Leonhard Euler Tercentennary — 2007

Dr. Margo Kondratieva and Andrew Stewart



- Introduction
- Timeline

- Introduction
- Timeline
- Polyhedral Problem

- Introduction
- Timeline
- Polyhedral Problem
- Basel Problem

- Introduction
- Timeline
- Polyhedral Problem
- Basel Problem
- Conclusion



- 76 years
- 13 children

- 76 years
- 13 children
- 886 articles

- 76 years
- 13 children
- 886 articles
- **9** $72 \cdot 600$

- 76 years
- 13 children
- 886 articles
- $72 \cdot 600 = 43200$ pages

Opera Omnia

Opera Omnia

Pure Math (29 volumes)

Opera Omnia

- Pure Math (29 volumes)
- Mechanics and Astronomy (31 volumes)

Opera Omnia

- Pure Math (29 volumes)
- Mechanics and Astronomy (31 volumes)
- Physics and Miscellaneous (12 volumes)

In Math

Analysis: 60%

- Analysis: 60%
- Geometry: 17%

- Analysis: 60%
- Geometry: 17%
- Number Theory: 13%

- Analysis: 60%
- Geometry: 17%
- Number Theory: 13%
- Algebra: 7%

- Analysis: 60%
- Geometry: 17%
- Number Theory: 13%
- Algebra: 7%
- Other: 3%

Some of Euler's Topics

Here are some simple, but important, results of Euler:

Some of Euler's Topics

Here are some simple, but important, results of Euler:

Logarithms: the formula

$$\log_a(b) = \frac{\log_c(b)}{\log_c(a)}$$

Some of Euler's Topics

Here are some simple, but important, results of Euler:

Logarithms: the formula

$$\log_a(b) = \frac{\log_c(b)}{\log_c(a)} = \frac{\ln(b)}{\ln(a)}$$

Some of Euler's Topics

Here are some simple, but important, results of Euler:

Logarithms: the formula

$$\log_a(b) = \frac{\log_c(b)}{\log_c(a)} = \frac{\ln(b)}{\ln(a)}$$

• The symbol *i* to denote $\sqrt{-1}$

Some of Euler's Topics

Here are some simple, but important, results of Euler:

Logarithms: the formula

$$\log_a(b) = \frac{\log_c(b)}{\log_c(a)} = \frac{\ln(b)}{\ln(a)}$$

- The symbol *i* to denote $\sqrt{-1}$
- The base of the natural number, 2.719281828459..., and the symbol *e* to denote 2.719281828459...

Some of Euler's Topics

Here are some simple, but important, results of Euler:

Logarithms: the formula

$$\log_a(b) = \frac{\log_c(b)}{\log_c(a)} = \frac{\ln(b)}{\ln(a)}$$

- The symbol *i* to denote $\sqrt{-1}$
- The base of the natural number, 2.719281828459..., and the symbol *e* to denote 2.719281828459...
- Euler's Identity:

$$e^{i\pi} + 1 = 0$$

Some of Euler's Topics

Here are some simple, but important, results of Euler:

Logarithms: the formula

$$\log_a(b) = \frac{\log_c(b)}{\log_c(a)} = \frac{\ln(b)}{\ln(a)}$$

- The symbol *i* to denote $\sqrt{-1}$
- The base of the natural number, 2.719281828459..., and the symbol *e* to denote 2.719281828459...
- Euler's Identity:

$$e^{i\pi} + 1 = 0$$

(Note that this last formula contains $e, i, \pi, 0$, and 1, which are five of the most important symbols in mathematics.)

1707: Euler was born.

