{n})$ is also known — see [15] ($p > 2$, with small exceptions in the case $p = 3$) and [9, 10] (any p , with small exceptions in the cases $p = 2$ and $p = 3$). In particular, Theorem 3.1 holds for $p = 3$ if $m \geq 2$ and for $p = 2$ if $m \geq 3$. In this section we will establish analogues of Theorem 3.1 for the simple algebras $S(m; \underline{1})^{(1)}$ and $H(m; \underline{1})^{(2)}$. We

will follow the approach of [13]. Suppose $\Phi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ is a morphism of algebraic group schemes. Let \mathbf{G}_{red} and \mathbf{H}_{red} be the largest smooth subgroup schemes, which will be regarded as algebraic groups. In order for Φ to be an isomorphism, the following two conditions are necessary:

- A) the restriction $\Phi : \mathbf{G}_{red} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{red}$ is a bijection;
- B) the tangent map $\text{Lie}(\Phi) : \text{Lie}(\mathbf{G}) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(\mathbf{H})$ is a bijection.

However, unless \mathbf{G} is known to be smooth (i.e., $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}_{red}$), these two conditions are *not* sufficient for Φ to be an isomorphism. In general, one has to show that the associated map of distribution algebras $\tilde{\Phi} : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ is surjective. (The two conditions above imply that $\tilde{\Phi} : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ is injective.)

Recall that the distribution algebra \mathfrak{G} of an algebraic group scheme \mathbf{G} is a connected cocommutative Hopf algebra (see e.g. [8] for background on Hopf algebras), with the space of primitive elements $\text{Prim}(\mathfrak{G}) = \text{Lie}(\mathbf{G})$ of finite dimension. Hence $\text{Lie}(\mathbf{G})$ has a descending chain of restricted Lie subalgebras (see e.g. [12] or [4, II, §3, No. 2]):

$$\text{Lie}(\mathbf{G}) = \text{Lie}^0(\mathbf{G}) \supset \text{Lie}^1(\mathbf{G}) \supset \text{Lie}^2(\mathbf{G}) \supset \dots,$$

defined by $\text{Lie}^k(\mathbf{G}) := \text{Lie}^k(\mathfrak{G}) = \mathfrak{V}^k(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \text{Prim}(\mathfrak{G})$, where $\mathfrak{V} : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ is the Verschiebung operator (see e.g. [12, Theorem 1] or [4, II, §2, No. 7]). The intersection of this chain is $\text{Lie}(\mathbf{G}_{red})$, which can be identified with the tangent algebra of the algebraic group \mathbf{G}_{red} .

Recall that a sequence of elements $1 = {}^0h, {}^1h, \dots, {}^nh$ in a connected cocommutative Hopf algebra \mathfrak{G} is called a *sequence of divided powers* (lying over 1h) if $\Delta({}^jh) = \sum_{i=0}^j {}^ih \otimes {}^{j-i}h$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. It follows that ${}^1h \in \text{Prim}(\mathfrak{G})$ and that $\varepsilon({}^jh) = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{V}^k({}^{p^k}h) = {}^1h$ for any $p^k \leq n$. Hence, if there exists a sequence of divided powers of length p^k lying over $h \in \text{Prim}(\mathfrak{G})$, then $h \in \text{Lie}^k(\mathfrak{G})$. The converse is also true [12, Theorem 2].

Now we come back to the problem of proving that a morphism $\Phi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ of algebraic group schemes is an isomorphism. Assuming that Φ satisfies the above two conditions, we need to show that the Hopf subalgebra $\tilde{\Phi}(\mathfrak{G}) \subset \mathfrak{H}$ in fact equals \mathfrak{H} . Regarding \mathfrak{H} as a Hopf subalgebra in the distribution algebra of $\text{GL}(V)$ for a suitable space V , we can apply [4, II, §3, No. 2, Corollary 1] to conclude that $\tilde{\Phi}(\mathfrak{G}) = \mathfrak{H}$ if and only if

- C) $\text{Lie}(\Phi)$ maps $\text{Lie}^k(\mathbf{G})$ onto $\text{Lie}^k(\mathbf{H})$ for all k .

Here we are interested in the case $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$, where $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$, and its subgroup schemes $\mathbf{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O}) := \text{Stab}_{\mathbf{G}}(\langle \omega_S \rangle)$ and $\mathbf{Aut}_H(\mathcal{O}) := \text{Stab}_{\mathbf{G}}(\langle \omega_H \rangle)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O})_{red} &= \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}), & \text{Lie}(\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O})) &= \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}) = W; \\ \mathbf{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O})_{red} &= \text{Stab}_{\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O})}(\langle \omega_S \rangle), & \text{Lie}(\mathbf{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O})) &= \text{Stab}_W(\langle \omega_S \rangle) =: CS; \\ \mathbf{Aut}_H(\mathcal{O})_{red} &= \text{Stab}_{\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O})}(\langle \omega_H \rangle), & \text{Lie}(\mathbf{Aut}_H(\mathcal{O})) &= \text{Stab}_W(\langle \omega_H \rangle) =: CH. \end{aligned}$$

We will denote $\text{Stab}_{\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O})}(\langle \omega_S \rangle)$ by $\text{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O})$ and $\text{Stab}_{\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O})}(\langle \omega_H \rangle)$ by $\text{Aut}_H(\mathcal{O})$ for brevity.

Assume $p > 3$. It is known that the morphism $\text{Ad} : \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(W)$ as well as its restrictions $\mathbf{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(S^{(1)})$ ($m \geq 3$) and $\mathbf{Aut}_H(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(H^{(2)})$ ($m = 2r$) induce bijections $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(W)$, $\text{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S^{(1)})$ and $\text{Aut}_H(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(H^{(2)})$ — see e.g. [11, Theorem 7.3.2]. Also, the tangent map $\text{ad} : W \rightarrow \text{Der}(W)$ as well as its restrictions $CS \rightarrow \text{Der}(S^{(1)})$ and $CH \rightarrow \text{Der}(H^{(2)})$

are bijective — see e.g. [11, Theorem 7.1.2]. Thus, the above conditions A) and B) are satisfied for the morphisms $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(W)$, $\mathbf{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(S^{(1)})$ and $\mathbf{Aut}_H(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(H^{(2)})$.

By [1, Lemma 3.5, 2], $\mathrm{Lie}^k(\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O})) = \mathrm{Stab}_W(\mathfrak{M}) = W_{(0)}$ for all $k > 0$. Hence, for $k > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Lie}^k(\mathbf{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O})) &\subset \mathrm{Lie}^k(\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O})) \cap CS = CS_{(0)}, \\ \mathrm{Lie}^k(\mathbf{Aut}_H(\mathcal{O})) &\subset \mathrm{Lie}^k(\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O})) \cap CH = CH_{(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O})) = CS_{(0)}$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{Aut}_H(\mathcal{O})) = CH_{(0)}$. It follows that, in fact,

$$(1) \quad \mathrm{Lie}^k(\mathbf{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O})) = CS_{(0)} \text{ and } \mathrm{Lie}^k(\mathbf{Aut}_H(\mathcal{O})) = CH_{(0)} \text{ for all } k > 0.$$

By [1, Lemma 3.5, 4], $\mathrm{Lie}^k(\mathbf{Aut}(W)) = \mathrm{ad}(W_{(0)})$ for all $k > 0$, so condition C) is satisfied for the morphism $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(W)$. This is how Theorem 3.1 is proved in [13]. We are now ready to prove our analogues for S and H .

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$, $m \geq 3$, and $S^{(1)} = S(m; \underline{1})^{(1)}$. Let*

$$\mathbf{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O}) = \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O})}(\langle \omega_S \rangle).$$

Assume $p > 3$. Then the morphism $\mathrm{Ad} : \mathbf{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(S^{(1)})$ is an isomorphism of group schemes.

Proof. By the above discussion, we have to prove that condition C) is satisfied for $\mathrm{Ad} : \mathbf{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(S^{(1)})$. Let \mathfrak{G} be the distribution algebra of $\mathbf{Aut}(S^{(1)})$. In view of (1), it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Lie}^1(\mathfrak{G}) \subset \mathrm{ad}(CS_{(0)})$. In other words, we have to verify, for any $D \in CS$, that if $D \notin CS_{(0)}$, then $\mathrm{ad} D \notin \mathrm{Lie}^1(\mathfrak{G})$. We can write $D = \lambda_1 \partial_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m \partial_m + D_0$ where $D_0 \in CS_{(0)}$ and the scalars $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are not all zero. Now, $D_0 \in \mathrm{Lie}^1(\mathbf{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O}))$ implies $\mathrm{ad} D_0 \in \mathrm{Lie}^1(\mathfrak{G})$, so it suffices to prove that $\mathrm{ad}(\lambda_1 \partial_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m \partial_m) \notin \mathrm{Lie}^1(\mathfrak{G})$. Applying an automorphism of \mathcal{O} induced by a suitable linear transformation on the space $\mathrm{Span}\{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m\}$, we may assume without loss of generality that $D = \partial_1$.

By way of contradiction, assume that $\mathrm{ad} \partial_1 \in \mathrm{Lie}^1(\mathfrak{G})$. Then there exists a sequence of divided powers $1 = {}^0h, {}^1h, \dots, {}^ph$ in \mathfrak{G} such that ${}^1h = \mathrm{ad} \partial_1$.

As pointed out in the proof of [1, Lemma 3.5, 4], it follows from [12, Lemma 7] that we may assume without loss of generality that ${}^kh = \frac{1}{k!}({}^1h)^k$ for $k = 0, \dots, p-1$. The distribution algebra \mathfrak{G} acts canonically on $S^{(1)}$, so we have a homomorphism $\eta : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(S^{(1)})$. The restriction of η to $\mathrm{Prim}(\mathfrak{G}) = \mathrm{Der}(S^{(1)})$ is the identity map. Let ${}^k\delta = \eta({}^kh)$ for $k = 0, \dots, p$. Then we have

$$(2) \quad {}^k\delta = \frac{1}{k!}(\mathrm{ad} \partial_1)^k \text{ for } k = 0, \dots, p-1,$$

and, since $S^{(1)}$ is a \mathfrak{G} -module algebra,

$$(3) \quad {}^k\delta([X, Y]) = \sum_{j=0}^k [{}^j\delta(X), {}^{k-j}\delta(Y)] \text{ for all } k = 0, \dots, p \text{ and } X, Y \in S^{(1)}.$$

Note that the action of \mathfrak{G} on $S^{(1)}$ extends canonically to the universal enveloping algebra $U(S^{(1)})$ and, since the p -map of $S^{(1)}$ is uniquely determined, the \mathfrak{G} -action passes on to the restricted enveloping algebra $u(S^{(1)})$. By abuse of notation, we

will use ${}^k\delta$ to denote the action of kh on $u(S^{(1)})$ as well as on $S^{(1)}$. We note for future reference that

$$(4) \quad {}^k\delta(XY) = \sum_{j=0}^k ({}^j\delta)(X) ({}^{k-j}\delta)(Y) \text{ for all } k = 0, \dots, p \text{ and } X, Y \in u(S^{(1)}).$$

Observe that if we replace ${}^p\delta$ by ${}^p\delta + \xi$, where ξ is any derivation of $S^{(1)}$, then equations (3) and (4) will still hold (with the same ${}^0\delta, \dots, {}^{p-1}\delta$). We will use this observation to simplify the operator ${}^p\delta$.

