GROUP GRADINGS ON THE LIE ALGEBRA \mathfrak{psl}_n IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

YURI BAHTURIN AND MIKHAIL KOCHETOV

ABSTRACT. In this paper we describe all gradings by an abelian group G on the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{psl}_n(F)$ where F is an algebraically closed field of characteristic p different from 2 and dividing n.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study group gradings on finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras over an algebraically closed field F. In the case char F = 0, all gradings on the classical simple Lie algebras (except of type \mathcal{D}_4) have been described in [2, 5, 3]. It turns out that the same description is valid if char F = p > 0, $p \neq 2$, for the types \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{D} , except \mathcal{A}_{pk-1} [1]. It is the latter case that we settle in this paper.

Let $R = M_n(F)$ where F is an algebraically closed field, char F = p > 0, $p \neq 2$, and p divides n. Then [R, R] contains the center Z(R) and $L := \mathfrak{psl}_n(F) = [R, R]/Z(R)$ is a simple Lie algebra. We will show that, except when n = p = 3, all gradings on L can be obtained essentially in the same way as in the case of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(F)$, with char F = 0 or char F = p not dividing n, from the gradings on the full matrix algebra R (Theorem 5.1) and thus can be completely described.

We recall the description of gradings on R in Section 2. The reduction of gradings on L to gradings on R is first done for the case of p-groups. We use duality (recalled in Section 3) to translate the problem to the action of a certain divided power Hopf algebra on L and prove in Section 4 that any such Hopf action can be lifted to an action on R, regarded as an associative algebra (Theorem 4.5). Then we extend these results in Section 5 to arbitrary finite abelian groups (Theorem 5.1).

2. Gradings on matrix algebras

First we recall the classification of gradings (up to isomorphism, i.e., conjugation by a nonsingular matrix) on the full matrix algebra $R = M_n(F)$ over an algebraically closed field F by an arbitrary group G [4]. There exist graded unital subalgebras $A \cong M_k(F)$ and $B \cong M_l(F)$ in R such that $R = A \otimes B$ (thus kl = n), A has a "fine" grading, i.e., dim $A_g \leq 1$ for each $g \in G$, and B has an elementary grading defined by an l-tuple (g_1, \ldots, g_l) of elements of G, i.e., $B_g = \text{span}\{E_{ij} \mid g_i^{-1}g_j = g\}$ for each $g \in G$, where $\{E_{ij}\}$ is a basis of matrix units in B. For abelian G, all "fine" gradings have also been classified. In particular, the support of the "fine" grading on A (i.e., the set of all $g \in G$ such that $A_g \neq 0$) is a subgroup $H \subset G$ of order k^2 , and char $F \nmid k$ [4, Theorem 8]. In particular, when char F = p and the

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B70, 16W30.

The first author was partially supported by NSERC grant # 227060-04. The second author was supported by NSERC grant # 341792-07.

Keywords: graded algebra, simple Lie algebra, gradings.

torsion subgroup of G is a p-group, then all G-gradings on $M_n(F)$ are elementary. We will need this fact later.

Consider the case of an elementary grading on $R = M_n(F)$. Conjugating by a permutation matrix, we may assume that the *n*-tuple has the form:

$$(g_1^{(k_1)}, \dots, g_l^{(k_l)})$$
 with $k_1 + \dots + k_l = n$,

where g_1, \ldots, g_l are pairwise distinct and we have written $g^{(k)}$ for $\underline{g}, \ldots, \underline{g}$.

Consider the block decomposition of R induced by the partition $n = k_1 + \ldots + k_l$. Then the identity component R_1 consists of the block diagonal matrices and hence is the direct product of the full matrix algebras $S_1 \cong M_{k_1}(F), \ldots, S_l \cong M_{k_l}(F)$. We will also need this later.

Suppose $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ is any *G*-graded algebra. If $*: R \to R$ is an involution, then we say that * is *preserves* the grading if $(R_g)^* = R_g$ for all $g \in G$. For any grading on $R = M_n(F)$ by an abelian group *G*, a complete description of involutions preserving the grading was given in [3] (over an algebraically closed field *F* of characteristic different from 2).

3. DUALITY BETWEEN GRADINGS AND ACTIONS

Let G be a finite group, F an algebraically closed field. Let H = FG be the group algebra of G viewed as a Hopf algebra with comultiplication $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$, counit $\varepsilon(g) = 1$, and antipode $S(g) = g^{-1}$, for all $g \in G$. We will use Sweedler's notation: $\Delta(h) = \sum h_1 \otimes h_2$, for any $h \in H$. For basic facts on Hopf algebras the reader is referred to [11].

Let A be an algebra over F, not necessarily associative. It is well-known that a G-grading on A is equivalent to the structure of a right H-comodule algebra, i.e., a homomorphism of algebras $\rho: A \to A \otimes H$ such that $(\rho \otimes id)\rho = (id \otimes \Delta)\rho$ and $(id \otimes \varepsilon)\rho = id$. Namely, if $A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g$ is a G-graded algebra, then the mapping ρ is defined on a homogeneous element a of degree g by $\rho(a) = a \otimes g$. Conversely, given $\rho: A \to A \otimes H$, one can define a G-grading on A by setting $A_g = \{a \in A \mid \rho(a) = a \otimes g\}$, for any $g \in G$.

Consider the dual Hopf algebra $K = H^*$. Let $\{p_g \mid g \in G\}$ be the basis of K dual to $\{g \mid g \in G\}$, i.e., $p_g \in K$ are such that $\langle p_g, h \rangle = \delta_{g,h}$ for any $h \in G$ (Kronecker's delta). Then the multiplication in K is given by $p_{g'}p_{g''} = \delta_{g',g''}p_{g'}$ and the comultiplication by $\Delta(p_g) = \sum_{g',g'' \in G: g'g'' = g} p_{g'} \otimes p_{g''}$.

The structure of an *H*-comodule is equivalent to the structure of a *K*-module in the usual way: *K* acts on an *H*-comodule *A* by $f \cdot a = (id \otimes f)\rho(a)$, which in our case reads $f \cdot a = \langle f, g \rangle a$ for all $a \in A_g$, $g \in G$. In particular, the elements p_g act as the projections on the respective homogeneous components. If *A* is an *H*-comodule algebra, then it becomes a *K*-module algebra, i.e., we have

$$k \cdot (ab) = \sum (k_1 \cdot a)(k_2 \cdot b)$$
 for all $k \in K, a, b \in A$.

Conversely, if A is a K-module algebra, then there exists a homomorphism of algebras $\rho: A \to A \otimes H$ such that K acts on A by $f \cdot a = (id \otimes f)\rho(a)$.

If $f \in K$ is a group-like element, i.e., $\Delta(f) = f \otimes f$ (hence $S(f) = f^{-1}$), then f acts on A as an automorphism: $f \cdot (ab) = (f \cdot a)(f \cdot b)$ for any $a, b \in A$. The group-like elements of K are the algebra homomorphisms $H \to F$, so their set can be identified with the group \widehat{G} of multiplicative characters of G. It follows that if G is abelian and char F does not divide |G|, then $K \cong F\widehat{G}$ as Hopf algebras and thus in this case G-gradings on an algebra A are equivalent to \widehat{G} -actions on A by automorphisms.

If $f \in K$ is primitive, i.e., $\Delta(f) = f \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f$, then f acts on A as a derivation: $f \cdot (ab) = (f \cdot a)b + a(f \cdot b)$ for any $a, b \in A$. It is easy to check that the primitive elements of K are precisely the additive characters of G.

For example, let $G = \langle a_1 \rangle_p \times \cdots \times \langle a_k \rangle_p$, an elementary abelian *p*-group. Then there exist *k* additive characters $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ such that $\alpha_i(a_j) = \delta_{i,j}$. The span of the elements α_i in *K* is an abelian *p*-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , with $(\alpha_i)^p = \alpha_i$, and *K* is isomorphic to the restricted enveloping algebra $u(\mathfrak{g})$. Thus in this case *G*-gradings on an algebra *A* are equivalent to \mathfrak{g} -actions on *A* by derivations.