1707: Euler was born.1720: University of Basel

1707: Euler was born.1720: University of Basel1723: Master's Degree (talk in Latin about the comparison of philosophies of DeCartes and Newton)

1707: Euler was born.1720: University of Basel1723: Master's Degree (talk in Latin about the comparison of philosophies of DeCartes and Newton)

1707: Euler was born.1720: University of Basel1723: Master's Degree

1707: Euler was born.
1720: University of Basel
1723: Master's Degree
1726: First Paper — Analysis of the placement of masts on a sailing ship.
1707: Euler was born.
1720: University of Basel
1723: Master's Degree
1726: First Paper — Analysis of the placement of masts on a sailing ship. (Receives an award from Paris Academy of Science)

1707: Euler was born.
1720: University of Basel
1723: Master's Degree
1726: First Paper — Analysis of the placement of masts on a sailing ship.
1727: Moves to St. Petersburg, Russia

- 1707: Euler was born.
- 1720: University of Basel
- 1723: Master's Degree
- 1726: First Paper Analysis of the placement of masts on a sailing ship.
- 1727: Moves to St. Petersburg, Russia
- 1730: Professor of Physics

1707: Euler was born.

- 1720: University of Basel
- 1723: Master's Degree

1726: First Paper — Analysis of the placement of masts on a sailing ship.

- 1727: Moves to St. Petersburg, Russia
- 1730: Professor of Physics
- 1733: Chair in Pure Mathematics

1707: Euler was born.

- 1720: University of Basel
- 1723: Master's Degree

1726: First Paper — Analysis of the placement of masts on a sailing ship.

- 1727: Moves to St. Petersburg, Russia
- 1730: Professor of Physics
- 1733: Chair in Pure Mathematics

Duties: Scientific consultant to the government, prepares maps, advises Russian Navy, tests designs for engines

- 1707: Euler was born.
- 1720: University of Basel
- 1723: Master's Degree
- 1726: First Paper Analysis of the placement of masts on a sailing ship.
- 1727: Moves to St. Petersburg, Russia
- 1730: Professor of Physics
- 1733: Chair in Pure Mathematics
- 1734: Marries Katharina Gsell

- 1707: Euler was born.
- 1720: University of Basel
- 1723: Master's Degree
- 1726: First Paper Analysis of the placement of masts on a sailing ship.
- 1727: Moves to St. Petersburg, Russia
- 1730: Professor of Physics
- 1733: Chair in Pure Mathematics
- 1734: Marries Katharina Gsell
- 1736: First book Mechanica

- 1707: Euler was born.
- 1720: University of Basel
- 1723: Master's Degree
- 1726: First Paper Analysis of the placement of masts on a sailing ship.
- 1727: Moves to St. Petersburg, Russia
- 1730: Professor of Physics
- 1733: Chair in Pure Mathematics
- 1734: Marries Katharina Gsell
- 1736: First book Mechanica
- 1741: Moves to Berlin

1748: Introductio in Analysin

1748: Introductio in Analysin1755: Books on Differential Calculus

1748: Introductio in Analysin1755: Books on Differential Calculus1755: Letters to Princess

1748: Introductio in Analysin1755: Books on Differential Calculus1755: Letters to Princess(over 300 in total)

1748: Introductio in Analysin1755: Books on Differential Calculus1755: Letters to Princess1766: Returns to St. Petersburg

- 1748: Introductio in Analysin
- 1755: Books on Differential Calculus
- 1755: Letters to Princess
- 1766: Returns to St. Petersburg
- 1768: Book on Integral Calculus

- 1748: Introductio in Analysin
- 1755: Books on Differential Calculus
- 1755: Letters to Princess
- 1766: Returns to St. Petersburg
- 1768: Book on Integral Calculus
- 1772: Treatise on the motion of the moon

- 1748: Introductio in Analysin
- 1755: Books on Differential Calculus
- 1755: Letters to Princess
- 1766: Returns to St. Petersburg
- 1768: Book on Integral Calculus
- 1772: Treatise on the motion of the moon
- 1773: Katharina died

- 1748: Introductio in Analysin
- 1755: Books on Differential Calculus
- 1755: Letters to Princess
- 1766: Returns to St. Petersburg
- 1768: Book on Integral Calculus
- 1772: Treatise on the motion of the moon
- 1773: Katharina died
- 1776: Remarries (his wife's sister)

- 1748: Introductio in Analysin
- 1755: Books on Differential Calculus
- 1755: Letters to Princess
- 1766: Returns to St. Petersburg
- 1768: Book on Integral Calculus
- 1772: Treatise on the motion of the moon
- 1773: Katharina died
- 1776: Remarries (his wife's sister)
- 1783: Died

Gottfried Leibniz (1646-1716)



Jacob Bernoulli (1654-1705)



Johann Bernoulli (1667-1748)



Christian Goldbach (1690-1764)



Daniel Bernoulli (1700-1782)



Leonhard Euler



Peter the Great (1672)-(1725)



Catherine the Great (1729-1796)



Frederick the Great (1712-1786)



Joseph Louis Lagrange(1736-1813)



St. Petersburg Academy of Science(1724)



While Euler was studying polyhedra, he noticed a relationship between the number of edges, vertices and faces of a polyhedra.