Let $z_i = 1 + x_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. For each multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{(m; \underline{1})}$, we set

$$z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_m^{\alpha_m}.$$

Since $z_i^p = 1$ for all i , we may regard the components of α as elements of the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_p when dealing with z^α . It is this property that will make the basis $\{z^\alpha\}$ of $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$ more convenient for us than the standard basis $\{x^\alpha\}$.

Recall [11, Section 4.2] that $S^{(1)} = S(m; \underline{1})^{(1)}$ is spanned by the elements of the form

$$D_{i,j}(f) := \partial_j(f)\partial_i - \partial_i(f)\partial_j$$

where $f \in \mathcal{O}$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. Hence, $S^{(1)}$ is spanned by the elements

$$D_{i,j}(z^\alpha) = \alpha_j z^{\alpha - \varepsilon_j} \partial_i - \alpha_i z^{\alpha - \varepsilon_i} \partial_j.$$

For the calculations we are about to carry out, we will need the following:

Lemma 3.3. *For any $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{(m; \underline{1})}$, the commutator*

$$[D_{1,2}(z^\alpha), D_{i,j}(z^\beta)]$$

is given by the following expressions:

$$(5) \quad -(\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1)D_{i,j}(z^{\alpha+\beta-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2}) \text{ if } 2 < i < j;$$

$$(6) \quad -(\alpha_1(\beta_2 - 1) - \alpha_2\beta_1)D_{2,j}(z^{\alpha+\beta-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2}) \text{ if } 2 = i < j;$$

$$(7) \quad -\alpha_1\beta_j D_{1,2}(z^{\alpha+\beta-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_j}) + \alpha_2\beta_1 D_{1,j}(z^{\alpha+\beta-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2}) - \alpha_1\beta_1 D_{2,j}(z^{\alpha+\beta-2\varepsilon_1}) \\ \text{if } i = 1, j > 2;$$

$$(8) \quad -(\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1)D_{1,2}(z^{\alpha+\beta-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2}) \text{ if } i = 1, j = 2.$$

Proof. The verification of the above expressions is straightforward. Here we will verify (7), which is somewhat special, and leave the rest to the reader. We have, for $j > 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} [D_{1,2}(z^\alpha), D_{1,j}(z^\beta)] &= [\alpha_2 z^{\alpha - \varepsilon_2} \partial_1 - \alpha_1 z^{\alpha - \varepsilon_1} \partial_2, \beta_j z^{\beta - \varepsilon_j} \partial_1 - \beta_1 z^{\beta - \varepsilon_1} \partial_j] \\ &= \alpha_2 \beta_j \beta_1 z^{\alpha+\beta-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_j} \partial_1 - \alpha_2 \beta_j \alpha_1 z^{\alpha+\beta-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_j} \partial_1 \\ &\quad + \alpha_2 \beta_1 \alpha_j z^{\alpha+\beta-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_j} \partial_1 - \alpha_2 \beta_1 (\beta_1 - 1) z^{\alpha+\beta-2\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2} \partial_j \\ &\quad + \alpha_1 \beta_j (\alpha_1 - 1) z^{\alpha+\beta-2\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_j} \partial_2 - \alpha_1 \beta_j \beta_2 z^{\alpha+\beta-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_j} \partial_1 \\ &\quad + \alpha_1 \beta_1 \beta_2 z^{\alpha+\beta-2\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2} \partial_j - \alpha_1 \beta_1 \alpha_j z^{\alpha+\beta-2\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_j} \partial_2 \\ &= (\alpha_2 \beta_j \beta_1 - \alpha_2 \beta_j \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 \alpha_j - \alpha_1 \beta_j \beta_2) z^{\alpha+\beta-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_j} \partial_1 \\ &\quad + \alpha_1 (\beta_j (\alpha_1 - 1) - \beta_1 \alpha_j) z^{\alpha+\beta-2\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_j} \partial_2 \\ &\quad + \beta_1 (-\alpha_2 (\beta_1 - 1) + \alpha_1 \beta_2) z^{\alpha+\beta-2\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2} \partial_j. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the above with

$$\begin{aligned} D_{1,2}(z^{\alpha+\beta-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_j}) &= (\alpha_2 + \beta_2)z^{\alpha+\beta-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_j} \partial_1 - (\alpha_1 + \beta_1 - 1)z^{\alpha+\beta-2\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_j} \partial_2, \\ D_{1,j}(z^{\alpha+\beta-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2}) &= (\alpha_j + \beta_j)z^{\alpha+\beta-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_j} \partial_1 - (\alpha_1 + \beta_1 - 1)z^{\alpha+\beta-2\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2} \partial_j, \\ D_{2,j}(z^{\alpha+\beta-2\varepsilon_1}) &= (\alpha_j + \beta_j)z^{\alpha+\beta-2\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_j} \partial_2 - (\alpha_2 + \beta_2)z^{\alpha+\beta-2\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2} \partial_j, \end{aligned}$$

one readily sees that (7) holds. \square

Another useful fact is the following:

$$(9) \quad [\partial_\ell, D_{i,j}(f)] = D_{i,j}(\partial_\ell(f)) \text{ for all } i, j, \ell = 1, \dots, m \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{O}.$$

The remaining part of the proof of Theorem 3.2 will be divided into four steps.

Step 1: Without loss of generality, we may assume

$$(10) \quad {}^p\delta(D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2)) = 0.$$

Substituting $\alpha = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$ into expressions (5) through (8), we see that each nonzero element $D_{i,j}(z^\beta)$ is an eigenvector for the operator $\text{ad } D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2)$, with eigenvalue $\lambda(i, j, \beta) = \beta_1 - \beta_2 - 1$, $\beta_1 - \beta_2$ or $\beta_1 - \beta_2 + 1$, depending on i, j . (For the case $i = 1$ and $j > 2$, one has to combine the first and the third terms in expression (7), which gives $-(\beta_2 + 1)D_{1,j}(z^\beta)$.) Since $\lambda(i, j, \beta)$ is in the field GF_p , we have $D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2) = D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2)^p$. Applying the operator ${}^p\delta$ to both sides and using (4), we obtain:

$${}^p\delta(D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2)) = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_p = p} ({}^{i_1}\delta)(D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2)) \cdots ({}^{i_p}\delta)(D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2))$$

Taking into account (2) and (9), we see that ${}^k\delta(D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2)) = 0$ for $1 < k < p$. Hence,

$$(11) \quad {}^p\delta(D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2)) = ({}^1\delta(D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2)))^p + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2)^k ({}^p\delta)(D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2)) D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2)^{p-k-1}.$$

Since ${}^1\delta(D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2)) = [\partial_1, D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2)] = D_{1,2}(z_2) = \partial_1$ and $\partial_1^p = 0$, the first term on the right-hand side of (11) vanishes. The second term can be rewritten using the identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} X^k Y X^{p-k-1} = (\text{ad } X)^{p-1}(Y),$$

where $X = D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2)$ and $Y = {}^p\delta(X)$. Thus, (11) yields

$$Y = (\text{ad } D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2))^{p-1}(Y).$$

It follows that Y can be written as a linear combination of those $D_{i,j}(z^\beta)$ for which the eigenvalue $\lambda(i, j, \beta)$ is nonzero:

$${}^p\delta(D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2)) = \sum_{i,j,\beta : \lambda(i,j,\beta) \neq 0} \sigma_\beta^{i,j} D_{i,j}(z^\beta).$$

It remains to replace ${}^p\delta$ with

$${}^p\delta + \sum_{i,j,\beta} \frac{\sigma_\beta^{i,j}}{\lambda(i,j,\beta)} \text{ad } D_{i,j}(z^\beta),$$

to complete Step 1.

Step 2: Without loss of generality, we may assume that, in addition to (10), we also have

$$(12) \quad {}^p\delta(\partial_1) = \sum_{i,j,\beta:\beta_1=p-1} \tau_\beta^{i,j} D_{i,j}(z^\beta)$$

for some scalars $\tau_\beta^{i,j}$.

By (9), we have $[\partial_1, D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2)] = \partial_1$. Applying the operator ${}^p\delta$ to both sides and using (3), (2) and (10), we obtain:

$${}^p\delta(\partial_1) = \sum_{k=0}^p [{}^k\delta(\partial_1), {}^{p-k}\delta(D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2))] = [{}^p\delta(\partial_1), D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2)].$$

Hence $[D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2), Y] = -Y$ where $Y = {}^p\delta(\partial_1)$. It follows that Y can be written as a linear combination of those $D_{i,j}(z^\beta)$ for which the eigenvalue $\lambda(i, j, \beta)$ is -1 :

$$(13) \quad {}^p\delta(\partial_1) = \sum_{i,j,\beta:\lambda(i,j,\beta)=-1} \tau_\beta^{i,j} D_{i,j}(z^\beta).$$

Now replace ${}^p\delta$ with

$${}^p\delta + \sum_{i,j,\beta:\beta_1 \neq p-1} \frac{\tau_\beta^{i,j}}{\beta_1 + 1} \text{ad } D_{i,j}(z^{\beta+\varepsilon_1}).$$

Using (9), one readily sees that, for the new ${}^p\delta$, we have (12), because all terms with $\beta_1 \neq p-1$ in the right-hand side of (13) will cancel out. It remains to check that we still have (10) for the new ${}^p\delta$. In other words, we have to check that $[D_{1,2}(z_1 z_2), D_{i,j}(z^{\beta+\varepsilon_1})] = 0$ for all i, j, β with $\tau_\beta^{i,j} \neq 0$. But this is clear, because $\lambda(i, j, \beta + \varepsilon_1) = \lambda(i, j, \beta) + 1$ and thus we have $\lambda(i, j, \beta + \varepsilon_1) = 0$ for all i, j, β that occur in the right-hand side of (13). Step 2 is complete.

Step 3: For any element $X = f_1 \partial_1 + \dots + f_m \partial_m \in W$, define

$$\text{pr}_1(X) := f_1 \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Assume (10) and (12). Then, for any $k = 1, \dots, p-1$, $\text{pr}_1({}^p\delta(D_{1,2}(z_1^k z_2)))$ is a linear combination of z^γ with $0 \leq \gamma_1 < k$.

We proceed by induction on k . The basis for $k = 1$ follows from (10). Now suppose the claim holds for some $k \geq 1$. By (9), we have

$$[\partial_1, D_{1,2}(z_1^{k+1} z_2)] = (k+1)D_{1,2}(z_1^k z_2).$$

Applying the operator ${}^p\delta$ to both sides, we obtain

$$(14) \quad {}^p\delta([\partial_1, D_{1,2}(z_1^{k+1} z_2)]) = (k+1)({}^p\delta)(D_{1,2}(z_1^k z_2)).$$

Using (3),(2) and (12), the left-hand side of (14) becomes

$$\left[\sum_{i,j,\beta:\beta_1=p-1} \tau_\beta^{i,j} D_{i,j}(z^\beta), D_{1,2}(z_1^{k+1} z_2) \right] + [\partial_1, {}^p\delta(D_{1,2}(z_1^{k+1} z_2))].$$

Setting $Y = {}^p\delta(D_{1,2}(z_1^{k+1} z_2))$, we can rewrite (14) as follows:

$$(15) \quad [\partial_1, Y] = (k+1)({}^p\delta)(D_{1,2}(z_1^k z_2)) + \sum_{i,j,\beta:\beta_1=p-1} \tau_\beta^{i,j} [D_{1,2}(z_1^{k+1} z_2), D_{i,j}(z^\beta)].$$

Our goal is to show that monomials z^γ with $\gamma_1 \geq k + 1$ do not occur in $f_1 := \text{pr}_1(Y)$. Since $\text{pr}_1[\partial_1, Y] = \partial_1 f_1$, it suffices to show that elements $z^\gamma \partial_1$ with $\gamma_1 \geq k$ do not occur in the right-hand side of (15), when it is regarded as an element of W . The induction hypothesis tells us that such elements do not occur in the first term of the right-hand side of (15). We are going to prove the same for the second term.

