Assignment 2 Solutions

Problem 1: (Section 1.4 Question 2) Simplify each of the following statements.

$$(\mathbf{c}.)[(p \to q) \lor (q \to r)] \land (r \to s)$$

Solution:

(c.)

$$\begin{split} \Longleftrightarrow \left[(\neg p \lor q) \lor (\neg q \lor r) \right] \land (r \to s) \\ \Leftrightarrow \left[\neg p \lor q \lor \neg q \lor r \right] \land (r \to s) \\ \Leftrightarrow \left[(\neg p \lor r) \lor (\neg q \lor q) \right] \land (r \to s) \right] \\ \Leftrightarrow \left[(\neg p \lor r) \lor 1 \right] \land (r \to s) \\ \Leftrightarrow 1 \land (r \to s) \\ \Leftrightarrow r \to s \\ \Leftrightarrow \neg r \lor s \end{split}$$

The above is determined using the following rules:

$$p \to q \iff \neg p \lor q$$
$$\neg q \lor q \iff 1$$
$$1 \land q \iff q$$

Problem 2: (Section 1.4 Question 3) Using truth tables, verify the following absorption properties.

(b.)
$$(p \land (p \lor q)) \iff p$$

Solution:

(b.)				
p	q	$p \vee q$	$p \wedge (p \vee q)$	$p \leftrightarrow (p \land (p \lor q))$
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
Т	F	Т	Т	Т
F	Т	Т	F	Т
F	F	F	F	Т

 $p \leftrightarrow (p \wedge (p \vee q))$ is a tautology, since always true, therefore, $p \Longleftrightarrow p \wedge (p \vee q)$

Problem 3: (Section 1.4 Question 4) Using the properties in the text together with the absorption properties given in Exercise 3, establish each of the following logical equivalences.

(b.)
$$[p \to (q \to r)] \iff [(p \land (\neg r)) \to (\neg q)]$$

(c.) $[\neg(p \leftrightarrow q)] \iff [p \leftrightarrow (\neg q)]$

Solution:

The idea in this question is to work out left side and right side separately, trying to match the results.

(b.) Left Hand Side

$$\iff p \to (\neg q \lor r)$$
$$\iff \neg p \lor (\neg q \lor r)$$

Right Hand Side

$$\iff \neg (p \land \neg r) \lor (\neg q) \iff (\neg p \lor r) \lor (\neg q) \iff \neg p \lor (r \lor \neg q) \iff \neg p \lor (\neg q \lor r)$$

Now the left hand side is equal to the right hand side. $\neg p \lor (\neg q \lor r) \iff \neg p \lor (\neg q \lor r)$ Therefore, $[p \to (q \to r)] \iff [(p \land (\neg r)) \to (\neg q)]$

(c.) Left Hand Side

$$\begin{split} & \Longleftrightarrow \neg [(p \to q) \land (q \to p)] \\ & \Longleftrightarrow \neg [(\neg p \lor q) \land (\neg q \lor p)] \\ & \Longleftrightarrow (p \land \neg q) \lor (q \land \neg p) \end{split}$$

Right Hand Side

$$\begin{array}{l} \Longleftrightarrow (p \rightarrow \neg q) \land (\neg q \rightarrow p) \\ \Leftrightarrow (\neg p \lor \neg q) \land (q \lor p) \\ \Leftrightarrow ((\neg p \lor \neg q) \land q) \lor ((\neg p \lor \neg q) \land p) \\ \Leftrightarrow ((\neg p \land q) \lor (\neg q \land q) \lor (\neg p \land p) \lor (\neg q \land p) \\ \Leftrightarrow (\neg p \land q) \lor (0 \lor 0 \lor (\neg q \land p) \\ \Leftrightarrow (\neg p \land q) \lor (0 \lor 0 \lor (\neg q \land p) \\ \Leftrightarrow (\neg p \land q) \lor ((\neg q \land p) \\ \Leftrightarrow (p \land \neg q) \lor (q \land \neg p) \\ \end{array}$$

Now the left hand side is equal to the right hand side. $(p \land \neg q) \lor (q \land \neg p) \iff (p \land \neg q) \lor (q \land \neg p)$

Therefore, $[\neg(p \leftrightarrow q) \iff p \leftrightarrow (\neg q)]$

Problem 4: (Section 1.4 Question 8) Express each of the following statements in disjunctive normal form.