- While Euler was studying polyhedra, he noticed a relationship between the number of edges, vertices and faces of a polyhedra.
- Let V be the number of vertices.

- While Euler was studying polyhedra, he noticed a relationship between the number of edges, vertices and faces of a polyhedra.
- Let V be the number of vertices.
- Let E be the number of edges.

- While Euler was studying polyhedra, he noticed a relationship between the number of edges, vertices and faces of a polyhedra.
- Let V be the number of vertices.
- Let E be the number of edges.
- Let F be the number of faces.

- While Euler was studying polyhedra, he noticed a relationship between the number of edges, vertices and faces of a polyhedra.
- Let V be the number of vertices.
- Let E be the number of edges.
- Let F be the number of faces.

Then we have

V - E + F = 2.

Auxilary Problem: Suppose we have *n* points inside a triangle that are connected to form triangles (triangulation). How many triangles are there?


Auxilary Problem: Suppose we have *n* points inside a triangle that are connected to form triangles (triangulation). How many triangles are there?



Suppose there are N interior triangles. We will find N.

Sum the angles of the interior triangles in two ways.

- Sum the angles of the interior triangles in two ways.
- First way: N triangles; sum of angles is^a $N\pi$.

^aRemember that π radians is the same as 180° .

- Sum the angles of the interior triangles in two ways.
- First way: N triangles; sum of angles is^a $N\pi$.
- Second way: Interior points + exterior triangle;

^aRemember that π radians is the same as 180° .

- Sum the angles of the interior triangles in two ways.
- First way: N triangles; sum of angles is^a $N\pi$.
- Second way: Interior points + exterior triangle; sum of angles is $2n\pi$

^{*a*}Remember that π radians is the same as 180° .

- Sum the angles of the interior triangles in two ways.
- First way: N triangles; sum of angles is^a $N\pi$.
- Second way: Interior points + exterior triangle; sum of angles is $2n\pi + \pi$

^{*a*}Remember that π radians is the same as 180° .

- Sum the angles of the interior triangles in two ways.
- First way: N triangles; sum of angles is^a $N\pi$.
- Second way: Interior points + exterior triangle; sum of angles is $2n\pi + \pi = (2n + 1)\pi$.

^{*a*}Remember that π radians is the same as 180° .

Suppose there are N interior triangles. We will find N.

- Sum the angles of the interior triangles in two ways.
- First way: N triangles; sum of angles is^a $N\pi$.
- Second way: Interior points + exterior triangle; sum of angles is $2n\pi + \pi = (2n + 1)\pi$.
- Hence, we have $N\pi = (2n+1)\pi$, or

$$N = 2n + 1.$$

^aRemember that π radians is the same as 180° .

Suppose there are N interior triangles. We will find N.

- Sum the angles of the interior triangles in two ways.
- First way: N triangles; sum of angles is^a $N\pi$.
- Second way: Interior points + exterior triangle; sum of angles is $2n\pi + \pi = (2n + 1)\pi$.
- Hence, we have $N\pi = (2n+1)\pi$, or

$$N = 2n + 1.$$

Thus, if we have n interior points, we will have 2n + 1 triangles.

^{*a*}Remember that π radians is the same as 180° .