In the case $2 < i < j$, we have by (5):

$$[D_{1,2}(z_1^{k+1} z_2), D_{i,j}(z^\beta)] = (\beta_1 - \beta_2(k+1))D_{i,j}(z^{\beta+k\varepsilon_1}).$$

Hence, no elements $z^\gamma \partial_1$ occur here.

In the case $2 = i < j$, we have by (6):

$$[D_{1,2}(z_1^{k+1} z_2), D_{i,j}(z^\beta)] = (\beta_1 - (\beta_2 - 1)(k+1))D_{2,j}(z^{\beta+k\varepsilon_1}).$$

Again, no elements $z^\gamma \partial_1$ occur.

In the case $i = 1$ and $2 < j$, we can write $[D_{1,2}(z_1^{k+1} z_2), D_{i,j}(z^\beta)]$, using (7) and $\beta_1 = p - 1$, as follows:

$$-(k+1)\beta_j D_{1,2}(z^{\beta+k\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_j}) - D_{1,j}(z^{\beta+k\varepsilon_1}) + (k+1)D_{2,j}(z^{\beta+(k-1)\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2}).$$

Elements $z^\gamma \partial_1$ occur only in the first two summands, and we have $\gamma = \beta + k\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_j$ in either case. Therefore, $\gamma_1 = \beta_1 + k = k - 1 \pmod p$ (recall that we may take the exponents of z modulo p).

In the case $i = 1, j = 2$, we have by $\beta_1 = p - 1$ and (8):

$$[D_{1,2}(z_1^{k+1} z_2), D_{1,2}(z^\beta)] = -((k+1)\beta_2 + 1)D_{1,2}(z^{\beta+k\varepsilon_1}).$$

Hence, elements $z^\gamma \partial_1$ occur with $\gamma = \beta + k\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$. Once again, $\gamma_1 = k - 1 \pmod p$.

The inductive proof of Step 3 is complete.

Step 4: We can finally obtain a contradiction. By (8), we have

$$[D_{1,2}(z_1^{p-1} z_2), D_{1,2}(z_1^2 z_2)] = 3D_{1,2}(z_2) = 3\partial_1.$$

Applying ${}^p\delta$ and taking into account (3), (2) and (12), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (16) \quad & 3 \sum_{i,j,\beta:\beta_1=p-1} \tau_\beta^{i,j} D_{i,j}(z^\beta) \\ &= \left[{}^p\delta(D_{1,2}(z_1^{p-1} z_2)), D_{1,2}(z_1^2 z_2) \right] + \left[D_{1,2}(z_1^{p-1} z_2), {}^p\delta(D_{1,2}(z_1^2 z_2)) \right] \\ &+ \left[D_{1,2} \left(\frac{1}{(p-1)!} \partial_1^{p-1}(z_1^{p-1} z_2) \right), D_{1,2} \left(\frac{1}{1!} \partial_1(z_1^2 z_2) \right) \right] \\ &+ \left[D_{1,2} \left(\frac{1}{(p-2)!} \partial_1^{p-2}(z_1^{p-1} z_2) \right), D_{1,2} \left(\frac{1}{2!} \partial_1^2(z_1^2 z_2) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

One readily verifies that the sum of the third and fourth terms in the right-hand side of (16) is $3\partial_1$. Let us consider the first and the second terms. Denote

$$X := {}^p\delta(D_{1,2}(z_1^{p-1} z_2)) \text{ and } Y := {}^p\delta(D_{1,2}(z_1^2 z_2)).$$

By Step 3, we know that $\text{pr}_1(X)$ is a linear combination of z^γ with $0 \leq \gamma_1 \leq p - 2$ and $\text{pr}_1(Y)$ is a linear combination of z^γ with $0 \leq \gamma_1 \leq 1$.

Since $D_{1,2}(z_1^2 z_2) = z_1^2 \partial_1 - 2z_1 z_2 \partial_2$, we see that the coefficient of ∂_1 depends only on z_1 and hence all terms with ∂_1 in the commutator $[X, D_{1,2}(z_1^2 z_2)]$ come from terms with ∂_1 in X . In other words,

$$\text{pr}_1[X, D_{1,2}(z_1^2 z_2)] = \text{pr}_1[\text{pr}_1(X)\partial_1, D_{1,2}(z_1^2 z_2)].$$

Since

$$[z^\gamma \partial_1, D_{1,2}(z_1^2 z_2)] = (2 - \gamma_1 + 2\gamma_2)z^{\gamma+\varepsilon_1} \partial_1 - 2z^{\gamma+\varepsilon_2} \partial_2,$$

we conclude that $\text{pr}_1[X, D_{1,2}(z_1^2 z_2)]$ is a linear combination of monomials $z^{\gamma+\varepsilon_1}$ with $0 \leq \gamma_1 \leq p-2$. Therefore, elements $z^\alpha \partial_1$ with $\alpha_1 = 0$ do not occur in the first term in the right-hand side of (16).

Since $D_{1,2}(z_1^{p-1} z_2) = z_1^{p-1} \partial_1 + z_1^{p-2} z_2 \partial_2$, we also see that

$$\text{pr}_1[D_{1,2}(z_1^{p-1} z_2), Y] = \text{pr}_1[D_{1,2}(z_1^{p-1} z_2), \text{pr}_1(Y)\partial_1].$$

Since

$$[D_{1,2}(z_1^{p-1} z_2), z^\gamma \partial_1] = (1 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2)z^{\gamma+(p-2)\varepsilon_1} \partial_1 + 2z^{\gamma+(p-3)\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2} \partial_2,$$

we conclude that $\text{pr}_1[D_{1,2}(z_1^{p-1} z_2), Y]$ is a linear combination of monomials $z^{\gamma+(p-2)\varepsilon_1}$ with $0 \leq \gamma_1 \leq 1$. Therefore, elements $z^\alpha \partial_1$ with $\alpha_1 = 0$ do not occur in the second term in the right-hand side of (16).

Finally, all elements $z^\alpha \partial_1$ that occur in the left-hand side of (16) have $\alpha_1 = p-1$. Summarizing our analysis, we obtain

$$3\partial_1 = 0,$$

which is a contradiction, since $p > 3$. The proof of Theorem 3.2 is complete. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2,*

$$\text{Lie}^k(\mathbf{Aut}(S^{(1)})) = \text{ad}(CS_{(0)}) \text{ for all } k > 0.$$

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$, $m = 2r$, and $H^{(2)} = H(m; \underline{1})^{(2)}$. Let*

$$\mathbf{Aut}_H(\mathcal{O}) = \text{Stab}_{\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O})}(\langle \omega_H \rangle).$$

Assume $p > 3$. Then the morphism $\text{Ad} : \mathbf{Aut}_H(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(H^{(2)})$ is an isomorphism of group schemes.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{G} be the distribution algebra of $\mathbf{Aut}(H^{(2)})$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have to show that $\text{Lie}^1(\mathfrak{G}) \subset \text{ad}(CH_{(0)})$ — i.e., for any $D \in CH$, if $D \notin CH_{(0)}$, then $\text{ad } D \notin \text{Lie}^1(\mathfrak{G})$. Again, we can write $D = \lambda_1 \partial_1 + \dots + \lambda_m \partial_m + D_0$ where $D_0 \in CH_{(0)}$ and the scalars $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are not all zero. Since $D_0 \in \text{Lie}^1(\mathbf{Aut}_H(\mathcal{O}))$ implies $\text{ad } D_0 \in \text{Lie}^1(\mathfrak{G})$, it suffices to prove that $\text{ad}(\lambda_1 \partial_1 + \dots + \lambda_m \partial_m) \notin \text{Lie}^1(\mathfrak{G})$. Applying an automorphism of \mathcal{O} induced by a suitable symplectic transformation on the space $\text{Span}\{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m\}$, we may assume without loss of generality that $D = \partial_1$.

By way of contradiction, assume that $\text{ad } \partial_1 \in \text{Lie}^1(\mathfrak{G})$. Then there exists a sequence of divided powers $1 = {}^0h, {}^1h, \dots, {}^p h$ in \mathfrak{G} such that ${}^1h = \text{ad } \partial_1$. We may assume without loss of generality that ${}^k h = \frac{1}{k!}({}^1h)^k$ for $k = 0, \dots, p-1$.

The distribution algebra \mathfrak{G} acts canonically on $H^{(2)}$, so we have a homomorphism $\eta : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \text{End}(H^{(2)})$. The restriction of η to $\text{Prim}(\mathfrak{G}) = \text{Der}(H^{(2)})$ is the identity map. Let ${}^k \delta = \eta({}^k h)$ for $k = 0, \dots, p$. Then we have

$$(17) \quad {}^k \delta = \frac{1}{k!}(\text{ad } \partial_1)^k \text{ for } k = 0, \dots, p-1,$$

and, since $H^{(2)}$ is a \mathfrak{G} -module algebra,

$$(18) \quad {}^k\delta([X, Y]) = \sum_{j=0}^k [{}^j\delta(X), {}^{k-j}\delta(Y)] \text{ for all } k = 0, \dots, p \text{ and } X, Y \in H^{(2)}.$$

For the extended action of \mathfrak{G} on the restricted enveloping algebra $u(H^{(2)})$, we have

$$(19) \quad {}^k\delta(XY) = \sum_{j=0}^k ({}^j\delta)(X) ({}^{k-j}\delta)(Y) \text{ for all } k = 0, \dots, p \text{ and } X, Y \in u(H^{(2)}).$$

We may replace ${}^p\delta$ by ${}^p\delta + \xi$, where ξ is any derivation of $H^{(2)}$, without affecting equations (18) and (19). We will use this observation to simplify the operator ${}^p\delta$.

As in [11, Section 4.2], we define

$$\sigma(i) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, r; \\ -1 & \text{if } i = r+1, \dots, 2r; \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad i' := i + \sigma(i)r.$$

Also we define a map $D_H : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow H$ as follows:

$$D_H(f) := \sum_{i=1}^{2r} \sigma(i) \partial_i(f) \partial_{i'}.$$

Note that the kernel of D_H is $\mathbb{F}1$.