Now let G be any finite abelian group and F an algebraically closed field of characteristic p > 0. We can write $G = G_0 \times G_1$ where G_0 is of order not divisible by p and G_1 is a p-group. This induces the following decompositions of H and K: $H = H_0 \otimes H_1$ where $H_0 = FG_0$ and $H_1 = FG_1$, and $K = K_0 \otimes K_1$ where $K_0 = (H_0)^*$ and $K_1 = (H_1)^*$. Therefore, the structure of a K-module algebra on A is equivalent to a pair of mutually commuting actions on A by K_0 and by K_1 that make A a K_0 -module algebra, resp., K_1 -module algebra.

More generally, let G be a finitely generated abelian group. Then we can write $G = G_0 \times G_1$ where G_1 is the *p*-torsion subgroup of G and G_0 has trivial *p*-torsion. Then a G_0 -grading on a finite-dimensional algebra A is equivalent to an action of the algebraic group \widehat{G}_0 by automorphisms of A, i.e., to a homomorphism of algebraic groups $\widehat{G}_0 \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$. Consequently, a G-grading on A is equivalent to a pair of mutually commuting actions on A: namely, \widehat{G}_0 acts by automorphisms and $K_1 = (FG_1)^*$ acts in a way to make A a K_1 -module algebra.

From the above discussion it follows that in the case when G_1 is elementary, any problem on G-gradings can be reformulated in terms of automorphisms and derivations. If G_1 is not elementary, however, the situation is more complicated and involves the so-called divided power algebras.

Consider the case of a cyclic group $G = \langle a \rangle_{p^N}$. Then $H = F[t]/(t^{p^N} - 1) = F[\xi]/(\xi^{p^N})$ where $\xi = t - 1$. Let $\{\delta^{(m)} | m = 0, \dots, p^N - 1\}$ be the basis of K dual to $\{\xi^m | m = 0, \dots, p^N - 1\}$. Then the comultiplication in K is given by

(1)
$$\Delta \delta^{(m)} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \delta^{(i)} \otimes \delta^{(m-i)}$$

Elements $\delta^{(m)}$ with coproduct of this form are sometimes called "divided powers". In particular, $\delta^{(0)} = 1$ and $\delta^{(1)}$ spans the space of primitive elements of K. One can also write an explicit formula for the product $\delta^{(i)}\delta^{(j)}$, but we will only need that

$$\delta^{(i)}\delta^{(j)} = \binom{i+j}{i}\delta^{(i+j)} \pmod{\operatorname{span}\{\delta^{(m)} \mid m < i+j\}}.$$

It follows (see e.g. [8, Chapter II, §2, 6]) that, for any $1 \le l \le N$, the subspace

$$K_l = \operatorname{Span} \left\{ \delta^{(m)} \, | \, 0 \le m < p^l \right\}$$

is a subalgebra of K, which is generated by the elements $\delta^{(p^k)}$, $k = 0, \ldots, l-1$. Moreover, the monomials

$$\left(\delta^{(p^0)}\right)^{m_0} \left(\delta^{(p^1)}\right)^{m_1} \cdots \left(\delta^{(p^{l-1})}\right)^{m_{l-1}}$$
 where $0 \le m_k$

form a basis of K_l . One also checks that

$$(\delta^{(m)})^p = \delta^{(m)}$$
 for all $m = 0, \dots, p^N - 1$.

Indeed, $\langle (\delta^{(m)})^p, g \rangle = \langle \delta^{(m)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta^{(m)}, g \otimes \cdots \otimes g \rangle = \langle \delta^{(m)}, g \rangle^p = \langle \delta^{(m)}, g \rangle$ for all $g \in G$ (the last equality holds, because $\langle \delta^{(m)}, g \rangle$ lies in the prime field).

In particular, for N > 1 the algebra K is not generated by primitive elements and, consequently, we will have to consider operators with more complicated "product expansion laws" than the ordinary Leibniz rule. Namely, (1) implies that an algebra A with an action of K is a K-module algebra if and only if, for all $0 \le m < p^N$, we have

(2)
$$\delta^{(m)}(ab) = (\delta^{(m)} \cdot a)b + a(\delta^{(m)} \cdot b) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (\delta^{(k)} \cdot a)(\delta^{(m-k)} \cdot b) \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

We will need the following technical lemma in the proof of our main result in Section 4. Let $R = M_n(F)$ where char $F = p \neq 2$ and $p \mid n$, so Z = Z(R) is contained in [R, R]. Assume that, if p = 3, then $n \neq 3$. Let L = [R, R]/Z. Let $\{E_{ij}\}$ be a basis of matrix units for R. We will use the notation $e_{ij} = E_{ij} + Z$. Now let $K = (FG)^*$ where, as above, $G = \langle a \rangle_{p^N}$. Let $q = p^{N-1}$.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose the Lie algebra L is a K-module algebra in such a way that all e_{ij} , $i \neq j$, are eigenvectors for the action of $\delta^{(m)}$, $0 \leq m < q$. Denote by $\sigma: L \to L$ the action of $\delta^{(q)}$. Then there exists $s \in R$ such that all e_{ij} , $i \neq j$, are eigenvectors for the operator σ – ad s.

Proof. The construction of s consists of a sequence of steps that are adaptations of the computations found in the proof of [6, Theorem 3.3]. Before we begin, we point out that (2), applied to the algebra L, allows us to expand the action on iterated commutators. For example, with $\delta^{(q)}$ and a commutator of degree three, we have

(3)
$$\sigma([[x,y],z]) = [[\sigma(x),y],z] + [[x,\sigma(y)],z] + [[x,y],\sigma(z)] + \sum_{\substack{m_1,m_2,m_3=0\\m_1+m_2+m_3=q}}^{q-1} [[\delta^{(m_1)} \cdot x, \delta^{(m_2)} \cdot y], \delta^{(m_3)} \cdot z]$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$. Also note that since $p \neq 2$ and the case n = p = 3 is excluded, we have $n \geq 5$.

Step 1. Fix $i \neq j$. We claim that

(4)
$$\sigma(e_{ij}) = \alpha(e_{ii} - e_{jj}) + \sum_{l \neq i} \alpha_{il} e_{il} + \sum_{k \neq i,j} \alpha_{kj} e_{kj}$$

for some α 's in F (depending on i and j).

Without loss of generality, assume i = 1 and j = 2. Applying σ to the identical relation

$$[[[x, e_{12}], e_{12}], e_{12}] = 0 \qquad \forall x \in I$$

and using the analog of (3) for four factors to expand, we obtain

$$\begin{split} & [[[x,\sigma(e_{12})],e_{12}],e_{12}] + [[[x,e_{12}],\sigma(e_{12})],e_{12}] + [[[x,e_{12}],e_{12}],\sigma(e_{12})] \\ & + \sum_{\substack{m_1,m_2,m_3,m_4=0\\m_1+m_2+m_3+m_4=q}}^{q-1} [[[\delta^{(m_1)}\cdot x,\delta^{(m_2)}\cdot e_{12}],\delta^{(m_3)}\cdot e_{12}],\delta^{(m_4)}\cdot e_{12}] = 0 \qquad \forall x\in L. \end{split}$$

Now each term in the summation vanishes due to the assumption that e_{12} is an eigenvector for all $\delta^{(m)}$ with m < q. Set $a = \sigma(e_{12})$. Then we obtain

(5)
$$[[[x,a],e_{12}],e_{12}] + [[[x,e_{12}],a],e_{12}] + [[[x,e_{12}],e_{12}],a] = 0 \quad \forall x \in L.$$

write
$$a = \sum_{kl} \alpha_{kl} D_{kl} + Z$$
 and let $A = (\alpha_{kl}) \in R$. Litting (5) to R , we obtain:

 $[[[X, A], E_{12}], E_{12}] + [[[X, E_{12}], A], E_{12}] + [[[X, E_{12}], E_{12}], A] \in Z \qquad \forall X \in [R, R].$

Rewriting the commutators in terms of products then yields

(6)
$$3AE_{12}XE_{12} - 3E_{12}XE_{12}A - 3E_{12}XAE_{12} + 3E_{12}AXE_{12} + XE_{12}AE_{12} - E_{12}AE_{12}X \in Z \quad \forall X \in [R, R].$$

Substituting $X = E_{21}$ into (6) and evaluating yields

$$3\sum_{k} \alpha_{k1} E_{k2} - 3\sum_{l} \alpha_{2l} E_{1l} - 3\alpha_{11} E_{12} + 3\alpha_{22} E_{12} + \alpha_{21} E_{22} - \alpha_{21} E_{11} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which gives $3\sum_{k\neq 1,2} \alpha_{k1} E_{k2} - 3\sum_{l\neq 1,2} \alpha_{2l} E_{1l} + 4\alpha_{21} (E_{22} - E_{11}) \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that $\alpha_{21} = 0$.