Now, suppose we have a polyhedra that can be projected onto the plane as in the problem above. Then we have

Now, suppose we have a polyhedra that can be projected onto the plane as in the problem above. Then we have

$$V = 3 + n$$

$$F = N + 1 = 2(n + 1)$$

$$E = \frac{3F}{2} = 3(n + 1)$$



Now, suppose we have a polyhedra that can be projected onto the plane as in the problem above. Then we have

$$V = 3 + n$$

$$F = N + 1 = 2(n + 1)$$

$$E = \frac{3F}{2} = 3(n + 1)$$



$$V - E + F =$$

Now, suppose we have a polyhedra that can be projected onto the plane as in the problem above. Then we have

$$V = 3 + n$$

$$F = N + 1 = 2(n + 1)$$

$$E = \frac{3F}{2} = 3(n + 1)$$



$$V - E + F = (3+n)$$

Now, suppose we have a polyhedra that can be projected onto the plane as in the problem above. Then we have

$$V = 3 + n$$

$$F = N + 1 = 2(n + 1)$$

$$E = \frac{3F}{2} = 3(n + 1)$$



$$V - E + F = (3 + n) - (3n + 3)$$

Now, suppose we have a polyhedra that can be projected onto the plane as in the problem above. Then we have

$$V = 3 + n$$

$$F = N + 1 = 2(n + 1)$$

$$E = \frac{3F}{2} = 3(n + 1)$$



$$V - E + F = (3 + n) - (3n + 3) + (2n + 2)$$

Now, suppose we have a polyhedra that can be projected onto the plane as in the problem above. Then we have

$$V = 3 + n$$

$$F = N + 1 = 2(n + 1)$$

$$E = \frac{3F}{2} = 3(n + 1)$$



$$V - E + F = (3 + n) - (3n + 3) + (2n + 2) = 2$$

Now, suppose we have a polyhedra that can be projected onto the plane as in the problem above. Then we have

$$V = 3 + n$$

$$F = N + 1 = 2(n + 1)$$

$$E = \frac{3F}{2} = 3(n + 1)$$



Then we see that

$$V - E + F = (3 + n) - (3n + 3) + (2n + 2) = 2,$$

which is Euler's formula!

We have proven Euler's Formula for polyhedra with only triangular faces. We can prove it in general, but we must recall a useful fact.

We have proven Euler's Formula for polyhedra with only triangular faces. We can prove it in general, but we must recall a useful fact.

Theorem: For n greater than 3, the sum of the interior angles of a polygon with n sides will be

 $(n-2)\pi$.

We have proven Euler's Formula for polyhedra with only triangular faces. We can prove it in general, but we must recall a useful fact.

Theorem: For n greater than 3, the sum of the interior angles of a polygon with n sides will be

 $(n-2)\pi$.

For example, for a triangle, n = 3, and the sum of the angles is $(3-1)\pi = \pi$.

We have proven Euler's Formula for polyhedra with only triangular faces. We can prove it in general, but we must recall a useful fact.

Theorem: For n greater than 3, the sum of the interior angles of a polygon with n sides will be

 $(n-2)\pi$.

For example, for a triangle, n = 3, and the sum of the angles is $(3-1)\pi = \pi$.

For a square, n = 4, and the sum of the angles is $(4 - 2)\pi = 2\pi$.

Now assume that we can project any polyhedron onto the plane so that none of the projections of the edges cross. We will prove Euler's Formula for the general case.

• Let the exterior polygon have n_0 edges.

- Let the exterior polygon have n_0 edges.
- Let there be m polygons on the projection.

- Let the exterior polygon have n_0 edges.
- Let there be m polygons on the projection. (This means that there were m + 1 faces on the polyhedron, where the extra face is the exterior polygon in the projection.)

- Let the exterior polygon have n_0 edges.
- Let there be m polygons on the projection.
- Let n_i be the number of edges of the *i*th polygon.

Now assume that we can project any polyhedron onto the plane so that none of the projections of the edges cross. We will prove Euler's Formula for the general case.

- Let the exterior polygon have n_0 edges.
- Let there be m polygons on the projection.
- Let n_i be the number of edges of the *i*th polygon.
- Then we have

 $n_1+n_2+\ldots+n_m$

Now assume that we can project any polyhedron onto the plane so that none of the projections of the edges cross. We will prove Euler's Formula for the general case.