Let $z_i = 1 + x_i$, $i = 1, \dots, 2r$. For each multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{(2r; \mathbb{1})}$, we set

$$z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_{2r}^{\alpha_{2r}}.$$

We may regard the components of α as elements of the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_p when dealing with z^α . For the calculations we are about to carry out, we will need the following formula:

$$(20) \quad [D_H(z^\alpha), D_H(z^\beta)] = D_H(D_H(z^\alpha)(z^\beta)) \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{(2r; \mathbb{1})}.$$

In particular,

$$(21) \quad [\partial_\ell, D_H(z^\beta)] = D_H(\partial_\ell(z^\beta)) \text{ for all } \ell = 1, \dots, 2r \text{ and } \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{(2r; \mathbb{1})}.$$

Let $\tau = (p-1, \dots, p-1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(2r; \mathbb{1})}$. It follows from [11, Section 4.2] that

$$\{D_H(z^\alpha) \mid 0 < \alpha < \tau\} \text{ is a basis of } H^{(2)}.$$

The remaining part of the proof of Theorem 3.5 will be divided into four steps, which are similar to the steps in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Step 1: Without loss of generality, we may assume

$$(22) \quad {}^p\delta(D_H(z_1 z_{r+1})) = 0.$$

Substituting $\alpha = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$ into equation (20), we see that $D_H(z^\beta)$ is an eigenvector for the operator $\text{ad } D_H(z_1 z_{r+1})$, with eigenvalue $\beta_{r+1} - \beta_1$. Since $\beta_{r+1} - \beta_1$ is in the field GF_p , we have $D_H(z_1 z_{r+1}) = D_H(z_1 z_{r+1})^p$. Applying the operator ${}^p\delta$ to both sides and using (19), we obtain:

$${}^p\delta(D_H(z_1 z_{r+1})) = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_p = p} ({}^{i_1}\delta)(D_H(z_1 z_{r+1})) \cdots ({}^{i_p}\delta)(D_H(z_1 z_{r+1}))$$

Taking into account (17) and (21), we see that ${}^k\delta(D_H(z_1 z_{r+1})) = 0$ for $1 < k < p$. Hence,

$$(23) \quad {}^p\delta(D_H(z_1 z_{r+1})) = ({}^1\delta(D_H(z_1 z_{r+1})))^p + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} D_H(z_1 z_{r+1})^k ({}^p\delta)(D_H(z_1 z_{r+1})) D_H(z_1 z_{r+1})^{p-k-1}.$$

Since ${}^1\delta(D_H(z_1 z_{r+1})) = [\partial_1, D_H(z_1 z_{r+1})] = D_H(z_{r+1}) = -\partial_1$ and $\partial_1^p = 0$, the first term on the right-hand side of (23) vanishes. The second term can be rewritten using the identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} X^k Y X^{p-k-1} = (\text{ad } X)^{p-1}(Y),$$

where $X = D_H(z_1 z_{r+1})$ and $Y = {}^p\delta(X)$. Thus, (23) yields

$$Y = (\text{ad } D_H(z_1 z_{r+1}))^{p-1}(Y).$$

It follows that Y is a linear combination of those $D_H(z^\beta)$ for which the eigenvalue $\beta_{r+1} - \beta_1$ is nonzero:

$${}^p\delta(D_H(z_1 z_{r+1})) = \sum_{\beta: \beta_{r+1} \neq \beta_1} \sigma_\beta D_H(z^\beta).$$

It remains to replace ${}^p\delta$ with

$${}^p\delta + \sum_{\beta} \frac{\sigma_\beta}{\beta_{r+1} - \beta_1} \text{ad } D_H(z^\beta),$$

to complete Step 1.

Step 2: Without loss of generality, we may assume that, in addition to (22), we also have

$$(24) \quad {}^p\delta(\partial_1) = \sum_{\beta: \beta_1 = p-1} \tau_\beta D_H(z^\beta)$$

for some scalars τ_β .

By (21), we have $[\partial_1, D_H(z_1 z_{r+1})] = -\partial_1$. Applying the operator ${}^p\delta$ to both sides and using (18), (17) and (22), we obtain:

$$-{}^p\delta(\partial_1) = \sum_{k=0}^p [{}^k\delta(\partial_1), {}^{p-k}\delta(D_H(z_1 z_{r+1}))] = [{}^p\delta(\partial_1), D_H(z_1 z_{r+1})].$$

Hence $[D_H(z_1 z_{r+1}), Y] = Y$ where $Y = {}^p\delta(\partial_1)$. It follows that Y is a linear combination of those $D_H(z^\beta)$ for which the eigenvalue $\beta_{r+1} - \beta_1$ is 1:

$$(25) \quad {}^p\delta(\partial_1) = \sum_{\beta: \beta_{r+1} - \beta_1 = 1} \tau_\beta D_H(z^\beta).$$

Now replace ${}^p\delta$ with

$${}^p\delta + \sum_{\beta: \beta_1 \neq p-1} \frac{\tau_\beta}{\beta_1 + 1} \text{ad } D_H(z^{\beta+\varepsilon_1}).$$

Using (21), one readily sees that, for the new ${}^p\delta$, we have (24), because all terms with $\beta_1 \neq p-1$ in the right-hand side of (25) will cancel out. It remains to check that we still have (22) for the new ${}^p\delta$. In other words, we have to check that $[D_H(z_1 z_{r+1}), D_H(z^{\beta+\varepsilon_1})] = 0$ for all β with $\tau_\beta \neq 0$. But this is clear, because we

have $\beta_{r+1} - (\beta_1 + 1) = 0$ for all β that occur in the right-hand side of (25). Step 2 is complete.

Step 3: Assume (22) and (24). Then, for any $k = 1, \dots, p-1$, ${}^p\delta(D_H(z_1^k z_{r+1}))$ is a linear combination of $D_H(z^\gamma)$ with $0 \leq \gamma_1 < k$.

We proceed by induction on k . The basis for $k = 1$ follows from (22). Now suppose the claim holds for some $k \geq 1$. By (21), we have

$$[\partial_1, D_H(z_1^{k+1} z_{r+1})] = (k+1)D_H(z_1^k z_{r+1}).$$

Applying the operator ${}^p\delta$ to both sides and taking into account (18) and (17), we obtain

$$(26) \quad [{}^p\delta(\partial_1), D_H(z_1^{k+1} z_{r+1})] + [\partial_1, {}^p\delta(D_H(z_1^{k+1} z_{r+1}))] = (k+1)({}^p\delta)(D_H(z_1^k z_{r+1})).$$

Writing

$$(27) \quad {}^p\delta(D_H(z_1^{k+1} z_{r+1})) = \sum_{0 < \gamma < \tau} \sigma_\gamma D_H(z^\gamma)$$

and taking into account (24), (20) and (21), we can rewrite the left-hand side of (26) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\beta: \beta_1=p-1} \tau_\beta [D_H(z^\beta), D_H(z_1^{k+1} z_{r+1})] + \sum_{\gamma} \sigma_\gamma [\partial_1, D_H(z^\gamma)] \\ &= - \sum_{\beta: \beta_1=p-1} \tau_\beta D_H(((k+1)z_1^k z_{r+1} \partial_{r+1} - z_1^{k+1} \partial_1)(z^\beta)) + \sum_{\gamma} \sigma_\gamma D_H(\partial_1(z^\gamma)) \\ &= - \sum_{\beta: \beta_1=p-1} \tau_\beta D_H((k+1)\beta_{r+1} z^{\beta+k\varepsilon_1} - \beta_1 z^{\beta+k\varepsilon_1}) + \sum_{\gamma} \sigma_\gamma \gamma_1 D_H(z^{\gamma-\varepsilon_1}) \\ &= - \sum_{\beta: \beta_1=p-1} \tau_\beta ((k+1)\beta_{r+1} + 1) D_H(z^{\beta+k\varepsilon_1}) + \sum_{\gamma} \sigma_\gamma \gamma_1 D_H(z^{\gamma-\varepsilon_1}). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\tau'_\beta := \tau_\beta((k+1)\beta_{r+1} + 1)$, we can now rewrite (26) as follows:

$$(28) \quad \sum_{\gamma} \sigma_\gamma \gamma_1 D_H(z^{\gamma-\varepsilon_1}) = (k+1)({}^p\delta)(D_H(z_1^k z_{r+1})) + \sum_{\beta: \beta_1=p-1} \tau'_\beta D_H(z^{\beta+k\varepsilon_1}).$$

Since the induction hypothesis applies to the first term in the right-hand side of (28), we see that all elements $D_H(z^\alpha)$ that occur in the right-hand side have $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq k-1$. Comparing this with the left-hand side, we conclude that for any γ with $\sigma_\gamma \neq 0$ we have either $\gamma_1 = 0$ or $0 \leq \gamma_1 - 1 \leq k-1$. Hence the elements $D_H(z^\gamma)$ that occur in the right-hand side of (27) have $0 \leq \gamma_1 \leq k$.

The inductive proof of Step 3 is complete.

Step 4: We can finally obtain a contradiction. By (20), we have

$$[D_H(z_1^2 z_{r+1}), D_H(z_1^{p-1} z_{r+1})] = 3D_H(z_{r+1}) = -3\partial_1.$$

Applying ${}^p\delta$ and taking into account (18) and (17), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
-3({}^p\delta)(\partial_1) &= [{}^p\delta(D_H(z_1^2 z_{r+1})), D_H(z_1^{p-1} z_{r+1})] + [D_H(z_1^2 z_{r+1}), {}^p\delta(D_H(z_1^{p-1} z_{r+1}))] \\
&\quad + \left[D_H\left(\frac{1}{1!}\partial_1(z_1^2 z_{r+1})\right), D_H\left(\frac{1}{(p-1)!}\partial_1^{p-1}(z_1^{p-1} z_{r+1})\right) \right] \\
&\quad + \left[D_H\left(\frac{1}{2!}\partial_1^2(z_1^2 z_{r+1})\right), D_H\left(\frac{1}{(p-2)!}\partial_1^{p-2}(z_1^{p-1} z_{r+1})\right) \right] \\
&= [{}^p\delta(D_H(z_1^2 z_{r+1})), D_H(z_1^{p-1} z_{r+1})] + [D_H(z_1^2 z_{r+1}), {}^p\delta(D_H(z_1^{p-1} z_{r+1}))] \\
&\quad + 3[D_H(z_1 z_{r+1}), D_H(z_{r+1})] \\
&= [{}^p\delta(D_H(z_1^2 z_{r+1})), D_H(z_1^{p-1} z_{r+1})] + [D_H(z_1^2 z_{r+1}), {}^p\delta(D_H(z_1^{p-1} z_{r+1}))] \\
&\quad - 3\partial_1.
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(29) \quad 3\partial_1 &= [{}^p\delta(D_H(z_1^2 z_{r+1})), D_H(z_1^{p-1} z_{r+1})] + [D_H(z_1^2 z_{r+1}), {}^p\delta(D_H(z_1^{p-1} z_{r+1}))] \\
&\quad + 3({}^p\delta)(\partial_1).
\end{aligned}$$

By Step 3, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^p\delta(D_H(z_1^2 z_{r+1})) &= \sum_{\alpha: 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq 1} \sigma_\alpha^{(2)} D_H(z^\alpha); \\
{}^p\delta(D_H(z_1^{p-1} z_{r+1})) &= \sum_{\alpha: 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq p-2} \sigma_\alpha^{(p-1)} D_H(z^\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (20) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[{}^p\delta(D_H(z_1^2 z_{r+1})), D_H(z_1^{p-1} z_{r+1})] \\
&= \sum_{\alpha: 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq 1} \sigma_\alpha^{(2)} [D_H(z^\alpha), D_H(z_1^{p-1} z_{r+1})] \\
&= - \sum_{\alpha: 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq 1} \sigma_\alpha^{(2)} D_H((-z_1^{p-2} z_{r+1} \partial_{r+1} - z_1^{p-1} \partial_1)(z^\alpha)) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha: 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq 1} \sigma_\alpha^{(2)} (\alpha_{r+1} + \alpha_1) D_H(z^{\alpha-2\varepsilon_1}),
\end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[D_H(z_1^2 z_{r+1}), {}^p\delta(D_H(z_1^{p-1} z_{r+1}))] \\
&= \sum_{\alpha: 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq p-2} \sigma_\alpha^{(p-1)} [D_H(z_1^2 z_{r+1}), D_H(z^\alpha)] \\
&= \sum_{\alpha: 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq p-2} \sigma_\alpha^{(p-1)} D_H((2z_1 z_{r+1} \partial_{r+1} - z_1^2 \partial_1)(z^\alpha)) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha: 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq p-2} \sigma_\alpha^{(p-1)} (2\alpha_{r+1} - \alpha_1) D_H(z^{\alpha+\varepsilon_1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above calculations and (24), we can rewrite (29) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 3\partial_1 &= \sum_{\alpha: 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq 1} \sigma_\alpha^{(2)}(\alpha_{r+1} + \alpha_1) D_H(z^{\alpha-2\varepsilon_1}) \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha: 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq p-2} \sigma_\alpha^{(p-1)}(2\alpha_{r+1} - \alpha_1) D_H(z^{\alpha+\varepsilon_1}) \\ &+ 3 \sum_{\beta: \beta_1=p-1} \tau_\beta D_H(z^\beta). \end{aligned}$$

This equation is impossible, because $p > 3$ and none of the sums in the right-hand side involves $D_H(z_{r+1})$. The proof of Theorem 3.5 is complete. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.5,*

$$\mathrm{Lie}^k(\mathbf{Aut}(H^{(2)})) = \mathrm{ad}(CH_{(0)}) \text{ for all } k > 0.$$

4. GROUP GRADINGS

Let G be an abelian group. In this section we will give a classification of G -gradings on the algebra $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$ and on the simple Lie algebras $W(m; \underline{1})$, $S(m; \underline{1})^{(1)}$ ($m \geq 3$) and $S(2; \underline{1})^{(2)} = H(2; \underline{1})^{(2)}$.