Now fix $u \neq 1, 2$ and $v \neq 1, 2$ such that $u \neq v$. Applying σ to the identical relation

$$[[[x, e_{12}], e_{12}], e_{uv}] = 0 \qquad \forall x \in I$$

and making the same computation as the one leading to (5), we obtain

(7) $[[[x, a], e_{12}], e_{uv}] + [[[x, e_{12}], a], e_{uv}] + [[[x, e_{12}], e_{12}], b] = 0 \qquad \forall x \in L,$

where $a = \sigma(e_{12})$, as before, and $b = \sigma(e_{uv})$. Take $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ such that a = A + Zand b = B + Z. Then lifting (7) to R and rewriting the commutators in terms of products yields

(8)
$$XE_{12}AE_{uv} - E_{uv}XE_{12}A + AE_{12}XE_{uv} - E_{uv}AE_{12}X + E_{12}AXE_{uv} - E_{uv}XAE_{12} - 2E_{12}XAE_{uv} + 2E_{uv}AXE_{12} - 2E_{12}XE_{12}B + 2BE_{12}XE_{12} \in Z \quad \forall X \in [R, R].$$

Now pick $w \neq 1, 2, u, v$. Substituting $X = E_{w1}$ into (8) and evaluating yields

$$\alpha_{2u}E_{wv} + 2\alpha_{vw}E_{u2} \in Z,$$

so $\alpha_{2u} = 0$ and $\alpha_{vw} = 0$. Similarly, substituting $x = E_{2w}$ into (8) yields

$$-\alpha_{v1}E_{uw} - 2\alpha_{wu}E_{1v} \in Z,$$

so $\alpha_{v1} = 0$ as well.

We have so far established that $\alpha_{21} = 0$, $\alpha_{k1} = \alpha_{2k} = 0$ for all $k \neq 1, 2$, and $\alpha_{kl} = 0$ for all $k, l \neq 1, 2, k \neq l$. It remains to deal with the diagonal entries. Let $c = \sigma(e_{21})$. Applying σ to the equation

$$[[e_{12}, e_{21}], e_{12}] = 2e_{12},$$

we obtain

(9)
$$[[a, e_{21}], e_{12}] + [[e_{12}, c], e_{12}] + [[e_{12}, e_{21}], a] + \lambda e_{12} = 2a$$

for some $\lambda \in F$. Lifting (9) to R and rewriting the commutators in terms of products, we obtain

(10)
$$2E_{11}A + 2AE_{22} - AE_{11} - E_{22}A - E_{12}AE_{21} - E_{21}AE_{12} + 2E_{12}CE_{12} + \lambda E_{12} = 2A + \mu I$$

for some $\mu \in F$. Looking at the coefficient of E_{kk} for $k \neq 1, 2$, we see that $2\alpha_{kk} + \mu = 0$. Looking at the coefficient of E_{11} , we obtain $\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{22} = 2\alpha_{11} + \mu$. Thus $\alpha_{kk} = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{22}) = -\frac{\mu}{2}$ for $k \neq 1, 2$. It follows that

(11)
$$a = \alpha(e_{11} - e_{22}) + \sum_{l \neq 1} \alpha_{1l} e_{1l} + \sum_{k \neq 1,2} \alpha_{k2} e_{k2},$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{22})$. The claim has been proved.

Step 2. We want to show that there exists $s' \in R$ such that $\sigma(e_{1i}) = (ad s')e_{1i}$ for all $j \neq 1$.

As before, let $a = \sigma(e_{12})$. By Step 1, a is given by (11). Set $s_2 = -\alpha E_{21} - \alpha E_{21}$ $\sum_{l\neq 1} \alpha_{1l} E_{2l} + \sum_{k\neq 1,2} \alpha_{k2} E_{k1}$. Then $a = (\operatorname{ad} s_2) e_{12}$. Define $\sigma' = \sigma - \operatorname{ad} s_2$. Since ad s_2 is a derivation of L, expansion rules like (3) still hold when σ is replaced with σ' . We also have $\sigma'(e_{12}) = 0$.

Now let $b = \sigma'(e_{13})$ and $c = \sigma'(e_{23})$. By Step 1 applied to σ' , we have

(12)
$$b = \beta(e_{11} - e_{33}) + \sum_{l \neq 1} \beta_{1l} e_{1l} + \sum_{k \neq 1,3} \beta_{k3} e_{k3},$$
$$c = \gamma(e_{22} - e_{33}) + \sum_{l \neq 2} \gamma_{2l} e_{2l} + \sum_{k \neq 2,3} \gamma_{k3} e_{k3}.$$

Applying σ' to the equation $e_{13} = [e_{12}, e_{23}]$, we obtain $b = [e_{12}, c] + \lambda e_{13}$ for some $\lambda \in F$. Substituting the above expressions for b and c and evaluating, we obtain

$$\beta(e_{11} - e_{33}) + \sum_{l \neq 1} \beta_{1l} e_{1l} + \sum_{k \neq 1,3} \beta_{k3} e_{k3} = \gamma e_{12} + \sum_{l \neq 1,2} \gamma_{2l} e_{1l} + \gamma_{21} (e_{11} - e_{22}) + \lambda e_{13}$$

Comparing the coefficients on both sides, we see that $\beta = 0$ and $\beta_{k3} = 0$ for all $k \neq 1, 3$. Thus $b = \sum_{l \neq 1} \beta_{1l} e_{1l}$. Setting $s_3 = -\sum_{l \neq 1} \beta_{1l} E_{3l}$, we see that $b = (ad s_3)e_{13}$ and also $(ad s_3)e_{12} = 0$. Therefore, replacing σ' by $\sigma - ad s_2 - ad s_3$, we have $\sigma'(e_{12}) = \sigma'(e_{13}) = 0$.

Continuing this process, we obtain $\sigma' = \sigma - \operatorname{ad} s_2 - \cdots - \operatorname{ad} s_n$ such that $\sigma'(e_{1j}) =$ 0 for all $j \neq 1$. Thus we can set $s' = \sum_{j \neq 1} s_j$. **Step 3.** Let s' be as in Step 2 and $\sigma' = \sigma - \operatorname{ad} s'$. We claim that for all $i, j \neq 1$

with $i \neq j$, we have

(13)
$$\sigma'(e_{i1}) = \xi(i)(e_{ii} - e_{11}) + \sum_{l \neq i} \xi_{il} e_{il}$$

(14)
$$\sigma'(e_{ij}) = \mu(i,j)e_{1j} + \gamma_{ij}e_{ij}$$

for some ξ 's, γ 's and μ 's in F.

Without loss of generality, we assume i = 2 and j = 3. Let $c = \sigma'(e_{23})$, as before, and $x = \sigma'(e_{21})$. Then by Step 1 (applied to σ'), c has the form as in (12) and x has the form $x = \xi(e_{22} - e_{11}) + \sum_{l \neq 2} \xi_{2l} e_{2l} + \sum_{k \neq 1,2} \xi_{k1} e_{k1}$. Applying σ' to the equation $e_{23} = [e_{21}, e_{13}]$, we get $c = [x, e_{13}] + \lambda e_{23}$ for some $\lambda \in F$. Substituting the expressions for c and x and evaluating, we obtain

(15)
$$\gamma(e_{22} - e_{33}) + \sum_{l \neq 2} \gamma_{2l} e_{2l} + \sum_{k \neq 2,3} \gamma_{k3} e_{k3}$$
$$= -\xi e_{13} + \xi_{21} e_{23} + \sum_{k \neq 1,2,3} \xi_{k1} e_{k3} + \xi_{31} (e_{33} - e_{11}) + \lambda e_{23}$$

Comparing the coefficients on both sides, we see that $\gamma = 0$ and $\xi_{31} = 0$. A similar argument using the equation $e_{2k} = [e_{21}, e_{1k}]$ shows that $\xi_{k1} = 0$ for all $k \neq 1, 2$. Thus $x = \xi(e_{22} - e_{11}) + \sum_{l \neq 2} \xi_{2l}e_{2l}$ and (13) has been established for i = 2. Looking again at (15), we conclude that $\gamma_{k3} = 0$ for all $k \neq 1, 2, 3$ and also $\gamma_{2l} = 0$ for $l \neq 2, 3$. Hence $c = \gamma_{13}e_{13} + \gamma_{23}e_{23}$, which completes the proof of (14) for i = 2 and j = 3.