- Let the exterior polygon have n_0 edges.
- Let there be m polygons on the projection.
- Let n_i be the number of edges of the *i*th polygon.
- Then we have

 $n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_m + n_0$

- Let the exterior polygon have n_0 edges.
- Let there be m polygons on the projection.
- Let n_i be the number of edges of the *i*th polygon.
- Then we have

$$n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_m + n_0 = 2E.$$

Again, we sum the interior angles of interior polygons of the projection in two ways:

Again, we sum the interior angles of interior polygons of the projection in two ways:

Again, we sum the interior angles of interior polygons of the projection in two ways:

$$(n_1 - 2)\pi + (n_2 - 2)\pi + \ldots + (n_m - 2)\pi$$

Again, we sum the interior angles of interior polygons of the projection in two ways:

$$(n_1 - 2)\pi + (n_2 - 2)\pi + \dots + (n_m - 2)\pi$$

= $(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_m)\pi - \overbrace{(2 + 2 + \dots + 2)}^m \pi$

Again, we sum the interior angles of interior polygons of the projection in two ways:

$$(n_1 - 2)\pi + (n_2 - 2)\pi + \dots + (n_m - 2)\pi$$

= $(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_m)\pi - \overbrace{(2 + 2 + \dots + 2)}^m \pi$
+ $(n_0 - 2)\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi$

Again, we sum the interior angles of interior polygons of the projection in two ways:

$$(n_1 - 2)\pi + (n_2 - 2)\pi + \dots + (n_m - 2)\pi$$

= $(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_m)\pi - \overbrace{(2 + 2 + \dots + 2)}^m \pi$
+ $(n_0 - 2)\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi$
= $(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_m + n_0)\pi - 2(m + 1)\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi$
Again, we sum the interior angles of interior polygons of the projection in two ways:

Interior polygons:

$$(n_1 - 2)\pi + (n_2 - 2)\pi + \dots + (n_m - 2)\pi$$

= $(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_m)\pi - (2 + 2 + \dots + 2)\pi$
+ $(n_0 - 2)\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi$
= $(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_m + n_0)\pi - 2(m + 1)\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi$
= $2E\pi - 2F\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi$

Again, we sum the interior angles of interior polygons of the projection in two ways:

Interior polygons:

$$2E\pi - 2F\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi$$

Again, we sum the interior angles of interior polygons of the projection in two ways:

Interior polygons:

$$2E\pi - 2F\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi$$

Again, we sum the interior angles of interior polygons of the projection in two ways:

Interior polygons:

$$2E\pi - 2F\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi$$

$$(V-n_0)2\pi$$

Again, we sum the interior angles of interior polygons of the projection in two ways:

Interior polygons:

$$2E\pi - 2F\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi$$

$$(V - n_0)2\pi + (n_0 - 2)\pi$$

Again, we sum the interior angles of interior polygons of the projection in two ways:

Interior polygons:

$$2E\pi - 2F\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi$$

$$(V - n_0)2\pi + (n_0 - 2)\pi = 2V\pi - n_0\pi - 2\pi$$

Again, we sum the interior angles of interior polygons of the projection in two ways:

Interior polygons:

$$2E\pi - 2F\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi$$

$$(V - n_0)2\pi + (n_0 - 2)\pi$$

= $2V\pi - n_0\pi - 2\pi + 2\pi - 2\pi$

Again, we sum the interior angles of interior polygons of the projection in two ways:

Interior polygons:

$$2E\pi - 2F\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi$$

$$(V - n_0)2\pi + (n_0 - 2)\pi$$

= $2V\pi - n_0\pi - 2\pi + 2\pi - 2\pi$
= $2V\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi - 4\pi$

Again, we sum the interior angles of interior polygons of the projection in two ways:

Interior polygons:

$$2E\pi - 2F\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi$$

$$2V\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi - 4\pi$$

Again, we sum the interior angles of interior polygons of the projection in two ways:

Interior polygons:

$$2E\pi - 2F\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi$$

Interior points + exterior polygon:

$$2V\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi - 4\pi$$

So

$$2E\pi - 2F\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi = 2V\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi - 4\pi$$

Again, we sum the interior angles of interior polygons of the projection in two ways:

Interior polygons:

$$2E\pi - 2F\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi$$

Interior points + exterior polygon:

$$2V\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi - 4\pi$$

So

$$2E\pi - 2F\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi = 2V\pi - (n_0 - 2)\pi - 4\pi$$

$$\Rightarrow E - V + F = 2.$$

Here we attempt to solve the problem of finding the sum

$$1 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{9} + \ldots + \frac{1}{n^2} + \ldots$$

Here we attempt to solve the problem of finding the sum

$$1 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{9} + \ldots + \frac{1}{n^2} + \ldots$$

This was known as the Basel problem — mathematicians before Euler had tremendous difficulties trying to solve it.