Given a G -grading $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}} : \mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{O}_g$, we obtain an induced grading on $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{O})$. It is easy to see that $W = \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O})$ is a graded subspace, so it inherits a G -grading, which will be denoted by $\Gamma_W : W = \bigoplus_{g \in G} W_g$. The spaces Ω^k also receive G -gradings in a natural way, and one can verify that the maps $d : \Omega^k \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}$ respect the G -gradings. (The canonical \mathbb{Z} -gradings of W and Ω^k are induced by the canonical \mathbb{Z} -grading of \mathcal{O} in this manner.) However, $S = S(m; \underline{1})$ (respectively, $H = H(m; \underline{1})$) is not a graded subspace of W , in general. It is certainly a graded subspace if we assume that ω_S (respectively, ω_H) is a homogeneous element with respect to the G -grading on Ω^m (respectively, Ω^2).

Definition 4.1. We will say that a G -grading $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}} : \mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{O}_g$ is *S -admissible* (respectively, *H -admissible*) of degree $g_0 \in G$ if the form ω_S (respectively, ω_H) is a homogeneous element of degree g_0 .

If $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$ is S -admissible (respectively, H -admissible), then we will denote the induced G -gradings on S (respectively, H) and its derived algebra(s) by Γ_S (respectively, Γ_H).

We will now recall the connection between group gradings on an algebra and certain subgroup schemes of its automorphism group scheme. Let U be an algebra. For any group G , a G -grading on U is equivalent to a structure of an $\mathbb{F}G$ -comodule algebra (see e.g. [8]). Assuming U finite-dimensional and G finitely generated abelian, we can regard this comodule structure as a morphism of algebraic group schemes $G^D \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(U)$ where G^D is the Cartier dual of G , i.e., the group scheme represented by the commutative Hopf algebra $\mathbb{F}G$. Two G -gradings are isomorphic if and only if the corresponding morphisms $G^D \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(U)$ are conjugate by an automorphism of U .

If $\mathrm{char} \mathbb{F} = 0$, then $G^D = \widehat{G}$, the algebraic group of multiplicative characters of G , and $\mathbf{Aut}(U) = \mathrm{Aut}(U)$, the algebraic group of automorphisms. The image of \widehat{G} in $\mathrm{Aut}(U)$ is a *quasitorus*, i.e., a diagonalizable algebraic group. The G -grading on U is, of course, the eigenspace decomposition of U with respect to this quasitorus. Hence, group gradings on U correspond to quasitori in $\mathrm{Aut}(U)$.

Here we are interested in the case $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = p > 0$. Then we can write $G = G_{p'} \times G_p$ where $G_{p'}$ has no p -torsion and G_p is a p -group. Hence $G^D = \widehat{G_{p'}} \times G_p^D$, where $\widehat{G_{p'}}$ is smooth and G_p^D is finite and connected. The algebraic group $\widehat{G_{p'}}$ (which is equal to \widehat{G}) is a quasitorus, and it acts by automorphisms of U as follows:

$$\chi * X = \chi(g)X \quad \text{for all } X \in U_g \text{ and } g \in G.$$

If G_p is an elementary p -group, then the distribution algebra of G_p^D is the restricted enveloping algebra $u(T)$ where T is the group of additive characters of G_p , regarded as an abelian restricted Lie algebra. If $\{a_1, \dots, a_s\}$ is a basis of G_p (as a vector space over the field GF_p), then the dual basis $\{t_1, \dots, t_s\}$ of T has the property $(t_i)^p = t_i$ for all i . Therefore, T is a *torus* in the sense of restricted Lie algebras. It acts by derivations of U as follows:

$$t * X = t(g)X \quad \text{for all } X \in U_g \text{ and } g \in G.$$

If G_p is not elementary, then the distribution algebra of G_p^D is not generated by primitive elements and hence its action on U does not reduce to derivations. Regardless of what the case may be, the image of G^D in $\mathbf{Aut}(U)$ is a diagonalizable subscheme. In some sense, the G -grading on U is its eigenspace decomposition (see e.g. [14]).

Now, Theorems 3.1 (where, as was pointed out, one can include the cases $p = 2$ and $p = 3$), 3.2 and 3.5 give us the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *Let G be an abelian group. Let L be one of the following simple Lie algebras: $W = W(m; \underline{1})$ ($m \geq 3$ if $p = 2$ and $m \geq 2$ if $p = 3$), $S^{(1)} = S(m; \underline{1})^{(1)}$ ($m \geq 3$ and $p > 3$) or $H^{(2)} = H(m; \underline{1})^{(2)}$ ($m = 2r$ and $p > 3$). Then any G -grading on L is induced by a G -grading on $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$. More precisely,*

- 1) *The correspondence $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}} \mapsto \Gamma_W$ is a bijection between the G -gradings on \mathcal{O} and the G -gradings on W . It induces a bijection between the isomorphism classes of these gradings.*
- 2) *The correspondence $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}} \mapsto \Gamma_S$ is a bijection between the S -admissible G -gradings on \mathcal{O} and the G -gradings on $S^{(1)}$. It induces a bijection between the isomorphism classes of G -gradings on $S^{(1)}$ and the $\text{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits of the S -admissible G -gradings on \mathcal{O} .*
- 3) *The correspondence $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}} \mapsto \Gamma_H$ is a bijection between the H -admissible G -gradings on \mathcal{O} and the G -gradings on $H^{(2)}$. It induces a bijection between the isomorphism classes of G -gradings on $H^{(2)}$ and the $\text{Aut}_H(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits of the H -admissible G -gradings on \mathcal{O} .*

Proof. Let $\Gamma : L = \bigoplus_{g \in G} L_g$ be a G -grading. Replacing G with the subgroup generated by the support, we may assume that G is finitely generated. We also obtain that the corresponding morphism $G^D \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(L)$ is a closed imbedding.

Consider the case $L = W$. Due to the isomorphism $\text{Ad} : \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(L)$, we obtain a closed imbedding $G^D \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$, which corresponds to a G -grading $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}} : \mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{O}_g$ (whose support also generates G , since otherwise we would not have a closed imbedding). The induced G -grading Γ_W on W is obtained by inducing the $\mathbb{F}G$ -comodule structure from \mathcal{O} to $\text{End}(\mathcal{O})$ and then restricting to L , which agrees with how $\text{Ad} : \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(L)$ is defined. Therefore, $\Gamma = \Gamma_W$.

In the case $L = S^{(1)}$, we obtain a closed imbedding $G^D \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O})$, so the subspace $\langle \omega_S \rangle$ of Ω^m is G^D -invariant, i.e., $\langle \omega_S \rangle$ is an $\mathbb{F}G$ -subcomodule. Hence ω_S is a homogeneous element in the corresponding G -grading $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}} : \mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{O}_g$.

The proof in the case $L = H^{(2)}$ is similar. \square

Remark 4.3. It follows from the proof that the supports of the gradings $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$, Γ_W , Γ_S and Γ_H generate the same subgroup in G .

We will now describe all possible G -gradings on $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$ and let \mathfrak{M} be its unique maximal ideal. Let G be an abelian group and let $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{O}_g$ be a G -grading.*

- 1) *There exist elements y_1, \dots, y_m of \mathfrak{M} and $0 \leq s \leq m$ such that the elements $1 + y_1, \dots, 1 + y_s, y_{s+1}, \dots, y_m$ are G -homogeneous and $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{M} modulo \mathfrak{M}^2 .*
- 2) *Let $P = \{g \in G \mid \mathcal{O}_g \not\subset \mathfrak{M}\}$. Then P is an elementary p -subgroup of G .*
- 3) *Let $\{b_1, \dots, b_s\}$ be a basis of P . Then the elements y_1, \dots, y_m can be chosen in such a way that the degree of $1 + y_i$ is b_i , for all $i = 1, \dots, s$.*

Proof. 1) Pick a basis for \mathcal{O} consisting of G -homogeneous elements and select a subset $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ of this basis that is linearly independent modulo $\mathbb{F}1 \oplus \mathfrak{M}^2$. Order the elements f_i so that f_1, \dots, f_s have a nonzero constant term and f_{s+1}, \dots, f_m belong to \mathfrak{M} . Rescale f_1, \dots, f_s so that the constant term is 1. Let $y_i = f_i - 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$ and $y_i = f_i$ for $i = s + 1, \dots, m$. Then y_1, \dots, y_m is a basis of \mathfrak{M} modulo \mathfrak{M}^2 .

2) Clearly, $e \in P$. If $a, b \in P$, then there exist elements $u \in \mathcal{O}_a$ and $v \in \mathcal{O}_b$ that are not in \mathfrak{M} . Then the element $uv \in \mathcal{O}_{ab}$ is not in \mathfrak{M} , so $ab \in P$. Also, since u^p is a nonzero scalar, we have $a^p = e$. It follows that P is an elementary p -subgroup.