Step 4. We want to show that there exists $s'' \in R$ such that $(\operatorname{ad} s'')e_{1k} = 0$ for all $k \neq 1$ and $\sigma'(e_{ij}) = (\operatorname{ad} s'')e_{ij} + \gamma_{ij}e_{ij}$ for all $i, j \neq 1, i \neq j$.

First we show that in (14) the scalar $\mu(i, j)$ does not depend on j. Fix $i \neq 1$ and $u, v \neq 1, i$ with $u \neq v$. Applying σ' to the equation $e_{iv} = [e_{iu}, e_{uv}]$ and using (14), we obtain

$$\mu(i, v)e_{1v} + \gamma_{iv}e_{iv} = [\mu(i, u)e_{1u} + \gamma_{iu}e_{iu}, e_{uv}] + [e_{iu}, \mu(u, v)e_{1v} + \gamma_{uv}e_{uv}] + \lambda e_{iv}$$

for some $\lambda \in F$. Simplifying, we get $\mu(i, v)e_{1v} = \mu(i, u)e_{1v} + (\gamma_{iu} + \gamma_{uv} - \gamma_{iv} + \lambda)e_{iv}$, which implies that $\mu(i, u) = \mu(i, v)$. (By a similar argument, one can show that in fact $\mu(i, j)$ does not depend on *i* either, but we do not need this fact here.)

Now write $\mu(i,j) = \mu(i)$ and set $s'' = \sum_{k \neq 1} \mu(k) e_{1k}$. Then $(\operatorname{ad} s'') e_{ij} = \mu(i) e_{1j}$ and thus $\sigma'(e_{ij}) = (\operatorname{ad} s'') e_{ij} + \gamma_{ij} e_{ij}$. Clearly, $(\operatorname{ad} s'') e_{1k} = 0$.

Step 5. Set s = s' + s'' and $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma - \operatorname{ad} s$. We claim that each e_{ij} with $i \neq j$ is an eigenvector for $\tilde{\sigma}$.

By Step 2 and Step 4, we already have $\tilde{\sigma}(e_{1k}) = 0$ for all $k \neq 1$ and $\tilde{\sigma}(e_{ij}) = \gamma_{ij}e_{ij}$ for $i, j \neq 1, i \neq j$. It remains to show that each $e_{k1}, k \neq 1$, is also an eigenvector of $\tilde{\sigma}$. Fix $k \neq 1$ and $u \neq 1, k$. By (13) applied to $\tilde{\sigma}$ and e_{k1} , we have

$$\widetilde{\sigma}(e_{k1}) = \widetilde{\xi}(k)(e_{kk} - e_{11}) + \sum_{l \neq k} \widetilde{\xi}_{kl} e_{kl}.$$

Now applying $\tilde{\sigma}$ to the equation $[e_{k1}, e_{1u}] = e_{ku}$, we obtain

$$\left|\widetilde{\xi}(k)(e_{kk} - e_{11}) + \sum_{l \neq k} \widetilde{\xi}_{kl} e_{kl}, e_{1u}\right| + \lambda e_{ku} = \gamma_{ku} e_{ku}$$

for some $\lambda \in F$, which yields $-\tilde{\xi}(k)e_{1u} = (\gamma_{ku} - \tilde{\xi}_{k1} - \lambda)e_{ku}$. Hence $\tilde{\xi}(k) = 0$ for all $k \neq 1$.

Finally, applying $\tilde{\sigma}$ to the equation $e_{k1} = [e_{ku}, e_{u1}]$, we obtain

$$\sum_{l \neq k} \widetilde{\xi}_{kl} e_{kl} = \left[e_{ku}, \sum_{l \neq u} \widetilde{\xi}_{ul} e_{ul} \right] + \lambda e_{k1}$$

for some $\lambda \in F$, which yields $\sum_{l \neq k, u} (\tilde{\xi}_{kl} - \tilde{\xi}_{ul}) e_{kl} + \tilde{\xi}_{ku} e_{ku} = \tilde{\xi}_{uk} (e_{kk} - e_{uu}) + \lambda e_{k1}$. Hence $\tilde{\xi}_{ku} = 0$ for all $u \neq 1, k$ and $\tilde{\sigma}(e_{k1}) = \tilde{\xi}_{k1} e_{k1}$.

The proof of Lemma 3.1 is complete.

4. Gradings by a p-group

Let $R = M_n(F)$ where F is a field of characteristic p > 0 (not necessarily algebraically closed). Let G be an abelian p-group. We want to describe all G-gradings on the simple Lie algebra L = [R, R]/Z where $Z = [R, R] \cap Z(R)$. Replacing G with the subgroup generated by the support of the grading, we can assume that G is *finite*. As in the previous section, set H = FG and $K = H^*$. Then a G-grading on R, resp. L, is equivalent to a K-module algebra structure on R, resp. L.

First suppose that G is an elementary abelian p-group of rank k. Then $K = u(\mathfrak{g})$ where $\mathfrak{g} = \langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \rangle$ is the abelian p-Lie algebra of dimension k corresponding to G, and any element $\delta \in \mathfrak{g}$ acts as a derivation of R, resp. L.

Theorem 4.1 ([6, Theorem 3.3]). Let $R = M_n(F)$, $n \ge 2$, where char $F \ne 2$ and, in the case n = 3, also char $F \ne 3$. Let $Z = [R, R] \cap Z(R)$ and L = [R, R]/Z. If $d: L \rightarrow L$ is a Lie derivation, then there exists a derivation $D: R \rightarrow R$ such that d(x+Z) = D(x) + Z for all $x \in [R, R]$.

Suppose a *G*-grading $L = \bigoplus_{g \in G} L_g$ is given. Then *L* is a $u(\mathfrak{g})$ -module algebra. Applying the above result to each of the Lie derivations $d_i : L \to L$ defined by $x \mapsto \alpha_i \cdot x$, we obtain derivations $D_i : R \to R$. As is well-known, all derivations of *R* are inner, so $D_i = \operatorname{ad} s_i$ for some $s_i \in R$. The proof of the following lemma is straightforward and thus omitted.

Lemma 4.2. Let $R = M_n(F)$, char $F \neq 2$. Let $s \in R$. If $(ad s)x \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in [R, R]$, then ad s = 0.

Remark 4.3. Lemma 4.2 implies that D in Theorem 4.1 is uniquely determined by d.

The operators d_i , i = 1, ..., k, commute with each other, so we have $[D_i, D_j](x) \in Z(R)$ for all i, j and $x \in [R, R]$. Applying Lemma 4.2 to $s = [s_i, s_j]$, we see that in fact $[D_i, D_j] = 0$.

Lemma 4.4. Let $R = M_n(F)$, char $F = p \neq 2$. Let $s \in R$. If $(ad s)^p x - (ad s)x \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in [R, R]$, then $(ad s)^p = ad s$.

Proof. Since $(ad s)^p = ad s^p$, we have $(ad (s^p - s))x \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in [R, R]$. By Lemma 4.2 applied to $s^p - s$, we obtain $ad (s^p - s) = 0$ and thus $(ad s)^p = ad s$. \Box

Now $(d_i)^p = d_i$, i = 1, ..., k, so we have $(D_i)^p x - D_i x \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in [R, R]$. Applying Lemma 4.4 to s_i , we see that in fact $(D_i)^p = D_i$.

It now follows that R is a $u(\mathfrak{g})$ -module algebra via $\alpha_i \circ r = D_i(r)$ for all $r \in R$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Therefore, there exists a (unique) G-grading $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ such that $L_g = (R_g \cap [R, R]) + Z$ for all $g \in G$. We wish to extend this result to the case where G is not necessarily elementary.