Here we attempt to solve the problem of finding the sum

$$1 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{9} + \ldots + \frac{1}{n^2} + \ldots$$

This was known as the Basel problem — mathematicians before Euler had tremendous difficulties trying to solve it. It was introduced by the Italian Pietro Mengoli in 1644, and Jacob Bernoulli popularized it in 1689.

Here we attempt to solve the problem of finding the sum

$$1 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{9} + \ldots + \frac{1}{n^2} + \ldots$$

This was known as the Basel problem — mathematicians before Euler had tremendous difficulties trying to solve it. It was introduced by the Italian Pietro Mengoli in 1644, and Jacob Bernoulli popularized it in 1689. The Bernoulli family spend so much time solving it while residing in Basel, Switzerland, that it became known as the Basel problem.

Here we attempt to solve the problem of finding the sum

$$1 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{9} + \ldots + \frac{1}{n^2} + \ldots$$

This was known as the Basel problem — mathematicians before Euler had tremendous difficulties trying to solve it. It was introduced by the Italian Pietro Mengoli in 1644, and Jacob Bernoulli popularized it in 1689. The Bernoulli family spend so much time solving it while residing in Basel, Switzerland, that it became known as the Basel problem. When Euler solved the problem in 1735, the exact value of the sum was a genuine surprise.

First we give a little explanation of the term *infinite series*. If we ignore all the terms after the Nth term, we obtain

$$1 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{9} + \ldots + \frac{1}{N^2}.$$

First we give a little explanation of the term *infinite series*. If we ignore all the terms after the Nth term, we obtain

$$1 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{9} + \ldots + \frac{1}{N^2}.$$

We call this a *partial sum*.

First we give a little explanation of the term *infinite series*. If we ignore all the terms after the Nth term, we obtain

$$1 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{9} + \ldots + \frac{1}{N^2}.$$

We call this a *partial sum*. If the partial sums tend to a certain value S as N becomes large, then we say the infinite series has a value S.

First, we note that partial sums don't always tend to a certain number.

First, we note that partial sums don't always tend to a certain number. For example, if we consider the simple series

 $1+1+1+\ldots$

First, we note that partial sums don't always tend to a certain number. For example, if we consider the simple series

$$1+1+1+\ldots,$$

its partial sums are

$$\underbrace{\frac{N}{1+1+\ldots+1}}_{N} = N.$$

First, we note that partial sums don't always tend to a certain number. For example, if we consider the simple series

$$1+1+1+\ldots,$$

its partial sums are

$$\underbrace{\frac{N}{1+1+\ldots+1}}_{N} = N.$$

It is easy to see that as N grows, this partial sums go to infinity.

But if we look at the graph of the partial sums of our series, we obtain the following picture.



But if we look at the graph of the partial sums of our series, we obtain the following picture.



From this picture, we suspect that the partial sums tend to some number which is a little more than 1.6.

Euler, in 1735, solved the Basel problem by using some ingenious methods.

Euler, in 1735, solved the Basel problem by using some ingenious methods. He applied techniques used in finite cases to our infinite series.

Euler, in 1735, solved the Basel problem by using some ingenious methods. He applied techniques used in finite cases to our infinite series. We will introduce the idea in terms of a quadratic.

Euler, in 1735, solved the Basel problem by using some ingenious methods. He applied techniques used in finite cases to our infinite series. We will introduce the idea in terms of a quadratic.

• Consider a quadratic function $f(x) = x^2 + bx + c$ with roots p and q.

Euler, in 1735, solved the Basel problem by using some ingenious methods. He applied techniques used in finite cases to our infinite series. We will introduce the idea in terms of a quadratic.