3) Any element of \mathcal{O} can be uniquely written as a (truncated) polynomial in the variables $1 + y_1, \dots, 1 + y_s, y_{s+1}, \dots, y_m$. Hence, for any $g \in G$,

$$(30) \quad \mathcal{O}_g = \text{Span} \left\{ (1 + y_1)^{j_1} \cdots (1 + y_s)^{j_s} y_{s+1}^{j_{s+1}} \cdots y_m^{j_m} \mid 0 \leq j_i < p, a_1^{j_1} \cdots a_m^{j_m} = g \right\},$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_m \in G$ are the degrees of $1 + y_1, \dots, 1 + y_s, y_{s+1}, \dots, y_m$, respectively. It follows that a_1, \dots, a_s generate P . Suppose they do not form a basis of P — say, $a_s = a_1^{\ell_1} \cdots a_{s-1}^{\ell_{s-1}}$. Set $\tilde{y}_i = y_i$ for $i \neq s$ and

$$\tilde{y}_s := 1 + y_s - (1 + y_1)^{\ell_1} \cdots (1 + y_{s-1})^{\ell_{s-1}}.$$

Then $1 + \tilde{y}_1, \dots, 1 + \tilde{y}_{s-1}, \tilde{y}_s, \dots, \tilde{y}_m$ are homogeneous of degrees a_1, \dots, a_m , respectively. Also, $\tilde{y}_s \in \mathfrak{M}$ and

$$\tilde{y}_s = y_s - (\ell_1 y_1 + \cdots + \ell_{s-1} y_{s-1}) \pmod{\mathfrak{M}^2},$$

so $\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_m$ still form a basis of \mathfrak{M} modulo \mathfrak{M}^2 . We have decreased s by 1. Repeating this process as necessary, we may assume that $\{a_1, \dots, a_s\}$ is a basis of P . Finally, if $\{b_1, \dots, b_s\}$ is another basis of P , we can write $b_j = \prod_{i=1}^s a_i^{\ell_{ij}}$, where (ℓ_{ij}) is a non-degenerate matrix with entries in the field GF_p . Set

$$\tilde{y}_j := \prod_{i=1}^s (1 + y_i)^{\ell_{ij}} - 1 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, s,$$

and $\tilde{y}_j = y_j$ for $j = s + 1, \dots, m$. Then $\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_m$ form a basis of \mathfrak{M} modulo \mathfrak{M}^2 , and $1 + \tilde{y}_j$ is homogeneous of degree b_j , $j = 1, \dots, s$. \square

Remark 4.5. Without loss of generality, assume that G is generated by the support of the grading $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{O}_g$. Let \mathbf{Q} be the image of G^D under the corresponding closed imbedding $G^D \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$. Let $\mathbf{H} = \text{Stab}_{\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O})}(\mathfrak{M})$. (In fact, $\mathbf{H} = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$, regarded as the largest smooth subgroup scheme of $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$.) Let $\mathbf{Q}_0 = \mathbf{Q} \cap \mathbf{H}$. Then P is the subgroup of G corresponding to the Hopf ideal of $\mathbb{F}G$ defining the subgroup scheme \mathbf{Q}_0 of \mathbf{Q} .

Proof. Let I_0 be the Hopf ideal defining the subgroup scheme \mathbf{Q}_0 and let G_0 be the corresponding subgroup of G . Consider the coarsening $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{\bar{g} \in G/G_0} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{g}}$ of the G -grading induced by the natural homomorphism $G \rightarrow G/G_0$, i.e., $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{g}} = \bigoplus_{g \in \bar{g}} \mathcal{O}_g$. This coarsening corresponds to the subgroup scheme $\mathbf{Q}_0 \subset \mathbf{Q}$. Since \mathbf{Q}_0 stabilizes the subspace $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathcal{O}$, we have $\mathfrak{M} = \bigoplus_{\bar{g} \in G/G_0} (\mathcal{O}_{\bar{g}} \cap \mathfrak{M})$. Hence $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{g}} \subset \mathfrak{M}$ for $\bar{g} \neq \bar{e}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{e}} = \mathbb{F}1 \oplus (\mathcal{O}_{\bar{e}} \cap \mathfrak{M})$. Hence $\mathcal{O}_g \subset \mathfrak{M}$ for all $g \notin G_0$, which proves $P \subset G_0$. To prove that $P = G_0$, consider the Hopf ideal I of $\mathbb{F}G$ corresponding to P . Then $I \subset I_0$. The subgroup scheme $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}$ of \mathbf{Q} defined by I acts trivially on each \mathcal{O}_g with $g \in P$. It follows that $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}$ stabilizes \mathfrak{M} . Hence $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} \subset \mathbf{Q}_0$ and $I \supset I_0$. \square

The description of G -gradings on $\mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$ resembles the description of G -gradings on the matrix algebra $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ — see e.g. [2, 5]. Namely, Proposition 4.4 shows that the G -graded algebra $\mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$ is isomorphic to the tensor product $\mathcal{O}(s; \underline{1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(m-s; \underline{1})$ where the first factor has a *division grading* (in the sense that each homogeneous component is spanned by an invertible element) and the second factor has an *elementary grading* (in the sense that it is induced by a grading of the underlying vector space $\mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{M}^2$). The isomorphism in question is, of course, the one defined by $y_1 \mapsto x_1 \otimes 1, \dots, y_s \mapsto x_s \otimes 1$ and $y_{s+1} \mapsto 1 \otimes x_1, \dots, y_m \mapsto 1 \otimes x_{m-s}$. The first factor, $\mathcal{O}(s; \underline{1})$, is isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{F}P$ as a G -graded algebra (where $\mathbb{F}P$ has the standard P -grading, which is regarded as a G -grading).

To state the classification of G -gradings on \mathcal{O} up to isomorphism, we introduce some notation.

Definition 4.6. Let $P \subset G$ be an elementary p -subgroup of rank s , $0 \leq s \leq m$. Let $t = m - s$ and let

$$\gamma = (g_1, \dots, g_t) \in G^t.$$

We endow the algebra $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$ with a G -grading as follows. Select a basis $\{b_1, \dots, b_s\}$ for P and declare the degrees of $1 + x_1, \dots, 1 + x_s$ to be b_1, \dots, b_s , respectively. Declare the degrees of x_{s+1}, \dots, x_m to be g_1, \dots, g_t , respectively. We will denote the resulting G -grading on \mathcal{O} by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, b_1, \dots, b_s, g_1, \dots, g_t)$. Since the gradings corresponding to different choices of basis for P are isomorphic to each other, we will also denote this grading (abusing notation) by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, P, \gamma)$.

Definition 4.7. Let $\gamma, \tilde{\gamma} \in G^t$. We will write $\gamma \sim \tilde{\gamma}$ if there exists a permutation π of the set $\{1, \dots, t\}$ such that $\tilde{g}_i \equiv g_{\pi(i)} \pmod{P}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, t$.

Theorem 4.8. Let \mathbb{F} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let G be an abelian group. Let $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{O}_g$ be a grading on the algebra $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$ over \mathbb{F} . Then the grading is isomorphic to some $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, P, \gamma)$ as in Definition 4.6. Two G -gradings, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, P, \gamma)$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, \tilde{P}, \tilde{\gamma})$, are isomorphic if and only if $P = \tilde{P}$ and $\gamma \sim \tilde{\gamma}$ (Definition 4.7).

Proof. Let y_1, \dots, y_m be as in Proposition 4.4. Let $g_1, \dots, g_t \in G$ be the degrees of y_{s+1}, \dots, y_m , respectively. Then the automorphism of \mathcal{O} defined by $y_i \mapsto x_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, sends the grading $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{O}_g$ to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, b_1, \dots, b_s, g_1, \dots, g_t)$.

If $\tilde{g}_i = g_{\pi(i)}$, $i = 1, \dots, t$, for some permutation π , then the automorphism of \mathcal{O} defined by

$$(31) \quad x_i \mapsto x_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, s \text{ and } x_{s+i} \mapsto x_{s+\pi(i)} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, t,$$

sends $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, P, \tilde{\gamma})$ to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, P, \gamma)$.

If $\tilde{g}_i = g_i b_1^{\ell_{i1}} \cdots b_s^{\ell_{is}}$, then the automorphism of \mathcal{O} defined by

$$(32) \quad x_i \mapsto x_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, s \text{ and } x_{s+i} \mapsto x_{s+i} \prod_{j=1}^s (1 + x_j)^{\ell_{ij}} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, t,$$

sends $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, P, \tilde{\gamma})$ to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, P, \gamma)$.

Hence, if $\gamma \sim \tilde{\gamma}$ as in Definition 4.7, then $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, P, \tilde{\gamma})$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, P, \gamma)$.

It remains to show that the subgroup P and the equivalence class of γ are invariants of the G -graded algebra $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{O}_g$. This is obvious for P , since $P = \{g \in G \mid \mathcal{O}_g \not\subseteq \mathfrak{M}\}$. Let $\bar{G} = G/P$ and consider the coarsening of the G -grading, $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{\bar{g} \in \bar{G}} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{g}}$, induced by the natural homomorphism $G \rightarrow \bar{G}$. It follows from the definition of P that \mathfrak{M} is a \bar{G} -graded subspace of \mathcal{O} . Consequently, \mathfrak{M}^2 is also a \bar{G} -graded subspace, and the quotient $V := \mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{M}^2$ inherits a \bar{G} -grading:

$$V = V_{\bar{a}_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\bar{a}_\ell}.$$

Let $k_i = \dim V_{\bar{a}_i}$ if $\bar{a}_i \neq \bar{e}$ and $k_i = \dim V_{\bar{a}_i} - s$ if $\bar{a}_i = \bar{e}$. Clearly, $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_\ell$ and k_1, \dots, k_ℓ are invariants of the G -graded algebra $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{O}_g$. If the G -grading on \mathcal{O} is $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, P, \gamma)$, then, up to a permutation, $g_1 P = \dots = g_{k_1} P = \bar{a}_1$, $g_{k_1+1} P = \dots = g_{k_1+k_2} P = \bar{a}_2$, and so on. \square

Remark 4.9. Instead of using $\gamma = (g_1, \dots, g_t)$ where some of the cosets $g_i P$ may be equal to each other, one can take multiplicities,

$$\kappa = (k_1, \dots, k_\ell) \text{ where } k_i \text{ are positive integers,}$$

with $|\kappa| := k_1 + \dots + k_\ell = t$,

$$\gamma = (g_1, \dots, g_\ell) \text{ where } g_i \in G \text{ are such that } g_i^{-1} g_j \notin P \text{ for all } i \neq j,$$

and write

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, P, \kappa, \gamma) = \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, P, \underbrace{g_1, \dots, g_1}_{k_1 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{g_\ell, \dots, g_\ell}_{k_\ell \text{ times}}).$$

Then $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, P, \kappa, \gamma)$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, \tilde{P}, \tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{\gamma})$ if and only if κ and $\tilde{\kappa}$ have the same number of components ℓ and there exists a permutation π of the set $\{1, \dots, \ell\}$ such that $\tilde{k}_i = k_{\pi(i)}$ and $\tilde{g}_i \equiv g_{\pi(i)} \pmod{P}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \ell$.

Definition 4.10. Fix $0 \leq s \leq m$. For a multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, let

$$\bar{\alpha} := (\alpha_1 + p\mathbb{Z}, \dots, \alpha_s + p\mathbb{Z}, \alpha_{s+1}, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^s \times \mathbb{Z}^{m-s}.$$

Define a $\mathbb{Z}_p^s \times \mathbb{Z}^{m-s}$ -grading on $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$ by declaring the degree of $1 + x_i$, $i = 1, \dots, s$, and the degree of x_i , $i = s + 1, \dots, m$, to be $\bar{\varepsilon}_i$. This is the grading $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, P, \gamma)$ where $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^s \times \mathbb{Z}^{m-s}$ (written additively), $P = \mathbb{Z}_p^s$, and $\gamma = (\bar{\varepsilon}_{s+1}, \dots, \bar{\varepsilon}_m)$. We will denote this grading by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(s)$.

Corollary 4.11. *Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$. Then, up to equivalence, there are exactly $m + 1$ fine gradings of \mathcal{O} . They are $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(s)$, $s = 0, \dots, m$. The universal group of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(s)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p^s \times \mathbb{Z}^{m-s}$.*

Proof. All homogeneous components of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(s)$ are 1-dimensional, so it is a fine grading. All relations in the grading group $\mathbb{Z}_p^s \times \mathbb{Z}^{m-s}$ come from the fact that $0 \neq (\mathcal{O}_g)^p \subset \mathcal{O}_e$ for certain elements g . Hence $\mathbb{Z}_p^s \times \mathbb{Z}^{m-s}$ is the universal group of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(s)$.