Theorem 4.5. Let $R = M_n(F)$, $n \ge 2$, where char $F = p \ne 2$ and, in the case n = 3, also $p \ne 3$. Let $Z = [R, R] \cap Z(R)$ and L = [R, R]/Z. Let G be a finite abelian p-group and $K = (FG)^*$. If $K \otimes L \rightarrow L$ is a K-module algebra structure on L sending $k \otimes a$ to $k \cdot a$, then there exists a unique K-module algebra structure $K \otimes R \rightarrow R$ on R sending $k \otimes r$ to $k \circ r$ such that

$$k \cdot (x+Z) = (k \circ x) + Z$$
 for all $k \in K$ and $x \in [R, R]$

Equivalently, if $L = \bigoplus_{g \in G} L_g$ is a G-grading, then there exists a unique G-grading $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ such that

$$L_g = (R_g \cap [R, R]) + Z$$
 for all $g \in G$.

Proof. In the case when n is not divisible by p, i.e., Z = 0, the theorem has been proved in [1, Corollary 4.4]. Here we will consider the case when n is divisible by p. We will proceed by induction on |G|. We start by separating one cyclic factor: $G = \langle a \rangle_{p^N} \times \widetilde{G}$, hence $H = F \langle a \rangle \otimes \widetilde{H}$ and $K = (F \langle a \rangle)^* \otimes \widetilde{K}$. We introduce $\delta^{(m)}$ in the first factor as discussed in Section 3 for the case of a cyclic group. Let \overline{K} be the subalgebra of K generated by \widetilde{K} and $\delta^{(p^k)}$, $k = 0, \ldots, N-2$. Then $\overline{K} = (F\overline{G})^*$ where $\overline{G} = G/\langle a^p \rangle$ is a group of smaller order.

By inductive hypothesis, R is a \overline{K} -module algebra via $k \otimes r \mapsto k \circ r$ for $k \in$ \overline{K} and $r \in R$. This \overline{K} -action on R induces the original \overline{K} -action on L and is uniquely determined by this property. Now consider the grading $R = \bigoplus_{\bar{q} \in \overline{G}} R_{\bar{q}}$ that corresponds to the \overline{K} -action. Since \overline{G} is a *p*-group, this grading is elementary. Fix a basis of matrix units $\{E_{ij}\}$ in R such that

$$E_{ij} \in R_{\overline{g}_i^{-1}\overline{g}_j}$$
 where $\overline{g}_1, \dots, \overline{g}_n \in \overline{G}$.

The correspondence between gradings and actions gives $k \circ E_{ij} = \langle k, \bar{g}_i^{-1} \bar{g}_j \rangle E_{ij}$ for all $k \in \overline{K}$. It follows that, for $m = 1, \ldots, p^{N-1} - 1$, we have

(16)
$$\delta^{(m)} \circ E_{ii} = 0$$
 and $\delta^{(m)} \circ E_{ij} = \lambda_{ij}^{(m)} E_{ij}$ for $i \neq j$,

where $\lambda_{ij}^{(m)} = \langle \delta^{(m)}, \bar{g}_i^{-1} \bar{g}_j \rangle \in F$. Let $q = p^{N-1}$ and consider the operator $\sigma : L \to L$ defined by $\sigma(a) = \delta^{(q)} \cdot a$. Since L is a K-module algebra, (2) implies

(17)
$$\sigma([a,b]) = [\sigma(a),b] + [a,\sigma(b)] + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} [\delta^{(k)} \cdot a, \delta^{(q-k)} \cdot b] \quad \forall a,b \in L.$$

Our first goal is to show that σ can be uniquely lifted to $\Sigma : R \to R$ such that

(18)
$$\Sigma(xy) = \Sigma(x)y + x\Sigma(y) + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} (\delta^{(k)} \circ x) (\delta^{(q-k)} \circ y) \qquad \forall x, y \in R.$$

This will be done in three steps.

Step 1. In order to construct Σ , we will first "approximate" the operator σ with ad s for some appropriately chosen $s \in R$. Namely, we fix $s \in R$ as in Lemma 3.1 (clearly, such s is determined up to a diagonal matrix) and write for $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma - \mathrm{ad} s$:

(19)
$$\widetilde{\sigma}(e_{ij}) = \gamma_{ij} e_{ij} \text{ for all } i \neq j.$$

Since ad s is a derivation of L, the operator $\tilde{\sigma}$ also satisfies the expansion rule for commutators (17). We lift $\tilde{\sigma}: L \to L$ to an operator $\Sigma: R \to R$ by setting

(20)
$$\Sigma(E_{ii}) = 0 \text{ and } \Sigma(E_{ij}) = \gamma_{ij}E_{ij} \text{ for all } i \neq j.$$

Step 2. We show that Σ above satisfies the expansion rule for products (18).

We will need to know how $\tilde{\sigma}$ acts on the elements $e_{ii} - e_{jj}$, $i \neq j$. Applying $\tilde{\sigma}$ to the equation $e_{ii} - e_{jj} = [e_{ij}, e_{ji}]$ and using (19), we obtain

$$\widetilde{\sigma}(e_{ii} - e_{jj}) = [\gamma_{ij}e_{ij}, e_{ji}] + [e_{ij}, \gamma_{ji}e_{ji}] + \lambda[e_{ij}, e_{ji}]$$

for some $\lambda \in F$. It follows that $e_{ii} - e_{jj}$ is also an eigenvector for $\tilde{\sigma}$:

(21)
$$\widetilde{\sigma}(e_{ii} - e_{jj}) = \beta_{ij}(e_{ii} - e_{jj}).$$

We claim that in fact $\beta_{ij} = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. Indeed, fix $k \neq i, j$ and apply $\tilde{\sigma}$ to the equation $e_{jk} = [e_{jk}, e_{ii} - e_{jj}]$ using (19) and (21):

$$\gamma_{jk}e_{jk} = [\gamma_{jk}e_{jk}, e_{ii} - e_{jj}] + [e_{jk}, \beta_{ij}(e_{ii} - e_{jj})] + \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} [\delta^{(l)} \cdot e_{jk}, \delta^{(q-l)} \cdot (e_{ii} - e_{jj})].$$

Now by (16) the summation on the right-hand side vanishes, so we have $\gamma_{jk}e_{jk} = (\gamma_{jk} + \beta_{ij})e_{jk}$ which gives $\beta_{ij} = 0$, as desired. To summarize,

(22)
$$\widetilde{\sigma}(e_{ii} - e_{jj}) = 0 \text{ and } \widetilde{\sigma}(e_{ij}) = \gamma_{ij}e_{ij} \text{ for all } i \neq j.$$

We will need to know more about the relations among the scalars γ_{ij} . Fix $i \neq j$. Let $k \neq i, j$. Then applying $\tilde{\sigma}$ to the equation $e_{ik} = [e_{ij}, e_{jk}]$ and using (22) and (16), we obtain

$$\gamma_{ik}e_{ik} = [\gamma_{ij}e_{ij}, e_{jk}] + [e_{ij}, \gamma_{jk}e_{jk}] + \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} [\lambda_{ij}^{(l)}e_{ij}, \lambda_{jk}^{(q-l)}e_{jk}],$$

which gives

$$\gamma_{ik} = \gamma_{ij} + \gamma_{jk} + \Lambda(i, j, k)$$
 for all distinct i, j, k ,

(23) where

$$\Lambda(i,j,k) = \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \lambda_{ij}^{(l)} \lambda_{jk}^{(q-l)}$$

Note that the above definition of $\Lambda(i, j, k)$ makes sense when $i = k \neq j$. We also set $\Lambda(i, j, k) = 0$ if i = j or j = k — compare this convention with (16). Thus $\Lambda(i, j, k)$ is defined for all i, j, k (not necessarily distinct). Observe also that $\Lambda(i, j, k)$ depend only on the action of \overline{K} (in other words, on the grading by \overline{G}).