(c.)
$$p \to q$$

(d.) $(p \to q) \land (q \land r)$

Solution:

(c.)

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
Т	Т	Т
Т	F	F
F	Т	Т
F	F	Т

Therefore the disjunction normal form is: $(p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q)$. (d.)

p	q	r	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \wedge r$	$(p \to q) \land (q \land r)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
Т	Т	F	Т	F	F
Т	F	Т	F	F	F
Т	F	F	F	F	F
F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
F	Т	F	Т	F	F
F	F	Т	Т	F	F
F	F	F	Т	F	F

Therefore the disjunction normal form is: $(p \wedge q \wedge r) \vee (\neg p \wedge q \wedge r)$.

Problem 5: (Review Exercises Chapter 1 Question 14) Determine whether or not each of the following arguments is valid.

(a.)

(b.)

$$\neg((\neg p) \land q)$$

$$\neg(p \land r)$$

$$\frac{r \lor s}{q \to s}$$
(b.)

$$p \lor (\neg q)$$

$$(t \lor s) \to (p \lor r)$$

$$(\neg r) \lor (t \land s)$$

$$\frac{p \leftrightarrow (t \lor s)}{(p \land r) \to (q \land r)}$$

Solution:

<u>Idea:</u> If there are such values of p, q, r, s that all assumptions are True(T), but conclusion is False(F) then the argument is Invalid. Otherwise it is Valid. Let's try to find such values.

(a.) When the conclusion $(q \to s)$ is False(F) is when q = T and s = F.

If q = T, then the first assumption is T only for p = T, then $\neg p = T$, $\neg p \land q = F$, $\neg (\neg p \land q) = T$

If p = T, then the second asymption is T only for r = F, then $p \wedge r = F$, $\neg (p \wedge r) = T$. If r = F and s = F then the third assumption is F.

Thus, all three assumptions can't be **True** at the same time when conclusion is F.

Therefore, the argument is **Valid**.

(b.) Partial Truth Table

p	q	r	s	t	$p \lor (\neg q)$	$(t \lor s) \to (p \lor r)$	$(\neg r) \lor (t \lor s)$	$p \leftrightarrow (t \lor s)$	$(p \wedge r) \to (q \wedge r)$
Т	F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	F

By referring to the partial truth table the concluion is **False** while all assumptions are **True**. Therefore, the argument is **Not Valid**

Problem 6: (Review Exercises Chapter 1 Question 15) Discuss the validity of the argument $p \wedge q$.

$(\neg p) \wedge r$

Purple toads live on Mars.

Solution:

 $(p \land q) \land ((\neg p) \land r)$

 $\iff p \land q \land \neg p \land r \iff q \land r \land 0 \iff 0$

Since the assumption is always **False** because of the contradiction the implication is **True**.

Therefore, the argument is **Valid**.

Problem 7: (Review Exercises Chapter 1 Question 16) Determine the validity of each of the following arguments. If the argument is one of those listed in the text, name it.

Either I wear a red tie or I wear blue socks. Either I wear a green hat or I do not wear blue socks. Either I wear a red tie or I wear a green hat.

(b.)

If I like mathematics, then I will study. Either I don't study or I pass mathematics. <u>If I don't pass mathematics, then I don't graduate</u>. If I graduate, then I like mathematics.

Solution:

(a.) p: 1 wear a red tie.q: 1 wear blue socks.r: 1 wear a green hat.

The argument is

	$p \lor q$
	$\underline{r} \vee \neg q$
	$p \vee r$
Valid by resolution since	
	$p \vee q$
	$r \vee \neg q$
	gives
	$p \vee r$

(b.) p: 1 like mathematics.

q: 1 studies.

r: 1 passes mathematics.

s: 1 graduates.

The argument is

$$p \to q$$

$$\neg q \lor r \iff q \to r$$

$$\neg r \to \neg s \iff s \to r$$

$$s \to p$$

(a.)

Partial Truth Table

p	q	r	s	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow r$	$s \rightarrow r$	$s \rightarrow p$
F	F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	F

The partial truth table shows that the argument is **Not Valid** since the rguments are **True** while the conclusion is **False**.