For any abelian group G and a p -subgroup $P \subset G$ with a basis $\{b_1, \dots, b_s\}$, any G -grading $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, b_1, \dots, b_s, g_1, \dots, g_{m-s})$ is induced from the $\mathbb{Z}_p^s \times \mathbb{Z}^{m-s}$ -grading $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(s)$ by the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p^s \times \mathbb{Z}^{m-s} \rightarrow G$ defined by

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_i \mapsto b_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, s, \text{ and } \bar{\varepsilon}_i \mapsto g_{i-s} \text{ for } i = s + 1, \dots, m.$$

It follows that, up to equivalence, there are no other fine gradings. The gradings $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(s)$ are pair-wise non-equivalent, because their universal groups are non-isomorphic. \square

Definition 4.12. The G -grading induced by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, b_1, \dots, b_s, g_1, \dots, g_t)$ (Definition 4.6) on the Lie algebra W will be denoted by $\Gamma_W(G, b_1, \dots, b_s, g_1, \dots, g_t)$ or $\Gamma_W(G, P, \gamma)$. Explicitly, we declare the degree of each element

$$(1 + x_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (1 + x_s)^{\alpha_s} x_{s+1}^{\alpha_{s+1}} \cdots x_m^{\alpha_m} \partial_i \text{ where } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{(m; \underline{1})}, 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

to be

$$b_1^{\alpha_1 - \delta_{i,1}} \cdots b_s^{\alpha_s - \delta_{i,s}} g_1^{\alpha_{s+1} - \delta_{i,s+1}} \cdots g_t^{\alpha_m - \delta_{i,m}},$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker delta. In particular, the gradings induced by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(s)$ (Definition 4.10) will be denoted by $\Gamma_W(s)$.

The following is a generalization of a result in [3] (see also [11, Corollary 7.5.2]) on maximal tori of the restricted Lie algebra W , which corresponds to the case when G is an elementary p -group.

Theorem 4.13. *Let \mathbb{F} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let G be an abelian group. Let $W = W(m; \underline{1})$ over \mathbb{F} . Assume $m \geq 3$ if $p = 2$ and $m \geq 2$ if $p = 3$. Then any grading $W = \bigoplus_{g \in G} W_g$ is isomorphic to some $\Gamma_W(G, P, \gamma)$ as in Definition 4.12. Two G -gradings, $\Gamma_W(G, P, \gamma)$ and $\Gamma_W(G, \tilde{P}, \tilde{\gamma})$, are isomorphic if and only if $P = \tilde{P}$ and $\gamma \sim \tilde{\gamma}$ (Definition 4.7).*

Proof. A combination of Theorem 4.8 and Corollary 4.2. \square

Corollary 4.14. *Let $W = W(m; \underline{1})$. Assume $m \geq 3$ if $p = 2$ and $m \geq 2$ if $p = 3$. Then, up to equivalence, there are exactly $m + 1$ fine gradings of W . They are $\Gamma_W(s)$, $s = 0, \dots, m$. The universal group of $\Gamma_W(s)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p^s \times \mathbb{Z}^{m-s}$. \square*

We now turn to special algebras.

Proposition 4.15. *In the notation of Proposition 4.4, assume that $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{O}_g$ is an S -admissible G -grading of degree g_0 . Then the elements y_1, \dots, y_m can be chosen in such a way that the degrees $a_1, \dots, a_m \in G$ of $1 + y_1, \dots, 1 + y_s, y_{s+1}, \dots, y_m$ (respectively) satisfy the equation $g_0 = a_1 \cdots a_m$.*

Proof. Choose elements y_1, \dots, y_m as in Proposition 4.4. Let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in G$ be the degrees of the elements $1 + y_1, \dots, 1 + y_s, y_{s+1}, \dots, y_m$, respectively. We are going to adjust y_1, \dots, y_m to make a_1, \dots, a_m satisfy the above equation.

The form $dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_m$ is G -homogeneous of degree $a_0 := a_1 \cdots a_m$. On the other hand, we have

$$dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_m = f\omega_S \text{ where } f = \det(\partial_j y_i).$$

Since ω_S is G -homogeneous of degree g_0 , we conclude that f is G -homogeneous of degree $a_0 g_0^{-1}$. Since $f \notin \mathfrak{M}$, we have $a_0 g_0^{-1} \in P$.

First consider the case $s = m$. Then $a_0 \in P$ and thus $g_0 \in P$. Also, the G -grading in this case is the eigenspace decomposition of \mathcal{O} with respect to a torus $T \subset \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}) = W$, where T is isomorphic to the group of additive characters of P , so T has rank $s = m$. If $g_0 = e$, then ω_S is T -invariant, so $T \subset \text{Stab}_W(\omega_S) = S$, which is a contradiction, because the toral rank of $S = S(m; \underline{1})$ is less than m (in fact, it is $m - 1$). Therefore, in this case we necessarily have $g_0 \neq e$. It follows that there exists a basis $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ of P such that $g_0 = b_1 \cdots b_m$. By Proposition 4.4, we can replace y_1, \dots, y_m with $\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_m$ so that $1 + \tilde{y}_i$ is G -homogeneous of degree b_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$. The proof in this case is complete.

Now assume that $s < m$. Write $a_0 g_0^{-1} = a_1^{\ell_1} \cdots a_s^{\ell_s}$. Set $\tilde{y}_i = y_i$ for $i < m$ and

$$\tilde{y}_m = y_m(1 + y_1)^{-\ell_1} \cdots (1 + y_s)^{-\ell_s}.$$

Then \tilde{y}_m is G -homogeneous of degree $\tilde{a}_m = a_m a_1^{-\ell_1} \cdots a_s^{-\ell_s}$ and hence $\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_m$ are as desired. \square

Recall that in Definition 4.6 of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, P, \gamma)$, we had to choose a basis $\{b_1, \dots, b_s\}$ for P . The isomorphism class, i.e., the $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit, of the grading does not depend on this choice. Clearly, the grading is S -admissible of degree $g_0 = b_1 \cdots b_s g_1 \cdots g_t$ and hence it induces a G -grading on the Lie algebra S and its derived subalgebras. Let $L = S(m; \underline{1})^{(1)}$ if $m \geq 3$ and $L = S(m; \underline{1})^{(2)}$ if $m = 2$. Since g_0 is $\text{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O})$ -invariant, the induced gradings on L corresponding to different values of g_0 are not isomorphic. Conversely, suppose $\{\tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_s\}$ is another basis of P such that $\tilde{b}_1 \cdots \tilde{b}_s = b_1 \cdots b_s$ (i.e., this basis leads to the same value of g_0). Write $\tilde{b}_j = \prod_{i=1}^s b_i^{\alpha_{ij}}$ where (α_{ij}) is a non-degenerate matrix with entries in the field GF_p . Set

$$(33) \quad \tilde{x}_j := \prod_{i=1}^s (1 + x_i)^{\alpha_{ij}} - 1 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, s,$$

and $\tilde{x}_j = x_j$ for $j = s + 1, \dots, m$. Then $\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_m$ form a basis of \mathfrak{M} modulo \mathfrak{M}^2 , and $1 + \tilde{x}_j$ is homogeneous of degree \tilde{b}_j , $j = 1, \dots, s$. One readily computes that

$$(34) \quad \det(\partial_j \tilde{x}_i) = \det(\alpha_{ij}) \prod_{i=1}^s (1 + x_i)^{-1 + \sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_{ij}}.$$

Now $\tilde{b}_1 \cdots \tilde{b}_s = b_1 \cdots b_s$ means that $\sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_{ij} = 1$ for all i , so $\det(\partial_j \tilde{x}_i)$ is in \mathbb{F} . Therefore, the automorphism of \mathcal{O} defined by $x_i \mapsto \tilde{x}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, belongs to the subgroup $\text{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O})$. We have proved that two G -gradings on L arising from the same data P and γ , but different choices of basis for P , are isomorphic if and only if they have the same value of g_0 . This justifies the following:

Definition 4.16. Let P and γ be as in Definition 4.6. Let $g_0 \in G$ be such that

$$g_0 g_1^{-1} \cdots g_t^{-1} \in P \setminus \{e\}.$$

Select a basis $\{b_1, \dots, b_s\}$ for P such that $g_0 = b_1 \cdots b_s g_1 \cdots g_t$. The G -grading induced by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, b_1, \dots, b_t, g_1, \dots, g_s)$ on the Lie algebra S and its derived subalgebras will be denoted by $\Gamma_S(G, b_1, \dots, b_t, g_1, \dots, g_s)$ or $\Gamma_S(G, P, \gamma, g_0)$. In particular, the $\mathbb{Z}_p^s \times \mathbb{Z}^{m-s}$ -grading induced by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(s)$ (Definition 4.10, with $\{\bar{\varepsilon}_1, \dots, \bar{\varepsilon}_s\}$ as a basis for \mathbb{Z}_p^s), will be denoted by $\Gamma_S(s)$.

The following is a generalization of a result in [3] (see also [11, Theorem 7.5.5]) on maximal tori of the restricted Lie algebra CS , which corresponds to the case when G is an elementary p -group.

Theorem 4.17. *Let \mathbb{F} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 3$. Let G be an abelian group. Let $L = S(m; \underline{1})^{(1)}$ if $m \geq 3$ and $L = S(m; \underline{1})^{(2)} = H(m; \underline{1})^{(2)}$ if $m = 2$ (a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{F}). Then any grading $L = \bigoplus_{g \in G} L_g$ is isomorphic to some $\Gamma_S(G, P, \gamma, g_0)$ as in Definition 4.16. Two G -gradings, $\Gamma_S(G, P, \gamma, g_0)$ and $\Gamma_S(G, \tilde{P}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{g}_0)$, are isomorphic if and only if $P = \tilde{P}$, $\gamma \sim \tilde{\gamma}$ (Definition 4.7) and $g_0 = \tilde{g}_0$.*

Proof. First we show that the grading $L = \bigoplus_{g \in G} L_g$ is isomorphic to some grading $\Gamma_S(G, P, \gamma, g_0)$. We can apply Corollary 4.2 to translate this problem to the algebra \mathcal{O} . Let $\Gamma' : \mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{O}'_g$ be the S -admissible grading on \mathcal{O} , of some degree $g_0 \in G$, that induces the grading $L = \bigoplus_{g \in G} L_g$. As usual, let $P = \{g \in G \mid \mathcal{O}'_g \not\subset \mathfrak{M}\}$ and let s be the rank of P . By Proposition 4.15, there exist elements $y_1, \dots, y_m \in \mathfrak{M}$ that form a basis of $\mathfrak{M} \bmod \mathfrak{M}^2$ and such that $1 + y_i$, $i \leq s$, and y_i , $i > s$, are G -homogeneous of some degrees a_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, where $\{a_1, \dots, a_s\}$ is a basis of P and $g_0 = a_1 \cdots a_m$. We want to show that there exists an automorphism in $\text{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O})$ that sends Γ' to the grading $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}} = \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, a_1, \dots, a_s, a_{s+1}, \dots, a_m)$. Denote the latter grading by $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{O}_g$. Let μ be the automorphism of \mathcal{O} defined by $y_i \mapsto x_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then μ sends Γ' to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$, but μ may not belong to $\text{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O})$. Write $\mu(\omega_S) = f\omega_S$ for some $f \in \mathcal{O}$. Now $\mu(\omega_S)$ has degree g_0 relative to the grading induced on Ω^m by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$, ω_S has degree $a_1 \cdots a_m$ relative to the said grading, and $g_0 = a_1 \cdots a_m$, so we conclude that f has degree e relative to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$. If $s = m$, this implies that f is in \mathbb{F} and hence $\mu \in \text{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O})$, completing the proof. So we assume $s < m$.