Now applying $\tilde{\sigma}$ to the equation $e_{ii} - e_{jj} = [e_{ij}, e_{ji}]$ and using (22) and (16), we obtain

$$0 = [\gamma_{ij}e_{ij}, e_{ji}] + [e_{ij}, \gamma_{ji}e_{ji}] + \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} [\lambda_{ij}^{(l)}e_{ij}, \lambda_{ji}^{(q-l)}e_{ji}],$$

which gives

(24)
$$\gamma_{ij} + \gamma_{ji} + \Lambda(i, j, i) = 0 \text{ for all } i \neq j.$$

We set for convenience $\gamma_{ii} = 0$ for all *i*. Then (23) and (24) can be combined into one formula:

(25)
$$\gamma_{ik} = \gamma_{ij} + \gamma_{jk} + \Lambda(i, j, k) \text{ for all } i, j, k.$$

We are now ready to prove that Σ defined by (20) satisfies (18). By linearity, it suffices to check (18) for $x = E_{ij}$ and $y = E_{lk}$. With our convention $\gamma_{ii} = 0$, (20) becomes

(26)
$$\Sigma(E_{ij}) = \gamma_{ij} E_{ij} \text{ for all } i, j.$$

This observation along with (25) allow us to reduce the number of cases that need to be considered separately to just two.

Case 1: $j \neq l$. Then (18) is equivalent to the equation

$$0 = (\gamma_{ij}E_{ij})E_{lk} + E_{ij}(\gamma_{lk}E_{lk}) + \lambda E_{ij}E_{lk} \qquad (\lambda \in F),$$

which is obviously true.

Case 2: j = l. Then (18) is equivalent to the equation

$$\gamma_{ik}E_{ik} = (\gamma_{ij}E_{ij})E_{jk} + E_{ij}(\gamma_{jk}E_{jk}) + \Lambda(i,j,k)E_{ij}E_{jk},$$

which holds by (25).

Step 3. Regarding ad s as an operator $R \to R$ (rather than $L \to L$), we now set

$$\Sigma = \Sigma + \operatorname{ad} s.$$

Then $\Sigma : R \to R$ is a lifting of $\sigma : L \to L$. Since Σ satisfies (18) and $\operatorname{ad} s$ is a derivation of R, we see that Σ also satisfies (18). To show the uniqueness of Σ , suppose there exists $\Sigma' : R \to R$ that is a lifting of σ and satisfies (18). Then $\Sigma' - \Sigma$ is a derivation of R and thus $\Sigma' - \Sigma = \operatorname{ad} r$ for some $r \in R$. We also know that $\operatorname{ad} r$ vanishes when regarded as an operator $L \to L$. By Lemma 4.2 it follows that $\operatorname{ad} r = 0$ and thus $\Sigma' = \Sigma$.

Now we can define the desired action $K \otimes R \to R : k \otimes r \mapsto k \circ r$ and thereby complete the proof of Theorem 4.5. We already have the action of $\overline{K} \otimes R \to R :$ $k \otimes r \mapsto k \circ r$, so we only have to extend it to $k \in K$. Recalling the discussion in Section 3, we see that K is generated by \overline{K} and $\delta^{(q)}$. Moreover, K is a free \overline{K} -module with basis $\{(\delta^{(q)})^m \mid m = 0, \dots, p-1\}$, and also $(\delta^{(q)})^p = \delta^{(q)}$. We set

(27)
$$\delta^{(q)} \circ r = \Sigma(r) \text{ for all } r \in R.$$

This will define a structure of K-module on R provided we verify that Σ commutes with the action of \overline{K} and $\Sigma^p = \Sigma$.

Recall the grading $R = \bigoplus_{\overline{g} \in \overline{G}} R_{\overline{g}}$ that corresponds to the \overline{K} -action. Write s = s' + s'' where $s' \in R_{\overline{1}}$ and $s'' \in \bigoplus_{\overline{g} \neq \overline{1}} R_{\overline{g}}$. Since σ and $\widetilde{\sigma}$ preserve the induced grading $L = \bigoplus_{\overline{g} \in \overline{G}} L_{\overline{g}}$, so does ad $s = \sigma - \widetilde{\sigma}$, hence ad s'' acts trivially on L. By Lemma 4.2 it follows that ad s'' acts trivially on R, hence we can replace s with s' and assume without loss of generality that $s \in R_{\overline{1}}$. Now since $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ and ad s preserve the grading $R = \bigoplus_{\overline{g} \in \overline{G}} R_{\overline{g}}$, so does $\Sigma = \widetilde{\Sigma} + \operatorname{ad} s$, which means that Σ commutes with the action of \overline{K} on R, as desired.

In order to show that $\Sigma^p = \Sigma$, consider the identity component $R_{\bar{1}}$ in more detail. Recall from Section 2 that $R_{\bar{1}}$ consists of block diagonal matrices and is isomorphic to the direct product of full matrix algebras $S_1 \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_l$. Observe that since \overline{K} acts trivially on $R_{\bar{1}}$, the sum on the right-hand side of (18) vanishes for $x, y \in R_{\bar{1}}$, which implies that the restriction of Σ to $R_{\bar{1}}$, is a derivation of $R_{\bar{1}}$. It follows that $\Sigma \mid_{R_{\bar{1}}} = \operatorname{ad} r$ where $r = \operatorname{diag}(r_1, \ldots, r_l)$ with $r_1 \in S_1, \ldots, r_l \in S_l$. Now $\sigma^p = \sigma$ implies that $(\operatorname{ad} r)^p x - (\operatorname{ad} r)x \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in [R, R] \cap R_{\bar{1}}$. It follows that for each $i = 1, \ldots, l$, we have $(\operatorname{ad} r_i)^p x - (\operatorname{ad} r_i)x \in Z(R) \cap S_i$ for all $x \in [S_i, S_i]$. By Lemma 4.4 we conclude that $(\operatorname{ad} r_i)^p = \operatorname{ad} r_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, l$ and thus $(\Sigma \mid_{R_{\bar{1}}})^p = \Sigma \mid_{R_{\bar{1}}}$. It remains to consider $\Sigma \mid_{R_{\bar{g}}}$ for $\bar{g} \neq \bar{1}$. Since $\sigma^p = \sigma$ and $R_{\bar{g}} \subset [R, R]$, we see that $(\Sigma^p - \Sigma)(x) \in Z(R) \subset R_{\bar{1}}$ for all $x \in R_{\bar{g}}$. On the other hand, we proved that Σ preserves $R_{\bar{g}}$. Therefore, $(\Sigma^p - \Sigma)(x) = 0$ for all $x \in R_{\bar{g}}$.

Thus we have defined the structure of a K-module on $R: k \otimes r \mapsto k \circ r$. Since K is generated by \overline{K} and $\delta^{(q)}$, (18) and (27) imply that this is a structure of a K-module algebra. We also have $k \cdot (x + Z) = (k \circ x) + Z$ for all $x \in [R, R]$ when $k \in \overline{K}$ or $k = \delta^{(q)}$, which implies that $k \cdot (x + Z) = (k \circ x) + Z$ for all $x \in [R, R]$ and $k \in K$.

The proof of Theorem 4.5 is complete.

We recall the notation $R^{(-)}$ for the Lie algebra structure given by commutator on an associative algebra R.

Corollary 4.6. Let R and G be as in Theorem 4.5. Let $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ be a grading on the Lie algebra $R^{(-)}$. Then it is a grading on the associative algebra R if and only if the identity element of R is in the component R_1 . Moreover, the latter condition is always satisfied in the case $p \mid n$. *Proof.* The case $p \nmid n$ is [1, Corollary 4.5]. Suppose $p \mid n$, so $Z = Z(R) \subset [R, R]$. Let L = [R, R]/Z. Then the G-grading on R induces a grading $L = \bigoplus_{g \in G} L_g$ where $L_g = (R_g \cap [R, R]) + Z$. Applying Theorem 4.5, we find a grading $R = \bigoplus_{q \in G} R'_q$ on the associative algebra R such that $L_g = (R'_g \cap [R, R]) + Z$. We claim that $R'_g = R_g$ for all $g \in G$. As in the proof of the theorem, we proceed by induction on |G| and separate one cyclic factor: $G = \langle a \rangle_{p^N} \times G$. Consider the dual actions of $K = (FG)^*$ on R corresponding to the two gradings. Let $\overline{K} = (F\overline{G})^* \subset K$ where $\overline{G} = G/\langle a^p \rangle$. By induction hypothesis, the two actions of the subalgebra \overline{K} on R coincide. Let $\Sigma : R \to R$ and $\Sigma' : R \to R$ be the two actions of $\delta^{(p^{N-1})}$. Then $\Sigma - \Sigma'$ is a derivation of $R^{(-)}$. Hence $\Sigma - \Sigma' = \operatorname{ad} s + \zeta$ where $s \in R$ and $\zeta: R \to Z \subset R$ is a linear map such that $\zeta([R,R]) = 0$ [10, Theorem 2]. Since the grading $R = \bigoplus_{a \in G} R'_a$ is elementary, the identity component R'_1 contains the matrix unit E_{11} (relative to some basis). It follows that $\Sigma'(R) \subset [R, R]$. Also $\Sigma'(Z) = 0$, because $1 \in R'_1$. Hence the compositions $\zeta \Sigma'$ and $\Sigma' \zeta$ are both zero. By Lemma 4.2, ad s is also zero. Therefore, $\Sigma = \Sigma^p = (\Sigma' + \zeta)^p = (\Sigma')^p + \zeta^p = \Sigma'$, as desired. Finally, the identity matrix is in R'_1 , and $R'_1 = R_1$. \square

The following example shows that the restriction $n \neq 3$ if p = 3 in Theorem 4.5 cannot be omitted.