- Consider a quadratic function $f(x) = x^2 + bx + c$ with roots p and q.
- Then we can write f(x) = (x p)(x q).

Euler, in 1735, solved the Basel problem by using some ingenious methods. He applied techniques used in finite cases to our infinite series. We will introduce the idea in terms of a quadratic.

- Consider a quadratic function $f(x) = x^2 + bx + c$ with roots p and q.
- Then we can write f(x) = (x p)(x q).
- Expand f(x):

$$f(x) = x^2 - (p+q)x + pq.$$

Euler, in 1735, solved the Basel problem by using some ingenious methods. He applied techniques used in finite cases to our infinite series. We will introduce the idea in terms of a quadratic.

- Consider a quadratic function $f(x) = x^2 + bx + c$ with roots p and q.
- Then we can write f(x) = (x p)(x q).
- Expand f(x):

$$f(x) = x^2 - (p+q)x + pq.$$

• Then (p+q) = -b.

Euler used the same idea as we used for the quadratic — for an *infinite* polynomial!

Euler used the same idea as we used for the quadratic — for an *infinite* polynomial! Consider the function

$$f(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{x}.$$

Euler used the same idea as we used for the quadratic — for an *infinite* polynomial! Consider the function

$$f(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{x}.$$

• Any root of f has to be a root of the numerator, sin(x).

Euler used the same idea as we used for the quadratic — for an *infinite* polynomial! Consider the function

$$f(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{x}$$

• Any root of f has to be a root of the numerator, sin(x). The roots of sin(x) are

$$0, \pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \ldots, \pm n\pi, \ldots$$

Near x = 0, $sin(x) \approx x$, so $f(x) \approx 1$, so x = 0 is not a root.
Euler used the same idea as we used for the quadratic — for an *infinite* polynomial! Consider the function

$$f(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{x}$$

Any root of f has to be a root of the numerator, sin(x).
The roots of sin(x) are

$$0, \pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \ldots, \pm n\pi, \ldots$$

- Near x = 0, $sin(x) \approx x$, so $f(x) \approx 1$, so x = 0 is not a root.
- Therefore, the roots of f(x) are

$$\pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \ldots, \pm n\pi, \ldots$$

Since the roots of f(x) are

 $\pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \ldots, \pm n\pi, \ldots,$

Since the roots of f(x) are

 $\pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \ldots, \pm n\pi, \ldots,$

Since the roots of f(x) are

$$\pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \ldots, \pm n\pi, \ldots,$$

it is reasonable to assume that

$$f(x) = \left(1 - \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \cdots$$

(Instead of writing the factor $(n\pi - x)$, we write the factor $(1 - \frac{x}{n\pi})$, which corresponds to the same root, $n\pi$.)

Since the roots of f(x) are

 $\pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \ldots, \pm n\pi, \ldots,$

$$f(x) = \left(1 - \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \cdots$$

Since the roots of f(x) are

$$\pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \ldots, \pm n\pi, \ldots,$$

$$f(x) = \left(1 - \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \cdots$$

Since the roots of f(x) are

 $\pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \ldots, \pm n\pi, \ldots,$

$$f(x) = \left(1 - \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \cdots$$
$$= \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{4\pi^2}\right)$$

Since the roots of f(x) are

 $\pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \ldots, \pm n\pi, \ldots,$

$$f(x) = \left(1 - \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \cdots \\ = \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{4\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{9\pi^2}\right)$$

Since the roots of f(x) are

 $\pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \ldots, \pm n\pi, \ldots,$

$$f(x) = \left(1 - \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \cdots \\ = \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{4\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{9\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{16\pi^2}\right) \cdots$$

Since the roots of f(x) are

$$\pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \ldots, \pm n\pi, \ldots,$$

it is reasonable to assume that

$$f(x) = \left(1 - \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \cdots \\ = \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{4\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{9\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{16\pi^2}\right) \cdots$$

Now if we expand f(x) as if it were a polynomial, we can see that the coefficient of x^2 would be

$$-\frac{1}{\pi^2}$$

Since the roots of f(x) are

$$\pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \ldots, \pm n\pi, \ldots,$$

it is reasonable to assume that

$$f(x) = \left(1 - \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \cdots \\ = \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{4\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{9\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{16\pi^2}\right) \cdots$$