Now we follow the idea of the proof of [11, Proposition 7.5.4], which is due to [7]. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\omega_S) &= \mu(d(x_1 dx_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_m)) \\ &= d(\mu(x_1) d\mu(x_2) \wedge \cdots \wedge d\mu(x_m)) \\ &= d\left(\sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{i-1} h_i dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i-1} \wedge dx_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_m\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \partial_i h_i\right) \omega_S, \end{aligned}$$

where $h_1, \dots, h_m \in \mathcal{O}$. Set $E := \sum_{i=1}^m h_i \partial_i \in W$. Since $\mu(\omega_S) = f\omega_S$, we have $\text{div}(E) = \sum_{i=1}^m \partial_i h_i = f$. One can immediately verify that $\text{div}(W_g) \subset \mathcal{O}_g$ for all $g \in G$, where $\Gamma_W : W = \bigoplus_{g \in G} W_g$ is the grading induced on W by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$. (Also, this is a consequence of the fact that $\text{div} : W \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant.) Since $f \in \mathcal{O}_e$, replacing E with its G -homogeneous component of degree e will not affect the equation $\text{div}(E) = f$, so we will assume that $E \in W_e$.

Define a \mathbb{Z} -grading on \mathcal{O} by declaring the degree of x_1, \dots, x_s (or, equivalently, $1 + x_1, \dots, 1 + x_s$) to be 0 and the degree of x_{s+1}, \dots, x_m to be 1. This \mathbb{Z} -grading is compatible with the G -grading $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$ in the sense that the homogeneous components of one grading are graded subspaces of \mathcal{O} relative to the other grading. We will denote the filtration associated to this \mathbb{Z} -grading by $\mathcal{O}_{\{\ell\}}$, $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, to distinguish it from the filtration $\mathcal{O}_{(\ell)}$ associated to the canonical \mathbb{Z} -grading.

Write $f = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_k$, where f_k has degree k in the \mathbb{Z} -grading and degree e in the G -grading. Observe that the constant term of f is equal to the constant term of f_0 , so f_0 is an invertible element of \mathcal{O} . Let τ_1 be the automorphism of \mathcal{O} defined by

$$\tau_1(x_i) = x_i \text{ for } i < m \text{ and } \tau_1(x_m) = f_0^{-1}x_m.$$

Since f_0 has degree e in the G -grading, τ_1 preserves $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$, i.e., $\tau_1(\mathcal{O}_g) = \mathcal{O}_g$ for all $g \in G$. We also have $\tau_1(\mathcal{O}_{\{\ell\}}) = \mathcal{O}_{\{\ell\}}$ for all ℓ . Since x_m has degree 1 in the \mathbb{Z} -grading, it does not occur in f_0 . Hence $\tau_1(f_0) = f_0$ and we can compute:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_1 \circ \mu)(\omega_S) &= \tau_1(f\omega_S) = \tau_1(f)\tau_1(\omega_S) \\ &= (f_0 + \tau_1(\tilde{h}))f_0^{-1}\omega_S = (1 + h)\omega_S, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{h} = \sum_{k \geq 1} f_k$ and $h = f_0^{-1}\tau_1(\tilde{h})$. Note that $h \in \mathcal{O}_{\{1\}}$.

Claim: For any $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$ there exists an automorphism τ_ℓ of \mathcal{O} that preserves the G -grading $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$ and has the following property:

$$(35) \quad (\tau_\ell \circ \mu)(\omega_S) = (1 + h)\omega_S \text{ where } h \in \mathcal{O}_{\{\ell\}}.$$

We proceed by induction on ℓ . The basis for $\ell = 1$ was proved above. Assume (35) holds for some $\ell \geq 1$ and τ_ℓ . Since τ_ℓ preserves $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$, we have $1 + h \in \mathcal{O}_e$ and hence $h \in \mathcal{O}_e$. Write $h = \sum_{k \geq \ell} h_k$ where h_k has degree k in the \mathbb{Z} -grading and degree e in the G -grading. As was shown above, there exists $E \in W_e$ such that $\text{div}(E) = 1 + h$. Write $E = \sum_{k \geq -1} E_k$ where E_k has degree k in the \mathbb{Z} -grading induced from our \mathbb{Z} -grading of \mathcal{O} and degree e in the G -grading. Since div preserves the \mathbb{Z} -grading, we have $\text{div}E_k = h_k$ for $k \geq 1$. Let $\tilde{\tau}$ be the automorphism of \mathcal{O} defined by

$$\tilde{\tau}(x_i) = x_i - E_\ell(x_i), i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Since $E_\ell \in W_e$, the automorphism $\tilde{\tau}$ preserves the G -grading $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$. We also have $\tilde{\tau}(f) = f \pmod{\mathcal{O}_{\{k+1\}}}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\{k\}}$ and

$$\tilde{\tau}(\omega_S) = (1 - \text{div}(E_\ell) + \tilde{f})\omega_S = (1 - h_\ell + \tilde{f})\omega_S$$

for some $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}_{\{2\ell\}}$. Hence we can compute:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\tau} \circ \tau_\ell \circ \mu)(\omega_S) &= \tilde{\tau}((1 + h)\omega_S) = \tilde{\tau}(1 + h)\tilde{\tau}(\omega_S) \\ &= (1 + h_\ell + \hat{f})(1 - h_\ell + \tilde{f})\omega_S = (1 + \tilde{h})\omega_S, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{O}_{\{\ell+1\}}$ and $\tilde{h} = -h_\ell^2 + \hat{f}(1 - h_\ell) + \tilde{f}(1 + h_\ell + \hat{f}) \in \mathcal{O}_{\{\ell+1\}}$. Setting $\tau_{\ell+1} = \tilde{\tau} \circ \tau_\ell$, we complete the induction step.

Set $\tilde{\mu} = \tau_\ell \circ \mu$ for $\ell = (p-1)(m-s) + 1$. Then $\tilde{\mu}$ sends Γ' to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$ and belongs to $\text{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O})$, since $\tilde{\mu}(\omega_S) = \omega_S$. We have proved the first assertion of the theorem.

Now, the subgroup P and the equivalence class of $\gamma = (g_1, \dots, g_t)$ are invariants of the G -graded algebra \mathcal{O} , and g_0 is $\text{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O})$ -invariant. It remains to show that, if $\gamma \sim \tilde{\gamma}$ and $b_1 \cdots b_s g_1 \cdots g_t = g_0 = \tilde{b}_1 \cdots \tilde{b}_s \tilde{g}_1 \cdots \tilde{g}_t$ where $\{b_1, \dots, b_s\}$ and $\{\tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_s\}$ are bases of P as in Definition 4.16, then $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, b_1, \dots, b_s, g_1, \dots, g_t)$

and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, \tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_s, \tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_t)$ are in the same $\text{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit. Clearly, the automorphism (31) of \mathcal{O} , determined by a permutation π of $\{1, \dots, t\}$, belongs to $\text{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O})$. So it suffices to consider the case $\tilde{g}_i \equiv g_i \pmod{P}$. Write $\tilde{b}_j = \prod_{i=1}^s b_i^{\alpha_{ij}}$ where (α_{ij}) is a non-degenerate matrix with entries in the field GF_p . Also write $\tilde{g}_i = g_i \prod_{j=1}^s b_j^{\ell_{ij}}$, $i = 1, \dots, t$. Then the composition μ of the automorphism defined by $x_j \mapsto \tilde{x}_j$, $j \leq s$, and $x_j \mapsto x_j$, $j > s$, where \tilde{x}_j are as in (33), and the automorphism defined by (32), sends $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, \tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_s, \tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_t)$ to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}(G, b_1, \dots, b_s, g_1, \dots, g_t)$. Now, (34) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\omega_S) &= \det(\alpha_{ij}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^s (1+x_i)^{-1+\sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_{ij}} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^t \prod_{j=1}^s (1+x_j)^{\ell_{ij}} \right) \omega_S \\ &= \det(\alpha_{ij}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^s (1+x_i)^{-1+\sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_{ij} + \sum_{j=1}^t \ell_{ji}} \right) \omega_S. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\tilde{b}_1 \cdots \tilde{b}_s \tilde{g}_1 \cdots \tilde{g}_t = g_1 \cdots g_t \prod_{i=1}^s b_i^{\sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_{ij} + \sum_{j=1}^t \ell_{ji}},$$

so the equality $\tilde{b}_1 \cdots \tilde{b}_s \tilde{g}_1 \cdots \tilde{g}_t = g_0 = b_1 \cdots b_s g_1 \cdots g_t$ implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_{ij} + \sum_{j=1}^t \ell_{ji} = 1 \text{ for all } i$$

and hence $\mu \in \text{Aut}_S(\mathcal{O})$. \square

Corollary 4.18. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.17, there are, up to equivalence, exactly $m+1$ fine gradings of L . They are $\Gamma_S(s)$, $s = 0, \dots, m$. The universal group of $\Gamma_S(s)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p^s \times \mathbb{Z}^{m-s}$. \square*

REFERENCES

- [1] Allen, H. P.; Sweedler, M. E. *A theory of linear descent based upon Hopf algebraic techniques*. J. Algebra, **12** (1969), 242–294.
- [2] Bahturin, Y.; Kochetov, M. *Classification of group gradings on simple Lie algebras of types A, B, C and D*. arXiv:0908.0906v1 [math.RA]
- [3] Demuškin, S. P. *Cartan subalgebras of the simple Lie p -algebras W_n and S_n* . Sibirsk. Mat. Ž., **11** (1970), 310–325 [Russian]; Siberian Math. J., **11** (1970), 233–245 [English translation].
- [4] Dieudonné, J. *Introduction to the theory of formal groups*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, **20**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973.
- [5] Elduque, A. *Fine gradings on simple classical Lie algebras*. arXiv:0906.0655v1 [math.RA]
- [6] Kochetov, M. *Gradings on finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras*. Acta Appl. Math. **108** (2009), 101–127.
- [7] Kuznetsov, M.I.; Yakovlev, V.A. *Elementary proof of Demuškin's theorem on tori in special Lie p -algebras of Cartan type*. Comm. Algebra **25** (1997), 3979–3983.
- [8] Montgomery, S. *Hopf Algebras and their Actions on Rings*. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, **82**, American Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
- [9] Skryabin, S. *Modular Lie algebras of Cartan type over algebraically non-closed fields, I and II*. Comm. Algebra, **19** (1991), no. 6, 1629–1741 and Comm. Algebra **23** (1995), no. 4, 1403–1453.
- [10] Skryabin, S. *On the automorphism group schemes of Lie algebras of Witt type*. Comm. Algebra, **29** (2001), no. 9, 4047–4077.
- [11] Strade, H. *Simple Lie algebras over fields of positive characteristic. I. Structure theory*. De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, **38**, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2004.

- [12] Sweedler, M. E. *Hopf algebras with one grouplike element*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **127** (1967), 515–526.
- [13] Waterhouse, W. C. *Automorphism schemes and forms of Witt Lie algebras*. J. Algebra, **17** (1971), 34–40.
- [14] Waterhouse, W. C. *Introduction to affine group schemes*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **66**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979.
- [15] Waterhouse, W. C. *Automorphisms and twisted forms of generalized Witt Lie algebras*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **327** (1991), no. 1, 185–200.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY OF NEWFOUNDLAND,
ST. JOHN'S, NL, A1C5S7, CANADA

E-mail address: `bahturin@mun.ca`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY OF NEWFOUNDLAND,
ST. JOHN'S, NL, A1C5S7, CANADA

E-mail address: `mikhail@mun.ca`