Example 4.7. Let $R = M_3(F)$, char F = 3, and L = [R, R]/Z(R). Then $e_{11} - e_{22} = e_{22} - e_{33}$, e_{12} , e_{13} , e_{23} , e_{21} , e_{31} , e_{32} form a basis of L. In [6, Example 2] it is shown that $d: L \to L$ defined by

	$e_{11} - e_{22} \mapsto 0,$	
$e_{13} \mapsto 0,$	$e_{12} \mapsto e_{23},$	$e_{23} \mapsto 0,$
$e_{31} \mapsto 0,$	$e_{32} \mapsto -e_{21},$	$e_{21} \mapsto 0,$

is a derivation of L that cannot be lifted to a derivation of R. However, since $d^3 = 0$, d does not correspond to a grading on L. We consider another derivation

$$\overline{d}: L \to L: x \mapsto d(x) + (\operatorname{ad} E_{22})x$$

Clearly, \bar{d} cannot be lifted to R either, but now we have $\bar{d}^3 = \bar{d}$, because

Hence \overline{d} corresponds to a grading on L by the cyclic group $\langle a \rangle_3$ that is not induced by a grading on R. Namely,

$$L_1 = \text{Span} \{ e_{11} - e_{22}, e_{13}, e_{31} \} \text{ and} L_a = \text{Span} \{ e_{21}, e_{23} \}, \qquad L_{a^{-1}} = \text{Span} \{ e_{12} + e_{23}, e_{32} - e_{21} \}.$$

Remark 4.8. The above example is not completely satisfactory, because the C_3 -grading on L, though not liftable to R, is conjugate to the grading

$$\begin{aligned} &L'_1 = \operatorname{Span} \left\{ e_{11} - e_{22}, e_{13}, e_{31} \right\} & \text{and} \\ &L'_a = \operatorname{Span} \left\{ e_{21}, e_{23} \right\}, & L'_{a^{-1}} = \operatorname{Span} \left\{ e_{12}, e_{32} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

by the automorphism of L (not liftable to R) given in [6, Example 1]. The latter grading is obviously induced by the elementary grading on R that corresponds to the triple (a, 1, a).

Remark 4.9. It was pointed out to us by A. Elduque that all gradings on $\mathfrak{psl}_3(F)$ in the case char F = 3 can be obtained if one uses, instead of 3×3 matrices, the realization of $\mathfrak{psl}_3(F)$ as the algebra of zero-trace octonions. By [9, Theorem 9], all gradings on this algebra come from gradings on the algebra of octonions. The latter gradings are completely described in [9].

5. Gradings by an arbitrary abelian group

The gradings on the Lie algebra $L = \mathfrak{sl}_n(F)$ over an algebraically closed field F of characteristic zero have been described in [5]. Namely, every grading $L = \bigoplus_{g \in G} L_g$ by an abelian group G arises from a grading on $R = M_n(F)$ in one of the following two ways:

- I: $L_g = R_g$ for $g \neq 1$ and $L_1 = R_1 \cap L$ where $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ is a G-grading on R;
- II : $L_g = \mathcal{K}(R_g, *) \oplus \mathcal{H}(R_{gh}, *)$ if $g \neq h$ and $L_h = \mathcal{K}(R_h, *) \oplus (\mathcal{H}(R_1, *) \cap L)$ where $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ is a G-grading on R, * is an involution that preserves the grading, and $h \in G$ is an element of order 2.

Here $\mathcal{H}(R,*)$ and $\mathcal{K}(R,*)$ stand, respectively, for the subspaces of symmetric and skew-symmetric elements relative to *.

As shown in [1], the same holds in the case char F = p > 0 if $p \neq 2$ and $p \nmid n$. (The group G was assumed finite in [1], but this assumption is not necessary see the proof of Theorem 5.1 below.) In the case when $p \neq 2$ divides n, one has to modify the above slightly: $L = \mathfrak{psl}_n(F), Z = Z(R)$, and

- I': $L_g = R_g + Z$ for $g \neq 1$ and $L_1 = (R_1 + Z) \cap L$ where $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ is a *G*-grading on R;
- II': $L_g = (\mathcal{K}(R_g, *) + Z) \oplus (\mathcal{H}(R_{gh}, *) + Z)$ if $g \neq h$ and $L_h = (\mathcal{K}(R_h, *) + Z) \oplus ((\mathcal{H}(R_1, *) + Z) \cap L)$ where $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ is a *G*-grading on R, * is an involution that preserves the grading, and $h \in G$ is an element of order 2.

We state the result in such a way that it includes both cases: $p \mid n$ and $p \nmid n$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $R = M_n(F)$, $n \ge 2$, where F is an algebraically closed field, char $F = p \ne 2$ and, in the case n = 3, also $p \ne 3$. Let $Z = [R, R] \cap Z(R)$ and L = [R, R]/Z. Let G be an abelian group. Then any G-grading on L is either of type I' or of type II' above.

The proof is similar to the one given in [1] for the case $p \nmid n$. Before we start, we state a result that allows us to lift automorphisms from L to R (quoted in [6, Theorem 3.1]).

Theorem 5.2 ([7, Theorem 6.1]). Let $S = M_m(E)$, $R = M_n(F)$, n > 1, E and F fields with isomorphism $\gamma : F \to E$. Assume that char $E \neq 2$, and $m \neq 3$ if char E = 3. Suppose there is a γ -semilinear Lie isomorphism $\alpha : [\overline{R}, \overline{R}] \to [\overline{S}, \overline{S}]$ where $[\overline{R}, \overline{R}] = [R, R]/[R, R] \cap Z(R)$ and $[\overline{S}, \overline{S}] = [S, S]/[S, S] \cap Z(S)$. Then n = m and there exists a γ -semilinear map $\sigma : R \to S$ such that σ is either an isomorphism or the negative of an anti-isomorphism and such that $\overline{\sigma(x)} = \alpha(\overline{x})$ for all $x \in [R, R]$.

In our case, E = F, $\gamma = id$, and R = S. Define a homomorphism of algebraic groups θ : Aut $\sim(R) \rightarrow$ Aut (L) by $\sigma \mapsto \alpha$ where Aut $\sim(R)$ denotes the group consisting of the automorphisms and the negatives of the automorphisms of R(both are automorphisms of the Lie algebra $R^{(-)}$). **Lemma 5.3.** If $p \neq 2$ and $(p, n) \neq (3, 3)$, then θ : Aut $\sim(R) \rightarrow$ Aut (L) is an isomorphism of algebraic groups.