Now if we expand f(x) as if it were a polynomial, we can see that the coefficient of x^2 would be

$$-\frac{1}{\pi^2} - \frac{1}{4\pi^2}$$

Since the roots of f(x) are

$$\pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \ldots, \pm n\pi, \ldots,$$

it is reasonable to assume that

$$f(x) = \left(1 - \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \cdots \\ = \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{4\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{9\pi^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{16\pi^2}\right) \cdots$$

Now if we expand f(x) as if it were a polynomial, we can see that the coefficient of x^2 would be

$$-\frac{1}{\pi^2} - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} - \frac{1}{9\pi^2} - \dots$$

Now Euler also knew (as you will in a few years!) that we can also express the function f as

$$f(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{x} = 1 - \frac{x^2}{3!} + \frac{x^4}{5!} - \dots + \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} + \dots$$

Now Euler also knew (as you will in a few years!) that we can also express the function f as

$$f(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{x} = 1 - \frac{x^2}{3!} + \frac{x^4}{5!} - \dots + \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} + \dots$$

Therefore we have the infinite product from the last slide must be equal to the infinite sum above.

Now Euler also knew (as you will in a few years!) that we can also express the function f as

$$f(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{x} = 1 - \frac{x^2}{3!} + \frac{x^4}{5!} - \dots + \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} + \dots$$

Therefore we have the infinite product from the last slide must be equal to the infinite sum above. The coefficient of x^2 in the infinite sum is $-\frac{1}{6}$.

Now Euler also knew (as you will in a few years!) that we can also express the function f as

$$f(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{x} = 1 - \frac{x^2}{3!} + \frac{x^4}{5!} - \dots + \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} + \dots$$

Therefore we have the infinite product from the last slide must be equal to the infinite sum above. The coefficient of x^2 in the infinite sum is $-\frac{1}{6}$. Equating the coefficients of x^2 gives

Now Euler also knew (as you will in a few years!) that we can also express the function f as

$$f(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{x} = 1 - \frac{x^2}{3!} + \frac{x^4}{5!} - \dots + \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} + \dots$$

Therefore we have the infinite product from the last slide must be equal to the infinite sum above. The coefficient of x^2 in the infinite sum is $-\frac{1}{6}$. Equating the coefficients of x^2 gives

$$-\frac{1}{\pi^2} \quad -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} - \frac{1}{9\pi^2} - \ldots = -\frac{1}{6}$$

Now Euler also knew (as you will in a few years!) that we can also express the function f as

$$f(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{x} = 1 - \frac{x^2}{3!} + \frac{x^4}{5!} - \dots + \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} + \dots$$

Therefore we have the infinite product from the last slide must be equal to the infinite sum above. The coefficient of x^2 in the infinite sum is $-\frac{1}{6}$. Equating the

coefficients of x^2 gives

$$-\frac{1}{\pi^2} -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} -\frac{1}{9\pi^2} - \dots = -\frac{1}{6}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{4} -\frac{1}{9} - \dots = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

It is interesting to note that Euler was not yet aware of the symbol π for the number 3.14159265..., and stated the result as follows:

It is interesting to note that Euler was not yet aware of the symbol π for the number 3.14159265..., and stated the result as follows:

"I have discovered for the sum of six of this series to be equal to the square of the circumference of a circle whose diameter is 1."

It is interesting to note that Euler was not yet aware of the symbol π for the number 3.14159265..., and stated the result as follows:

"I have discovered for the sum of six of this series to be equal to the square of the circumference of a circle whose diameter is 1."

The series he is talking about is the series

$$1 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{9} + \dots,$$

It is interesting to note that Euler was not yet aware of the symbol π for the number 3.14159265..., and stated the result as follows:

"I have discovered for the sum of six of this series to be equal to the square of the circumference of a circle whose diameter is 1."

The series he is talking about is the series

$$1 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{9} + \dots,$$

and the circumference of a circle whose diameter is 1 is, of course, the number π !

Conclusion