Proof. By Theorem 5.2, θ is surjective. To prove injectivity, we have to show that σ is uniquely determined by α . This is obvious in the case $p \nmid n$ and can be shown in the case $p \mid n$ as follows. We have to verify that, if an automorphism σ of R induces the identity map on L, then $\sigma = id$. Now $\sigma(x) = sxs^{-1}$ for some invertible $s \in R$. Hence we have $sxs^{-1} - x \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in [R, R]$. Write $R = A \otimes B$ where $A \cong M_k(F)$, $B \cong M_l(F)$, k is a power of p and $p \nmid l$. Since $1 \otimes b \in [R, R]$ for all $b \in B$, we see that σ restricts to the identity map on the subalgebra B. Since $a \otimes 1 \in [R, R]$ for all $a \in [A, A]$, and [A, A] generates A as an associative algebra, we conclude that σ preserves the subalgebra A and induces the identity map on [A, A]/Z(A). Thus we are reduced to the case when n is a power of p. Now, taking $x = I + \lambda E_{ij}$ with $i \neq j$ and $\lambda \in F$, we obtain $sxs^{-1} = x + \mu I$ for some $\mu \in F$. Hence $sxs^{-1}x^{-1} = (\mu + 1)I - \lambda \mu E_{ij}$. Evaluating the determinant of both sides, we obtain $1 = (\mu + 1)^n$, which implies $\mu = 0$. Since the elements $I + \lambda E_{ij}$ generate SL_n(F), we conclude that $\sigma = id$.

Finally, the homomorphism of the tangent algebras corresponding to θ is injective by Lemma 4.2. It follows that θ is an isomorphism of algebraic groups.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Without loss of generality, G is finitely generated. Write $G = G_0 \times G_1$ where G_0 has no p-torsion and G_1 is a finite p-group. Recall from Section 3 that G-gradings on an algebra A are equivalent to pairs of mutually commuting actions on A where \widehat{G}_0 acts by automorphisms and the Hopf algebra $K_1 = (FG_1)^*$ in such a way that A is a K_1 -module algebra. By Lemma 5.3, we can lift the map $f: \widehat{G}_0 \to \operatorname{Aut}(L)$ associated to the action of \widehat{G}_0 on L and obtain a homomorphism of algebraic groups $\tilde{f}: \widehat{G}_0 \to \operatorname{Aut}^{\sim}(R)$ by setting $\tilde{f} = \theta^{-1} \circ f$. By Theorem 4.5, we can lift the K_1 -action on L (denoted \cdot) to an action on the associative algebra R (denoted \circ). The actions of \widehat{G}_0 and K_1 on R commute with each other. Indeed, fix $g \in G_0$. Then $k \otimes x \mapsto \tilde{f}(g)[k \circ (\tilde{f}(g^{-1})x)]$ determines a K_1 -action on R, which induces the same action \cdot on L. It follows from uniqueness in Theorem 4.5 that $\tilde{f}(g)(k \circ x) = k \circ (\tilde{f}(g)x)$ for all $k \in K_1$ and $x \in R$. Hence we obtain a G-grading on the Lie algebra $R^{(-)}, R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$, which induces the original G-grading on L.

Now set $\Lambda = \tilde{f}^{-1}(\operatorname{Aut}(R))$. This is a subgroup in $\widehat{G_0}$ of index at most 2 that acts by automorphisms on R. Set $H = \Lambda^{\perp}$ in G_0 . Then $H = \langle h \rangle$ where $h \in G_0$ is of order at most 2. Let $\overline{G} = G/H$ and consider the corresponding \overline{G} -grading, which is a coarsening the G-grading on the Lie algebra $R^{(-)}$ constructed in the previous paragraph. By definition of H, the \overline{G} -grading is a grading on the associative algebra R. Note that the elements of Λ and of K_1 act trivially on the component $R_{\overline{1}}$; they act by scalar multiplication on any other component $R_{\overline{q}}, \overline{g} \in \overline{G}$.

If $\Lambda = \widehat{G_0}$, then we are done: we have a type I' grading on L. Otherwise $\widehat{G_0}$ is generated over Λ by an element χ such that $f(\chi) = -\varphi$ where φ is an antiautomorphism of R. Since $f(\chi)$ preserves each component R_g , so does φ . Moreover, $\chi^2 \in \Lambda$ implies that φ^2 acts trivially on the identity component $R_{\overline{1}}$ of the \overline{G} -grading. Thus we can apply (for \overline{G}) the following result:

Proposition 5.4 ([5, Proposition 6.4]). Let $R = M_n(F)$ be graded by an abelian group G. Let φ be an anti-automorphism of R that preserves the grading and acts

as an involution on the component R_1 . Then there exists an automorphism ψ of R that also preserves the grading such that φ commutes with ψ and $\varphi^2 = \psi^2$.

Now we can define a new \widehat{G}_0 -action on R by making χ act as ψ (instead of $-\varphi$). This defines a new G-grading $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \widetilde{R}_g$, which is a refinement of the \overline{G} -grading. By construction, the new G-grading is a grading on the associative algebra R. Moreover, $* = \psi^{-1}\varphi$ is an involution on R that preserves both gradings $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ and $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \widetilde{R}_g$. It remains to apply the following "Exchange Formula" in order to express R_g in terms of \widetilde{R}_g .

Lemma 5.5 ([1, Lemma 5.4]). Let G be a group. Let R be a vector space with two compatible gradings $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ and $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \widetilde{R}_g$, i.e., $\widetilde{R}_g = \bigoplus_{x \in G} (R_x \cap \widetilde{R}_g)$, or, equivalently, $R_g = \bigoplus_{x \in G} (\widetilde{R}_x \cap R_g)$, for all $g \in G$. Suppose $H \triangleleft G$ is such that the two factor-gradings by G/H coincide. Set $R^h = \bigoplus_{a \in G} (\widetilde{R}_g \cap R_{gh})$. Then

$$R_g = \bigoplus_{h \in H} (\widetilde{R}_{gh^{-1}} \cap R^h)$$

Moreover, if R is a (nonassociative) algebra equipped with two such gradings and $H \subset Z(G)$, then $R = \bigoplus_{h \in H} R^h$ is an algebra grading.

In our case, $R^1 = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (\widetilde{R}_g \cap R_g) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{K}(\widetilde{R}_g, *) = \mathcal{K}(R, *)$ and also $R^h = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (\widetilde{R}_g \cap R_{gh}) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{H}(\widetilde{R}_g, *) = \mathcal{H}(R, *)$. Therefore,

$$R_g = (R_g \cap R^1) \oplus (R_{gh} \cap R^h) = \mathcal{K}(R_g, *) \oplus \mathcal{H}(R_{gh}, *).$$

Hence the grading $R = \bigoplus_{a \in G} R_g$ induces a grading of type II' on L.

the grading on L is induced by an elementary G-grading on R.

Corollary 5.6. Let F be an algebraically closed field, char $F = p \neq 2$. Let G be an abelian group. Let R and L be as in Theorem 5.1. If G has no 2-torsion, then any G-grading on L is of type I'. If the torsion subgroup of G is a p-group, then

References

- Bahturin, Y.; Kochetov, M.; Montgomery, S. Group gradings on simple Lie algebras in positive characteristic. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 137 (2009), 1245–1254.
- [2] Bahturin, Y.; Shestakov, I.; Zaicev, M. Gradings on Simple Jordan and Lie Algebras. J. Algebra, 283 (2005), 849–868.
- [3] Bahturin, Y.; Zaicev, M. Involutions on graded matrix algebras. J. Algebra, 315 (2007), 527–540.
- [4] Bahturin, Y.; Zaicev, M. Graded algebras and graded identities. Polynomial identities and combinatorial methods (Pantelleria, 2001), 101–139, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 235, Dekker, New York, 2003.
- [5] Bahturin, Y.; Zaicev, M. Gradings on Simple Lie Algebras of Type "A". J. Lie Theory, 16 (2006), 719-742.
- [6] Beidar, K. I.; Brešar, M.; Chebotar, M. A.; Martindale 3rd, W. S. On Herstein's Lie map conjectures, III. J. Algebra, 249 (2002), 59–94.
- [7] Blau, P. S.; Martindale 3rd, W. S. Lie isomorphisms in *-prime GPI rings with involution. Taiwanese J. Math., 4 (2000), 215–252.
- [8] Dieudonné, J. Introduction to the theory of formal groups. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 20. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973.
- [9] Elduque, A. Gradings on octonions. J. Algebra, 207 (1998), 342-354.
- [10] Martindale, W. S., III. Lie derivations of primitive rings. Michigan Math. J. 11 (1964), 183–187.

[11] Montgomery, S. Hopf algebras and their actions on rings. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 82. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL, A1C5S7, Canada

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{bahturinQmun.ca}$

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL, A1C5S7, Canada

E-mail address: mikhail@mun.ca

16